

1 **PROVIDENCE CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA**  
2 **March 27, 2018 6:00 PM**  
3 **Providence City Office Building, 164 North Gateway Drive, Providence UT**  
4

5 Opening Ceremony:

6 Call to Order: Mayor Drew  
7 Roll Call of City Council Members: Mayor Drew  
8 Attendance: Kirk Allen, Jeff Baldwin, Kristina Eck, Dennis Giles, Roy Sneddon  
9 Pledge of Allegiance: Mayor Drew  
10 Opening Remarks – Prayer: Katie Clark  
11

12 **Approval of the minutes**

13 **Item No. 1.** The Providence City Council will consider approval of the minutes of March 13, 2018.

14 **Motion to approve the minutes of March 13, 2018 – K Eck, second – J Baldwin**

15 **Vote:**

16 **Yea: K Allen, J Baldwin, K Eck, D Giles, R Sneddon**

17 **Nay: None**

18 **Abstained: None**

19 **Excused: None**

20 **Corrections:**

- 21 • Page 1 line 38 official children’s crisis shelter [for children age 0 – 11 years] for Cache
- 22 • Page 1 line 39 for because of
- 23 • Page 3 line 1 he has a disabled friend with a disability; that the para-transit system does not
- 24 work for him.
- 25 • Page 3 line 16 there is an interesting article a Federal study on ridership why people do not ride
- 26 the bus that you can access from the site
- 27 • Page 4 line 17 dedicate land for the road.
- 28 • Page 4 line 20 lot would should have not have impact
- 29 • Page 4 line 33 destroying the west rest of the
- 30 • Page 7 line 1 add: Don’t expect me to believe what comes out of your mouth.
- 31 • Page 7 line 41 come to a resolve resolution
- 32 • Page 8 line 7 needs need

33 **Public Comments:** Citizens may appear before the City Council to express their views on issues within  
34 the City’s jurisdiction. Comments will be addressed to the Council. Remarks are limited to 3 minutes per  
35 person. The total time allotted to public comment is 15 minutes The City Council may act on an item, if it  
36 arose subsequent to the posting of this agenda and the City Council determines that an emergency  
37 exists.

- 38 • Mayor Drew explained the Council and staff welcome comments. Mayor Drew also takes public  
39 comments during agenda item discussions. If the Council cannot answer a question, we will get  
40 back to you. There is also a Town Hall on the first Saturday of every month where people can  
41 comment.
- 42 • Sharell Eames explained Mayor Drew is the only person that you can hear clearly. She asked that  
43 all Council members move closer to the mic when they speak.
- 44 • Cindy Montoya spoke about townhomes proposed for the Chugg property. She felt the City’s  
45 goals to plan for future housing needs and affordable housing were great. She felt the area,  
46 behind Maceys, where the City has approved a 164 townhomes development was a good area  
47 because of easy access to main roads and shopping. She explained the developer wanting to  
48 build townhomes on the Chugg property, first approached River Heights City. River Heights did

1 not want townhomes in the area. The developer withdrew and came to Providence with an  
2 offer to build the bridge over Spring Creek and extend Spring Creek Parkway. She felt it was a  
3 mistake to put townhomes so far east where there is limited access. She explained development  
4 plans include 56 single-family homes, 52 active adult homes, and 68 townhomes. She felt this  
5 would add too much traffic to local roads. She explained last October the estimated selling price  
6 for a townhome was \$235,000 to \$240,000, and the active adult homes were \$285,000 to  
7 \$325,000. She questioned if that was really affordable housing. She hopes Providence City's plan  
8 for the future is doing what is best for the City, instead of a developer whose main goal is to  
9 make the most money he can. She felt millennials still want traditional homes. She felt  
10 Providence City could literally put people in boxes, or continue to grow in a more traditional  
11 style. She felt parks, smooth roads, and a well-stocked library would make Providence a great  
12 place to live. She felt the City was behind on park property; and cautioned that if all available  
13 land fills with housing, we will not be able to catch up. She asked that while the Council plans for  
14 the future residents of Providence, that they not forget about the people who voted the Council  
15 to represent them.

- 16 ○ Mayor Drew reported the Planning Commission would hold a public hearing to discuss  
17 the life cycle zone on April 28. He also explained the City was working on the life cycle  
18 zone well before the developer approached the City.
- 19 ● Brent Speth, President of Spring Creek Water Company (SCWC) gave the Council members a  
20 copy of a letter sent to all users on the Bullock lateral. This will be part of the discussion for  
21 Business Item No. 2.
- 22 ● Brain Craig spoke about the proposed Chugg property annexation. He is an educator by  
23 profession with four young children. With River Heights Elementary so close to the site, he felt  
24 considering education concerns was important. He explained his son attends River Heights  
25 Elementary. His son is in the 6<sup>th</sup> grade and is a crossing guard. They have had issues with public  
26 safety and traffic concerns. There have been a number of close calls with the school and with  
27 nearby construction. He was also concerned with increased class size. The school is currently  
28 using portable classrooms.
- 29 ● Vicki Holmgren reported she spoke with many people who are seriously concerned with  
30 townhomes on the Chugg property. She felt the majority of people prefer no townhomes.
- 31 ● K Eck thanked the audience for coming and paying attention. She encouraged people to go  
32 online, read the minutes, and pay attention to what happens in the City.

### 33 **Business Items:**

34 **Item No. 1. Proclamation – Sexual Assault Awareness Month:** Representatives from CAPSA will review  
35 the proclamation for April 2018.

- 36 ● Bethany Balady explained CAPSA is working with survivors of sexual assault. It is important to  
37 start by believing the survivor. Believing the survivor helps to reduce the trauma that person  
38 feels. It is important to foster a community that supports the survivor and reject behaviors that  
39 might condone sexual violence. Sexual assault is a pervasive issue that happens in all  
40 communities. They are asking that Providence support April as Sexual Assault Awareness Month
- 41 ● Mayor Drew read the proclamation.

#### 42 *Proclamation*

#### 43 *In recognition and support of Sexual Assault Awareness Month*

44 *Whereas, in Utah, one in three women and one in seven men will experience intimate partner*  
45 *violence in their lifetime. Compared to the nation, it is estimated that Utah has higher rates of*  
46 *sexual assault. In recognizing that domestic violence is a prominent and pervasive issue, we, the*  
47 *City of Providence, understand the necessity of providing services, supporting, and believing*  
48 *survivors of sexual assault. We also recognize the dire need for prevention efforts directed*

1 towards ceasing the occurrence of sexual assault. We, the City of Providence, most importantly  
2 know that we can increase the quality and togetherness of our community by creating an  
3 environment where sexual assault is unacceptable.

4 We hereby pledge to Start by Believing and show our unwavering support to survivors of sexual  
5 assault.

6 Now therefore, be it resolved that I, John Drew, Mayor of Providence Utah, do hereby proclaim  
7 the month of April in 2018 to be Sexual Assault Awareness Month. In recognition and support of  
8 Sexual Assault Awareness Month, CAPSA and survivors of sexual assault, the City of Providence  
9 hereby officially recognizes April as Sexual Assault Awareness Month.

10 Together we are capable of making a difference in the lives of many. This April, start by  
11 believing. Start by standing against sexual assault. The City of Providence does.

- 12 • Mayor Drew stated the proclamation would be included in the April newsletter.
- 13 • J Baldwin asked what specific services CAPSA expected the City to provide. B Balady explained  
14 presenting the proclamation in a public meeting says a lot. They are asking that cities create an  
15 environment that helps people find the resources and services they need.
- 16 • Ron Goodridge reported he is the father of a rape victim and grandfather of a sexual assault  
17 victim. He feels CAPSA provides the services, while the City helps with awareness. He explained  
18 one phone call to CAPSA starts the services. People need to know they can make the call.
- 19 • B Balady explained CAPSA provides advocacy. They also provide group and/or individual  
20 counseling services. CAPSA is the rape crisis center for Cache and Rich County. They have 32  
21 beds at the shelter and nine transitional homes.

22 **Item No. 2. Proposal:** The Providence City Council will consider a proposal from Sierra Homes regarding  
23 a retention pond for irrigation water on the Providence Heights Subdivision Phase 2, a residential  
24 subdivision located generally at 900 South 400 East.

- 25 • Mayor Drew introduced Matt Nielsen – Sierra Homes, Max Pierce – City Engineer, and Rob  
26 Stapley – Public Works Director. The Mayor explained the development has two phases. Phase 1  
27 approval included a stipulation that mitigation for the irrigation water occur with Phase 2.
- 28 • J Baldwin asked if the retention pond in Phase 1 was complete. Mayor Drew explained the  
29 retention pond in Phase 1 is for storm water. R Stapley explained Phase 1 has a retention pond  
30 for storm water and a temporary pond for irrigation water. He explained the difference between  
31 detention and retention ponds. Detention has a measurable allowed release out of the pond.  
32 Retention must contain the water in the pond while it percolates and evaporates.
- 33 • Mayor Drew used the Cache County Parcel & Zoning Viewer to display the area. Mayor Drew  
34 explained the intent in Phase 2 is to build a pond that will be about 24-inches deep and located  
35 on two of the east lots. He explained this is to capture the tail water of the Bullock Lateral.
- 36 • Matt Nielson explained the pond would be in the southeast area of Phase 2. Matt Nielson  
37 explained the development engineers worked with Spring Creek Water Company to determine  
38 the flow for worst-case scenario. They sized the pond to meet the worst-case scenario. He  
39 confirmed the property owners would be responsible for maintenance. Notes on the deed and  
40 on the plat will notify owners of their responsibility. The pond will include a sump in the north  
41 end of the pond. Adding piping to the pond would allow for utilizing the secondary water  
42 sometime in the future.
- 43 • M Pierce explained the Staff recommends no basements for the homes in the area. If they  
44 construct basements, the he recommends homeowners include features to mitigate ground  
45 water. M Nielsen explained a drain system could be used, a sump pump, or both. J Baldwin  
46 expressed concern that pumping the water onto the surface, may cause a problem for a lower  
47 home. M Nielsen felt they could be “daylighted” out to the gutter. It will be the responsibility of  
48 the property owner to take care of the water.
- 49 • D Giles asked if anyone studied where the water eventually goes. Once it goes in the ground,

1 where does it surface. Brent Speth said he did not know of a study. B Speth also reported the  
2 ditch no longer carries water during the winter for animal watering use.

- 3 • R Sneddon asked about the lots adjacent to the pond in the corner next to 400 East 1000 South.  
4 He expressed concern about the proximity of the pond to the road. He felt a bad driver could  
5 end up in the pond. Mayor Drew explained the developer would install curb and gutter.
- 6 • K Eck asked for clarification of the proposal. M Nielsen explained the proposal is to retain the  
7 irrigation water in a pond that will be about 24-inches deep and located on two of the east lots.  
8 M Pierce explained the preliminary designs look good.
- 9 • J Baldwin reported he dealt with a home that was pumping 70,000 gallons of water per day from  
10 water coming from other properties. He was concerned one home may end up pumping other  
11 homes' water. M Nielsen felt each home should be evaluated and mitigation designed.
- 12 • K Eck asked if something recorded on the plat was enough to notify the buyer. Chad Woolley  
13 confirmed requirements could be recorded on the plat notifying the buyer of their  
14 responsibility.
- 15 • C Woolley explained the development procedure. He explained the city code requires the  
16 irrigation company approve the design before the City can approve an agreement. C Woolley  
17 explained the City Engineer is comfortable that the design works with the projected flow. The  
18 City Engineer is not verifying the projected flow; only that the pond design will work with the  
19 projected flow. At this point in the process, the City Council cannot approve anything without  
20 the irrigation company's approval of the proposal. J Baldwin asked what the irrigation company  
21 would be approving. C Woolley explained the irrigation company would verify they are  
22 comfortable with the design.
- 23 • K Allen asked if the irrigation company was saying that it was their water. C Woolley stated he  
24 was not speaking of water ownership. C Woolley said it is the irrigation company's system. C  
25 Woolley explained the development is changing the system, and the irrigation company should  
26 be comfortable with the change.
- 27 • K Allen did not feel the City had ownership of the water in the pond. C Woolley reiterated he  
28 was not speaking to the ownership of the water.
- 29 • B Speth explained the irrigation company has an interest in the water until it enters the  
30 property. At that point, it is the responsibility of the property owner. He explained since 1935  
31 property owners of this property have accepted the tail water. He stated, in so doing, the water  
32 has established a prescriptive easement; the water now has a right to flow on the property. He  
33 stated that once the water goes beyond the last shareholder and enters the property the  
34 irrigation company does not have an interest in the water; anyone on the property could use it.  
35 People could pump it and use it on their lawns. He explained the irrigation company has  
36 attempted to work with the developer and the City. He also explained the irrigation company  
37 installed a head gate at the beginning of the Bullock lateral; this can change the water to the  
38 Edgewood lateral. It will flow into a pond owned by George Daines. He reported G Daines wants  
39 the water and his pond will hold the water. He read the letter sent to the shareholders in the  
40 area. The hope is that someone will see water in the pond and notify the irrigation company or  
41 one of the water users. They feel that the developer has made a proposal; the irrigation  
42 company cannot do more than they have done. He reiterated the water has a prescriptive  
43 easement and according to the irrigation company's attorney, it is the property owner's  
44 responsibility to take care of the water. The irrigation company will continue to work with the  
45 shareholders to be diligent in taking care of their water. B Speth stated the irrigation company is  
46 also asking for a hold harmless clause that the irrigation company is not responsible be added to  
47 the plat and signed by the owners. B Speth stated the irrigation company cannot indemnify the  
48 City nor can it indemnify the homeowners against damages caused by water coming from the  
49 proposed pond. Because of the prescriptive easement, the water has the right to be there.

- 1 • R Sneddon felt a prescriptive easement was irrational; some water law is irrational. R Sneddon  
2 explained engineers have designed systems moving the water from areas where it has  
3 traditionally flowed. He asked if this [prescriptive easement] was a holdover from 100 years ago.  
4 B Speth explained a prescriptive easement could be created for use of real property in a five-  
5 year period. This property has received the water uncontested since 1935.
- 6 • J Baldwin wanted to know the ultimate fail-safe plan. B Speth stated he was not here to propose  
7 a fail-safe plan. K Allen felt that was the purpose of the pond. He felt people would notice 1.7  
8 million gallons of water in a 5-day period. M Nielsen explained the lot owners would call the  
9 irrigation company when there is water in the pond. B Speth explained shareholders or  
10 homeowners could shut the head gate. They are not to move the slide gate; but they can shut  
11 the head gate.
- 12 • B Speth explained the Bullock lateral comes from the canyon, the Edgewood lateral is a sub  
13 lateral. The slide gate divides the water between the two laterals; the head gate shuts the water  
14 off from the Bullock lateral.
- 15 • Laura Fisher reported that slightly less than 7 years ago, Spring Creek was above maximum level  
16 for nearly 6-weeks. During that time, they took six loads of debris from the Creek. Two  
17 neighbors lost driveways. The City lost a street. The Hubbard property had approximately one  
18 acre covered with gravel one yard high from the Creek. She felt the view of the predictability of  
19 the Creek might be too optimistic. L Fisher did not feel water could create a water easement.
- 20 • Mayor Drew explained B Speth's home was very near the flood area. B Speth questioned the  
21 relevance of the flood with irrigation water in the lateral. The water taken out for irrigation is  
22 controlled; the irrigation company gages the amount of water in the laterals.
- 23 • K Allen asked how many shareholders use the Bullock lateral. S Eames reported there are 17  
24 users.
- 25 • Mayor Drew agreed the discharge went back to 1935. He asked what it would take to reroute  
26 the water and move it to developments to the south. B Speth explained the State Engineer  
27 apportioned the water use area. To expand the area would require a change from the State  
28 Engineer.
- 29 • B Speth explained Doran Baker moved the shares traditionally used on the property from the  
30 Bullock lateral to the Edgewood lateral.
- 31 • C Woolley explained the idea of a prescriptive easement is a valid concern. A Judge adjudicates a  
32 prescriptive easement. Unless the prescriptive easement is adjudicated; all one can say is that a  
33 judge might find the use has a prescriptive easement. There are many ways to get out of a  
34 prescriptive easement. For example, if you give permission for someone to use your property,  
35 the prescriptive easement goes away. A prescriptive easement does not exist until a judge says  
36 that it exists.
- 37 • J Baldwin asked if the homeowners could put together a class action for damages. C Woolley  
38 explained the developer has property rights. Those rights are set in stone when the developer  
39 goes through the process. At some point, when the developer has met all the requirements in  
40 the process, his rights apply. C Woolley explained, from tonight's discussion, it sounds as if the  
41 irrigation company has approved the proposal. However, the City needs written approval from  
42 the irrigation company. C Woolley explained the City can only do so much; but cannot protect all  
43 property owners. It is up to the City Council to decide if the City has done enough to move  
44 forward. He felt the Staff, including him, would prefer no basements. Putting notice on the plats  
45 and the deeds makes people aware. If a basement gets flooded, the homeowner will probably  
46 sue the City, the water company, and anyone else they can blame. We will have to prove to a  
47 judge that we did everything we could.
- 48 • C Woolley did not feel an indemnity clause on the plat was enforceable. He did not feel we could  
49 bind future owners to an indemnity clause on the plat.

- 1 • Mayor Drew explained he, C Woolley, and S Bankhead spoke with Craig Smith prior to the  
2 meeting. They discussed advisory language for the plat such as we strongly advise no  
3 basements.
- 4 • K Eck asked about putting a disclaimer on building permit. D Giles did not think the County had  
5 that kind of language on their permits.
- 6 • Martha Hale Farrer is a shareholder on the Bullock lateral. She explained it is very difficult to get  
7 to the water from the gate by the Wolford's home. It takes almost a half hour for the water to  
8 get to her property; then they needed to turn it off early to stop the water by the time their turn  
9 ended. She stated they would do their very best, but getting the water at the gates was a real  
10 challenge.
- 11 • Mayor Drew explained this discussion was advisory. It will go to Staff for further review. All  
12 Council members felt the Staff should move forward.

13 **Item No. 3. Discussion – Code Amendment:** The Providence City Council will discuss a proposed code  
14 amendment to Providence City Code Title 8 Water and Sewer by adding Chapter 5 Secondary Irrigation  
15 Water.

- 16 • Mayor Drew explained the Council has requested discussion to create an ordinance to require  
17 developers install pipes for a secondary system. He explained the draft ordinance included in  
18 tonight's packet is a 2009 draft. There are items that need updating.
- 19 • J Baldwin felt the draft looked reasonable. He felt, as the city transitions from agricultural to  
20 homes, it is important to consider the use of a secondary water system.
- 21 • R Sneddon explained homeowners are allowed to do many things in the construction of their  
22 home; but this requires a licensed and bonded contractor install the secondary water system  
23 connection.
- 24 • D Giles asked for more time to review the draft. D Giles liked the infrastructure idea, but asked  
25 whose water was going into the pipe: the City's, the irrigation company's. The City is using some  
26 if its Blacksmith Fork shares in the Hampshire Park System. J Baldwin felt some reorganization  
27 and rethinking about how the irrigation water would be used in the system would take place. J  
28 Baldwin felt this looked to the future.
- 29 • R Stapley explained prior to fully utilizing the Hampshire Park, the ordinance creating the service  
30 has to be in place. Then the lots involved in the system will have to connect.
- 31 • K Allen felt it might take as long as two months to get something in place.
- 32 • The timeframe to require developers to install pipes for a secondary system was discussed. C  
33 Woolley felt it should be a reasonable timeframe. He felt 5 to 10-years was a reasonable  
34 timeframe. M Pierce felt from an engineering perspective, the City should not require pipes in  
35 the ground just to have pipes in the ground. He felt the City should have a master plan. It does  
36 not need to be a citywide master plan; it could be an area master plan. Pipe sizes, where the  
37 pipes will connect, etc. are things that need to be determined before requiring development to  
38 put the pipes in place.
- 39 • S Bankhead and M Pierce discussed possible rates. M Pierce felt the rate would be at least \$35  
40 per month; however, there are rates as high as \$60 per month. He was fully confident changes  
41 to the state code would require water meters for secondary water in the near future. M Pierce  
42 discussed requiring private systems. He cautioned that combining multiple private systems  
43 might be difficult.
- 44 • K Eck reported she knew of development that has installed successful private systems. K Eck felt,  
45 if nothing else, private systems helped with conservation.
- 46 • R Sneddon felt the driving force is in keeping ahead of those looking to acquire water from non-  
47 beneficial use. M Pierce agreed losing water to non-use would certainly disrupt the system. He  
48 explained there are grants for design of secondary systems; and felt they are easier to get than  
49 culinary system grants.

- 1 • D Giles asked about responsibility for maintenance for private systems. M Pierce explained  
2 some are HOAs; some create LLCs. D Giles felt a public secondary system would fall under public  
3 works.
- 4 • The City, irrigation companies, shareholders, and perspective users will have to work together to  
5 make a secondary system work.
- 6 • J Baldwin felt it was to the irrigation companies' best interest to have their water in pipes.
- 7 • John Hubbard explained the Cache Highline system lost a portion of the water because they  
8 piped it. In the Cache Highline system 20% of the water is for carrier; 80% is share use. He  
9 explained it is not a quick fix and takes a large amount of money to go from flood irrigation to a  
10 pressurized system. J Hubbard felt the best water in the City was up the canyon. He discussed  
11 the exchange of secondary water in Blacksmith Fork for the ability to put more Spring Creek  
12 water in the culinary system. He felt the exchange was an excellent system.
- 13 • J Baldwin understood the system would be expensive. However, he would rather pay \$35 a  
14 month for unlimited water use than \$200 a month for metered culinary water use.
- 15 • B Speth felt the "pie needed to be sweetened" to get the irrigation companies and shareholders  
16 to buy in to a pressurized system. J Baldwin felt anytime the water is in the pipe it minimizes loss  
17 and it is more efficient. B Speth explained even if there is more water in the pipes, you could  
18 only use what you have the right to use. B Speth explained once a ditch was saturated, it carries  
19 water almost as efficiently as a pipe.
- 20 • Mayor Drew explained a meeting is scheduled for later this week with Spring Creek Water  
21 Company to discuss summer water use in the culinary system. He also reported Hyrum City has  
22 offered to show us their secondary system.

23 **Item No. 4. Annexation Petition for Further Consideration:** The Providence City Council will consider for  
24 adoption a resolution accepting an annexation petition for further consideration filed by Gregory B.  
25 Gittins on behalf of the Shirley A Henderson Trust, for Parcel No. 02-004-0012 located adjacent to the  
26 north boundary of Providence City in the general area of 400 East 500 North.

27 **Motion to approve Resolution 009-2018 – J Baldwin, second – R Sneddon**

28 **Vote:**

29 **Yea: K Allen, J Baldwin, K Eck, D Giles, R Sneddon**

30 **Nay: None**

31 **Abstained: None**

32 **Excused: None**

33 Discussion prior to vote:

- 34 • Mayor Drew used the Parcel & Zoning Viewer to display the property associated with the  
35 petition.
- 36 • S Bankhead explained this annexation is separate from the Chugg property annexation. This  
37 property is adjacent to the west side of the Providence Hollow Phase 1. She explained the  
38 Council could deny the petition at this meeting. If the Council accepts the petition for  
39 consideration, the certification timeframe begins. Once the petition is certified, a notice of the  
40 petition is advertised in the newspaper. If an effected entity does not protest, a public hearing  
41 to consider the annexation is scheduled. After the public hearing, the Council can deny the  
42 petition or grant the petition and annex the property.
- 43 • Cindy Miller asked if the Providence Hollow Phase 2 construction had started. D Giles and R  
44 Stapley confirmed construction is in process.

45 **Staff Reports:** Items presented by Providence City Staff will be presented as information only.

- 46 • S Bankhead and Mayor Drew explained the February Financial Report.
- 47 • S Bankhead reported soccer, baseball, and lacrosse teams are practicing in the parks. She also  
48 reported Green Canyon High School's baseball field is not ready for play. They will play some  
49 games on our field.

- 1 • S Bankhead explained umpire applications are starting to come in. Notice is on the website and
- 2 the Mayor will put a notice in the newsletter.
- 3 • R Stapley gave the Council members a street map showing proposed surface treatments for HA5
- 4 material and chip seal. He and Jason Hamblin met with Cache County to discuss chip seal. The
- 5 County will prepare a quote. He explained he would consider budget, logistics, and traffic flow
- 6 before making the final determination of which roads to seal.
- 7 • R Stapley discussed Baur Avenue. R Stapley explained the plan is to install the water main on the
- 8 west side of the sewer. R Stapley reported the Kohler family has been very good to work with
- 9 the City on the easements and traffic plan for the project.
- 10 • Earth-Tech is working on the reconnaissance report for the proposed reservoir site is underway.
- 11 • R Stapley discussed extending the storm water lined in 500 North. He felt the Spring Creek
- 12 Parkway area near Spring Creek was a good place for regional stormwater pond.
- 13 • D Giles asked if the City had purchased a backup generator for the well pumps. R Stapley and J
- 14 Baldwin reported they did the research; but have not moved forward with the purchase. Brent
- 15 Fresz did not feel there was a need for the generator. In a power outage, the sprinkler systems
- 16 would not work and the City could run water for indoor use on gravity feed. Mayor Drew felt the
- 17 City needed an emergency plan

18 **Council Reports:** Items presented by the City Council members will be presented as informational only;

19 no formal action will be taken. The City Council may act on an item, if it arose subsequent to the posting

20 of this agenda and the City Council determines that an emergency exists.

- 21 • D Giles: No report
- 22 • K Eck: Request the council packets before the weekend.
- 23 • R Sneddon: No report
- 24 • K Allen: Felt the City needed larger decals on the City equipment. Mayor Drew agreed.
- 25 • J Baldwin: No report
- 26 • Mayor Drew: Reported the City ran ads for two full-time positions. Current employees, Maria
- 27 and Esther will fill the full-time positions. He reported he is working with R Stapley to provide
- 28 shirts, t-shirts, jackets, etc. for public works staff.
- 29 • Mayor Drew would like to invite the people we contract with and professional consultants to
- 30 report to the council.
- 31 • Mayor Drew explained R Stapley would demonstrate the SCADA system during the April Town
- 32 Hall.

33 **Executive Session Notice:**

34 The Providence City Council may enter into a closed session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent

35 litigation as allowed by Utah Code 52-4-205(1)(c).

36 The Providence City Council may enter into a closed session to discuss professional competence or other

37 factors allowed by Utah Code 52-4-205(1)(a).

38 The Providence City Council may enter into a closed session to discuss land acquisition or the sale of real

39 property Utah Code 52-4-205(1) (d) and (e).

40 **Motion to enter into a closed session – J Baldwin, second – D Giles**

41 **Vote:**

42 **Yea: K Allen, J Baldwin, K Eck, D Giles, R Sneddon**

43 **Nay: None**

44 **Abstained: None**

45 **Excused: None**

46 **Motion to close the closed session – J Baldwin, second – K Allen**

47 **Vote:**

48 **Yea: K Allen, J Baldwin, K Eck, D Giles, R Sneddon**



1 **Nay: None**  
2 **Abstained: None**  
3 **Excused: None**  
4 **Motion to adjourn – J Baldwin, second – K Allen**  
5 **Vote:**  
6 **Yea: K Allen, J Baldwin, K Eck, D Giles, R Sneddon**  
7 **Nay: None**  
8 **Abstained: None**  
9 **Excused: None**

10  
11 Meeting adjourned approximately 9:55 pm.  
12 Minutes prepared by S Bankhead.

13  
14  
15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16 John Drew, Mayor

\_\_\_\_\_

Skarlet Bankhead, City Recorder

DRAFT