

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

August 20, 1987

Meeting of the Box Elder County Planning Commission held on Thursday, August 20, 1987, at 7:30 p.m. in the County Commission Chambers. Present were Chairman Richard Kimber, DeVon Breitenbeker, Jon Thompson, Kent Newman, Don Christensen, Steve Grover, Commissioner Frank Nishiguchi, and Ex-officio members Denton Beecher and Jay Hirschi.

Chairman Richard Kimber called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. and asked for approval of the minutes of the meeting held on May 21, 1987. A motion was made by Kent Newman that the minutes be approved as written. The motion was seconded by Don Christensen and approved.

There was a large number of people present at the Planning Commission Meeting and a roll was passed around for them to sign (copy attached).

Chairman Kimber welcomed those present and stated it was one of the largest groups that have attended their meeting. He explained that this a public meeting and not a public hearing and unless they are on the agenda, they will not be allowed to interact with the Commission. Those on the agenda will be allowed to present their needs and they will discuss and take action on those items presented.

ZONE CHANGE REQUEST - HARPER AREA

Attorney Quinn Hunsaker, representing the property owners in the Harper area and those whose name appear on the application, presented to the Commission an Application to Amend the Box Elder County Zone in the Harper area from the South Honeyville Town limits to the Tom Baty property, and 500 feet east and 500 feet west of Highway 69. The application requested that said property be changed from its current zone of RR-1 to the original zoning designation of

RR-5. Mr. Hunsaker informed the Commission that the request represents a truer feeling of the people within the area and he would like the Commission to either hold a public hearing with due notice, or to recommend to the County Commissioners to hold a public hearing wherein the people can present their reasons for their request, and to allow the new members of the Planning Commission or the County Commissioners to receive citizenry input both in favor and against any further change. Mr. Kimber felt that, because of the interest that has been indicated, there is legitimate cause for their request. However, at the present time he is aware that there has been a public hearing scheduled on another matter which is relevant to this issue. He is not sure if any action taken now would be in the best interest of the other hearing, and is agreeable that the issue regarding the zone in the area mentioned should be definitely resolved. Mr. Kimber recommended that the Commission take the matter under advisement and consider the issue of a zone change after the other hearing has taken place, which will be on August 24, 1987. Jon Thompson made a motion that this request for a zone change be tabled until after the August 24th hearing by the County Commissioners. The motion was seconded by Kent Newman and approved.

APPLICATION FOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT - DARRELL NIELSEN

Surveyor Denton Beecher reported that he received a new Application for a Conditional Use Permit from Darrell Nielsen for an extraction pit located on the Darrell Nielsen property east of Willard City. The proposal is to develop the area over a period of years. Each of the Commissioners has been given documentation relative to the request, with drawings of the area. Mr. Beecher suggested that Mr. Nielsen and his engineer, Niel Smith review his request with the Planning Commission. Mr. Smith explained that the area of extraction would start at the south end of Mr. Nielsen's property. He said they have met with the flood control people and

Russell O. Brown, a professional engineer for the Flood Control District, and have received a letter from Mr. Brown explaining that the initial excavation would not disturb the existing flow channels. Mr. Smith explained that the gravel pit is located about one-half mile from the highway and if a person stood on the road and looked up in the direction of the pit as indicated by the topog, very little could be seen. Chairman Kimber explained that for the benefit of the Commission and after just receiving the information tonight, that Mr. Nielsen go through his request in more detail, or supply copies to each of the Commission individually for their review. Denton Beecher also suggested that the Commission take a look at the bonding issue relative to the bonding for the rehabilitation of the soils the pit goes through, and to guarantee that debris basins are also constructed, as indicated by Mr. Brown to insure that all work is complied with.

Mr. Kimber suggested since Mayor Lonnie Thorpe of Willard is on the agenda, that he make comments, if any, he may have regarding the permit.

Mayor Thorpe said it seems like they have been through this issue many times and since it has been two years since their last meeting with the Commission, it appears to them that there haven't been many things that have changed. He said he is not an engineer, but he guarantees that the pit can be seen from the road and from other places. He said Mr. Smith's figures show differently, but that is not the case. He said there are issues that have never been answered when it was first started in 1980 and 1981. He stated that Mr. Nielsen's presentation to the Planning Commission indicated that eventually it will be used for housing or orchard, and they would like to know how they plan to get water to the area, because Willard City will not furnish anymore; therefore, is the County or South Willard going to furnish the water? If the area goes down to

bedrock, what about septic tanks so the housing possibility is mute as far as they are concerned. He said one-half million dollars has been spent on flood control in the area for flood prevention, and he feels the pipes that have been installed will not take the water from the area. He said the pit is very close to a residential area and the development affects Willard City greatly. The reasons Willard City does not want the pit there is because of (1) the flood, and (2) the health and safety of the citizens, and others.

At the request of Mayor Thorpe, Attorney Jack Molgard made further comments saying he felt the Commission deserves to hear what some of the citizens of Willard and South Box Elder County say about the pit, saying some responses would be valid and some may not, therefore he recommends a public hearing. Mr. Molgard informed the commission that years ago when the Box Elder County Planning Commission turned down the initial proposal, which is essentially the same proposal as today, no one seemed to know where the bedrock was and they told him at that time to make some drill tests so they can be located, because it will make a lot of difference on how much water will seep out of the area and in what direction. Mr. Molgard challenged the opinion of Mr. Brown in that he sees some problems to be addressed. The initial flood plan that was developed at great cost and on file with the County and Willard City, basically said if you move the aluvial fan there could be problems. Mr. Molgard said Mr. Brown's letter indicated the water has to go to the south. What would happen if later it was found that there is bedrock prohibiting the water to go south and have to go to the north? This should be addressed, he said. He said, regarding the road which Mr. Nielsen plans to use to haul gravel to the state highway, runs through Willard City and it is a road that he came to Willard requesting a permit. Willard City told him no because he had another road which would give him access and which has long been established, and he just went ahead and used it anyway. If the road used goes through

through Willard City, then Willard City should have some say about the use, and he hasn't bothered to come to the City. Mr. Molgard said if the Commission is going to reconsider the request, they should have the input of the people before any decisions are made. It is his opinion that the Commission should look at past applications and just plain turn down the request, after receiving legal council. He said if the Commission is going to reconsider, he would like to (1) have a public hearing for everyone concerned to have a voice, (2) have the commission set some dead lines for any information to be received either technical or emotional data. Mr. Molgard feels he can get some technical data which would be in opposition to Mr. Brown's letter and would like to have a chance to do so. However, he is not criticizing Mr. Brown because he is an eminent engineer and quite qualified and knows the area better than anyone, but maybe there has been some things that have been left out. He said there are some documents on dust and noise which have just been turned in which he would like to review.

DeVon Breitenbeker informed those present that it is the purpose of the Planning Commission to protect people and they are just as concerned with their responsibility as anyone else. He said they are servants of the County, called by the County Commissioners to try and help all the people of the county in whatever best way they can. Sometimes not everyone agrees with them, but they have to do what they have to do. There are certain stipulations that have to be met before they will even consider the permit, but also if he meets those requirements and specifications, then the Commission is also bound to do what they have to do. Mr. Breitenbeker also informed Mr. Molgard that, to him, it sounded like they were basically concerned with flood control because the first 15 minutes of his talk covered this issue. He understands that the Flood Control Board wants to make sure that whatever Mr. Nielsen does complies to their requirements and Mr. Brown has been hired

as their engineer. Even though Mr. Molgard questions his letter, he is supposed to be an expert in the flood control field and one in which the Commission should rely upon when making decisions, because of his knowledge and their lack of knowledge in that particular field.

Steve Grover asked Mr. Molgard that regarding the request for core drilling to test for bedrock which is very expensive, is Willard City willing to pay part of the cost? Mr. Molgard said, no sir, he is going to make \$10,000,000 on this project and he ought to pay for it. He said the burden of proof is not on Willard City, it is on the applicant and the core drilling is just one of those things of importance. A motion was made by DeVon Breitenbeker that since there is a lot of data, much of which has not been reviewed by the Commission because of just being received, this matter be tabled to give the Commission time to make a study of the data so the best decision can be reached; that whatever additional information or data that needs to be submitted for their review, be submitted by the 3rd of September, and anything received after September 3rd would not be considered by the Planning Commission. The motion was seconded by Steve Grover and approved.

RAFT RIVER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE REQUEST

Denton Beecher reported that he has received a letter from Power Engineers informing the Planning Commission that they are preparing for a loan application to construct and improve the electrical facilities in Box Elder County, Cassia and Oneida Counties over a two year period. (Copy attached) Mr. Beecher informed the Commission that he sees no problems regarding the project, but suggested the firm apply for any permits through Box Elder County. A motion was made by Jon Thompson that they allow the permit to be granted. The motion was seconded by Steve Grover and approved.

APPEAL TO BOARD OF ADJUSTMENTS - BRUCE & PAMELA TINGEY

Denton Beecher reported that he received an Appeal To Board of Adjustments from Pam Tingey to overturn his decision in not allowing her to have

~~to~~ farm animals in South Willard. He said the area is zoned R-1-20, a residential zone and which does not allow farm animals. She wants to keep 2 horses on her 3/4th of an acre which is in violation of the zoning ordinance and some of the neighbors have complained. Mr. Beecher told her that she could not have horses because the zoning ordinance does not allow it. Mr. Beecher asked the commission what should be done. It was stated that since she did not have the horses when the zone went into effect, it cannot be allowed now. No action was taken.

Denton Beecher reported to the Commission that the business discussed on July 16th to which there was not a quorum, was reviewed with Commr. White and approved by him. This included Grant Christiansen Conditional Use Permit, Request for Zone Change by Von Curtis, the River Farm Minor Subdivision by Hyrum Marble, and the Garn Minor Subdivision. A motion was made by Jon Thompson that they all be approved by the Commission and that the minutes of July 16th also be approved. The motion was seconded by Kent Newman and approved.

Meeting adjourned at 9:30 p.m.

APPLICATION FOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

July 14, 1987

Applicant's Name Darrell Nielsen Application No. 38
Address 944 East 800 South Date Received by Building
Bountiful, Utah 84010 Inspector _____
Telephone 292-0360 Date of Hearing _____

Application is hereby made to the Planning Commission requesting that

Applicant be permitted as a "conditional use"

on Approx. 165 Acres located at East Willard
(Sq. Ft. or Acres) Street Address

in a MU 160 zone (see attached location map).

Please complete the following:

- I. State in detail what is intended to be done on or with the property. Include Site Plan as required in the Conditional Use Chapter of the Zoning Ordinance.
The intent of the project is to remove granular material from the site including a crushing operation. The operation shall proceed in 3 phases, each phase to have rehabilitation of the excavated area to commence as soon as adequate areas have been excavated to their final contours. It is intended that after the phases have been completed, the area will be developed in orchards and/or housing.
- II. Explain fully how your application will satisfy each of the following conditions:
 - (a) The proposed use at the particular location is necessary or desirable to provide a service or facility which will contribute to the general well-being of the neighborhood or community.
The project will furnish a needed commodity, will enhance Flood Control, will provide jobs to the area and will increase the land value and subsequent tax revenue.
 - (b) The proposed use will not, under the circumstances of the particular case, be detrimental to the health, safety or general welfare of persons nor injurious to property or improvements in the vicinity.
Dust control & Flood control will enhance the health, safety and general welfare of persons and property in the vicinity.

Zoning Administrators Action:

Date Approved: _____

Date Disapproved: _____

Date Referred to Planning Commission for Action _____

Planning Commission Action:

Date Approved: _____

Date Disapproved: _____

Governing Body Action if Appealed From Decision of Planning Commission:

Date Approved: _____

Date Disapproved: _____

Public Hearing Date if Deemed Necessary _____

Conditions of Approval

, or Reasons for Disapproval

List:

Signature: _____
Chairman, Planning Commission or, Zoning Administrator

The Building Inspector shall place the Conditional Use Application No. as well as any conditions of approval on the Building Permit.

Appealed to the Planning Commission from Decision or Zoning Administrator _____

Appealed to the Governing Body from Decision of Planning Commission _____

V.

Harrell Nielsen
944 East 800 South
Bountiful, Utah 84020

Vernon H. Drewes
128 South 100 West
Brigham City, Utah 84302

Douglas R. Parsons & Wife
95 East 900 South
Willard, Utah 84340

Robert D. North & Wife
869 South Main
Willard, Utah 84340

Norris J. Hubbard etal
1094 Wouth Main
Willard, Utah 84340

Robert M. Zundel
30 West 5000 North
Willard, Utah 84340

Carl Jensen

- (c) The proposed use will be compatible with and complimentary to the existing surrounding uses, buildings, and structures when considering traffic generation, parking, building design and location, landscaping, noise, or other pollution.

The ultimate final development of the area will be compatible to the existing surrounding areas.

- (d) The proposed use conforms to the goals, policies, governing principles and emerging land use patterns of the Master Plan. Please list specific goals and policies as adopted in the Master Plan which would be pertinent.

Flood Control

- III. Attach a copy of market analysis and economic study which justifies the proposed use, and any assurance of financial ability or program to complete and conduct the use (if required by Planning Commission)

The material from the area will be available for the proposed rehabilitation of the Willard Bay dikes in the form of fill material and rip-rap. Also roads, housing, black-topping material and cement making material.

- IV. If proposed use is providing a public service, rather than a private personal use, explain how it will benefit the public or render a service to the community.

Flood Control

- V. List the names and addresses of all property owners within 300' of the subject property. (Use additional sheet if necessary)

PER ATTACHED SHEET

- VI. Fee paid _____ .

Signed: <u>Barrett Wilson</u> (Applicant)	<u>944 East 800 South</u> <u>Bountiful, Utah 84010</u> (Address)	<u>292-0360</u> (Phone)
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

CR/1/16/AL

July 8, 1987



Box Elder County - Willard City
Special District for Flood Control
Willard, UT 84340

Gentlemen:

I have reviewed the drawings submitted by Mr. Darrell Neilson for a permit to remove gravel from his property in the Cooks Canyon area east of Willard.

The proposed excavation is a long range plan that would eventually remove the major part of the Cooks Canyon alluvial fan. I have located the south part of the proposed excavation on the attached Sheet 12 which also shows the flood control improvements constructed in the Cooks Canyon area. The proposed excavation is outlined in yellow. The excavation covers part of the exiting flood channels out of Cook Canyon so there is an impact on flooding in the area.

As a background for the Box Elder County Commission and the Box Elder County Planning Commission, the following information is presented.


A memo that describes the September 1982 Flood in the area is attached. Flooding of a greater magnitude occurred in September of 1983 and has occurred several times since 1983. The estimated flood flows at the mouth of the canyon are as follows:

	<u>10 year</u>	<u>25 year</u>	<u>50 year</u>	<u>100 year</u>
Cooks Canyon	72 cfs	134 cfs	170 cfs	208 cfs

The Flood Districts system in the Cooks Canyon area is designed for the 50 year storm which is a standard used in most areas of Utah for major flood channels. It is assumed that the 50 year flow of 170 cfs at the canyon mouth is reduced to 85 cfs by the time it reaches the canal and that flow through the channel below the canal through the detention basin reduces the flow to 70 cfs which is the capacity of the storm drain leading from the detention basin. These assumptions are supported by the observations of flooding described in the attached memo.

1435 WEST 820 NORTH
POST OFFICE BOX 711
PROVO, UTAH 84603

PROVO 374-5771
SALT LAKE CITY 521-5771
AREA CODE 801



It appears from Sheet 3 of the excavation plans that the flow from Cooks Canyon will have an equal chance of going north or south when the entire excavation is complete. There are no facilities to handle the water at the north end of the proposed excavation so the flow of water in that direction should not be permitted. Since access is on the south end and excavation would proceed to the north, water from Cooks Canyon and the pit area will all be directed south until the excavation is complete.

The major concern is that at present about 60% of the storm flow from Cooks is lost to seepage over the alluvial fan. The removal of the material from the fan area has the potential to decrease the seepage and thus increase the storm flow perhaps beyond the capacity of the existing system.

It would appear that the initial excavation would not disturb the existing flood channels but at some future time the excavation would intercept the existing Cooks Canyon flood channels. It would be desirable to have a plan that shows flood control mitigating measures to be taken at various stages as the excavation proceeds. The goal of any mitigating measures to be taken as a part of the excavation should be to contain the runoff to existing channels and to reduce peak storm runoff from the area at each stage to not more than the 70 cfs capacity of the storm drain from the debris basin.

I would be happy to review any comment on any additional information that becomes available on the project.

Sincerely,

ROLLINS, BROWN AND GUNNELL, INC.

Russell O. Brown

Russell O. Brown

ROB/slv

Wayne H. Bruggen Chm.

Willard City Corporation

RECEIVED
BOX ELDER COUNTY
CLERK RVEYOR

AUG 19 1987

80 West 50 South

Willard, Utah 84340



August 18, 1987

Box Elder County Planning Commission
Box Elder County Courthouse
Brigham City, Utah 84302

Dear Sirs:

The establishment or enlargement of gravel pits in the Willard area is of extreme importance and concern to the citizens of Willard. As you are aware, there has been a continual battle over the past six (6) years between land owners, gravel pit developers/owners, and Willard City. Public pressure from the residents of Willard, dictated the need for ordinances and sensitivity zones to be created to protect our city from hazards of uncontrolled gravel pit excavation within the Willard City boundaries. There has been lawsuits, between developers and Willard City, in which several members of the governing body and planning commission were threatened with liable suit action. The citizens of Willard won this case in court. We have enforced and will continue to enforce our ordinances restricting new gravel pits and their development in our watershed, flood plains, and sensitivity zones.

Willard City is unique in the fact that the land, which most impacts its flooding is not within its jurisdiction. We are currently at the mercy of Box Elder County and the Flood Control District to ensure all possible flooding zones are controlled and eliminated. The citizens of Willard are currently paying the price through increased mill levies for the proper management and decisions of the Flood Control District program. The management of our natural resources in such a high flood zone is of the utmost importance. One mistake and the results of Mother Nature can be disastrous. Every effort must be taken to protect life and property of our citizens. No resident should have to live in fear of flooding, especially if the preventative measures are currently in place. Removal of these natural barriers by gravel contractors or private individuals is morally and physically wrong and must be controlled to protect the best interests of our community.

Past experience with the operators of the existing gravel pits located in Willard enforces the position that to them dollars are the most important factor. They have ruined the scenic beauty of our mountains, scarred the ground for a lifetime, created dust hazards, excess noise, and have caused more dissension among the citizens than any other issue in the history of the City. Only recently have any controls and/or attempts been made to improve the condition of the gravel pits. The citizens of Willard who make up the majority of those affected, suffer the ill effects of the gravel pits with nothing to gain. In fairness to the residents of Willard City and South Box Elder County the concerns and problems involved around the gravel pits must be shared by the Box Elder County Commissioners and Planning Commission. Do not expand the problem by creating new gravel pits at the expense of the citizens of Willard.

Willard City urgently requests the Box Elder Planning Commission to review the properties immediately adjacent to

the Willard City sensitivity zone and study the feasibility of identifying this area as a County sensitivity zone. Thus protecting the citizens of Willard from excavation and disruption of our natural resources. In the meantime, we request no conditional use permits or other forms of authorization be granted for the removal of soil, rocks, or gravel in the area as identified.

Petitions have been taken to the citizens of Willard with the majority opposed to a new gravel pit. Box Elder County Commission and Box Elder County Planning Commission have stated that they would support Willard City in decisions affecting the well being of the City and its citizens.

We, the Willard City Council and governing body, solicit the support of Box Elder County in a joint effort to resolve issues which are in the direct violation of Willard City ordinances and the interest of the people.

Sincerely,

WILLARD CITY COUNCIL


LONNIE THORPE
Mayor, Willard City

CC: County Commission
Flood District



August 6, 1987

Box Elder County
County Courthouse
Brigham City, UT 84302

Attention: Planning Commission

Subject: 1172.24; Raft River Electric Cooperative
Borrower's Environmental Report

Dear Commissioners:

Raft River Electric Cooperative (RREC) is in the process of preparing a loan application to the Rural Electrification Administration (REA) for the installation and improvement of electric facilities, in Cassia and Oneida Counties, Idaho and Box Elder County, Utah. The project study area, and the RREC service territory, as shown on the enclosed maps, includes portions of the above mentioned counties, and a portion of Elko County, Nevada.

The construction and improvement of electric facilities will be phased over a two year period. Plans involve the conversion of 2 miles of underground line to 2 miles of overhead wire, construction of a new substation, construction of seven miles of new overhead line at various locations in the service area, and line changes and upgrades. The proposed construction will interconnect various parts of the RREC system, and will also increase system reliability. The enclosed maps show the location of proposed projects along with a brief description of the work to be conducted.

RREC will comply with all applicable federal, state and local regulations during design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the proposed facilities.

August 6, 1987

Page 2

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and current REA procedures, we would appreciate obtaining information concerning the locations and boundaries of any areas of concern, including but not limited to threatened/endangered species, floodplains, wetlands, and historical sites.

POWER Engineers, Incorporated, on behalf of RREC of Malta, Idaho, would appreciate receiving comments concerning the proposed project at your earliest convenience. If we have not heard from your office within thirty (30) days, we will assume you do not have serious objections to the project. Should you have questions, or require additional information, please contact me at (208)788-3456.

Sincerely,

POWER Engineers, Incorporated



Mary Ann Mix
Environmental Specialist

:mf

enc. as noted

cc: Golden Gardiner (RREC)

File

APPEAL TO BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
OF
BOX ELDER COUNTY

Applicant's Name Bruce J. & Pamela K. Trigg

Address 7855 S Hwy 89 Willard, Utah 84300

Telephone Number _____

Description and location of property to which variance is requested including names of adjoining property owners:

7855 S Hwy 89 Willard, Utah

Temp W Smith 7835 SWS Hwy 89 Willard 730-2700

Custom Construction 1955 SWS Hwy 89 Willard 753-5521

Jack Murphy 7885 S Hwy 89 Willard

Ray Ball 7839 S 7500 Willard

Don Ferguson 7887 S 7500 Willard

Description of request (interpretation, special exception, or a variance:

we want to keep 2 horses on our property. The problem is that we have 3 horses which will cause a problem if we did not become a nuisance. Most of the neighbors did not know animals were not allowed in this area.

Description of why variance would not detract from overall purpose of zoning ordinance:

There is sufficient space on our ground for the two horses and neighbors are close enough to pose any problem to them. Smith Willard is really a farming/agricultural area horses should be allowed.

Pamela K. Trigg
Signed by Applicant

Appeal No. _____ Date Received AUG 12, 1987 Date of Hearing _____

Board of Adjustment action and reasons: _____

Chairman, Board of Adjustment

Date

Planning Commission Meeting
 Aug. 20-1987

<u>Name</u>	<u>Address</u>
Patricia Smith	Bryham City
Darrell Nielsen	Bountiful
Bryan P. Beyler	Willard
Kate Shuler	Willard
Karen Meacham	Willard
Von R. Curtis	4626 No Hwy 69
Jack M. Myers	Willard City City
Lennie Drape	Willard
Lori Hunsaker	BC
Wallace E. Johnson	Willard, Utah
Lakia Yates	5090 N Hwy 69
Max F. Bate	4146 N Hwy 69
Andruy Jensen	2740 Hwy 69
Chester Keys	Willard
Grace Keys	Willard
Burt Kumbel	Willard
Wally Sammet	WILLARD
Alberta Sammet	Willard
Norma Ball	Willard
Tom Ball	Willard
ROGER BOISJOLI	WILLARD
Mark Connolly	WILLARD
Mary Lou Connolly	Willard
EARL HARLOW	4855 200 E. Willard
ROSEMARY HARLOW	485 50.200 E WILLARD
CHARLES HUNT	9 South main Willard #B50x 296

Page #	Name	Address
	Francis Neel Witt	2870 So Hwy 89 Willard
	Monte C. Joss	395 So MAIN - WUZZED
	Francesse Dondle	234 E 1st So. Willard
	Kris Zundel	450 S. main, Willard
	Lefey Dowdle	234 east 1st. So Willard
	Kenn Widdison	345 W Center " "
	Maurine Widdison	345 West Center Willard
	Charlene H. Rees	335 So. main Willard
	Rhea Butler	275 So. 100 E. Willard
	Jou N. Archibald	75 N MAIN. Willard
	Irach Archibald	75 N. main Willard
	Joni Quintana	121 S. 2nd E. Willard
	Ruth Marrore	1235 2nd E. " "
	Jack E. Wright	274 E 1st St. " "
	Labee Hensley	325 No Main " "
	Kendall K. Hamrick	" " " " " "
	Alan E. Baddley	139 N 200 W BX 18 Willard, Utah 84340
	Gardner W. Barlow	156 No. 200 W. Willard, Utah - Councilmember - Willard City
	Dorothy Butler	255 So 100 E Willard
	DIXIE HANSEN	9 SO MAIN Willard
	F.H. Gillespie / Carl Jensen	298 E, 75 S. FARANJTON, ut 84025
	Person Shandrew and	180 So 1st East Willard Ut.
	Red Mund	658 So MAIN Willard Ut.
		170 W 2 N Willard, ut