

2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on **Tuesday, September 6,**
4 **2016, beginning at 7:00 p.m.** in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100
North State Street, Lindon, Utah.

6 **REGULAR SESSION** – 7:00 P.M.

8 Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor
Pledge of Allegiance: Dennis Kendall
10 Invocation: Jake Hoyt, Councilmember

12 **PRESENT** **ABSENT**

12 Jeff Acerson, Mayor
14 Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember
Matt Bean, Councilmember
16 Van Broderick, Councilmember
Jacob Hoyt, Councilmember
18 Dustin Sweeten, Councilmember
Adam Cowie, City Administrator
20 Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police
Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director
22 Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

- 24 1. **Call to Order/Roll Call** – The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.
26 2. **Presentations/Announcements** –
a) **Mayor/Council Comments** – There were no announcements at this time.
28
3. **Approval of Minutes** – The minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council
30 meeting of August 16, 2016 were reviewed.

32 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE
REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 16, 2016 AS AMENDED.
34 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

36 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE
38 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
40 COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN AYE
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- 42
44 4. **Consent Agenda** – No items.
46 5. **Open Session for Public Comment** – Mayor Acerson called for any public
comment not listed as an agenda item. There were no public comments.

2 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
4 COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN AYE
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

6

7. **Discussion Item — Chamber of Commerce Participation.** The City Council
8 will discuss Chamber of Commerce activities, demand for chamber services by
Lindon businesses, and chamber benefits in general. They will hear from the
10 Pleasant Grove Chamber of Commerce regarding a proposal for Lindon City to
partner with the PG Chamber and a request to financially support the PG
12 Chamber on an annual basis. The Utah Valley Chamber of Commerce has also
been invited to answer questions about services provided through their Chamber.
14 Feedback will be provided but no motions made.

16 Mr. Cowie stated Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning & Economic Development
Director, is in attendance to present a summary of Lindon’s past involvement with
18 Chambers of Commerce and the local business inquiry/interest in Chambers. He noted
after a meeting with the Pleasant Grove Chamber several weeks ago Lindon staff asked
20 for information from the PG Chamber regarding their requested funding by the City and
their joint Chamber membership proposal and they are in attendance tonight to present a
22 summary of their services. He noted the Utah Valley Chamber has also provided a
summary of their services and a proposal to join the UV Chamber as a ‘Gold Member’
24 the same as Provo and Orem cities. He noted that no motion is needed for this item as it is
for discussion only.

26 Mr. Van Wagenen led the discussion by explaining the Pleasant Grove Chamber
of Commerce has approached Lindon City to contemplate a Pleasant Grove/Lindon
28 combined Chamber of Commerce. He noted the goal is to combine financial resources in
order to hire a full-time employee to act as the Chamber President rather than continuing
30 with a part-time employee. For many years Pleasant Grove City has offered a City
employee to the Chamber on a part time basis and also allowed the Chamber to use City
32 office space. The most recent employee/president has left to pursue a full-time position
and with this transition, Pleasant Grove has decided to not provide the Chamber with a
34 part-time employee, but rather directly contribute financially with about \$18,000 to
\$20,000 a year.

36 Mr. Van Wagenen went on to say the Chamber feels that now is the time to
recruit a full-time employee who can build on the momentum the Chamber has seen over
38 recent years and hiring a new part-time president will not enable Chamber memberships
and services to reach a new level. He noted in approaching Lindon, the Chamber is
40 requesting \$10,000 that would be used with the Pleasant Grove funds as salary
compensation for a full-time president. Additionally, \$1,500 to \$2,000 one-time monies
42 would be needed to rebrand the Chamber into the Pleasant Grove/Lindon hybrid. As
membership levels between Pleasant Grove and Lindon businesses become similar in the
44 future, Lindon could possibly have its own chamber. Another alternative under equal
membership levels is for Pleasant Grove City to decrease its annual contribution while
46 Lindon City would increase its contribution as more Lindon businesses would be serviced.
Return on investment could be measured by new businesses joining the Chamber,

2 retention rates, and feedback from businesses regarding the benefits of chamber
membership. He noted the American Fork Chamber of Commerce is also seeking a new
4 president and there is a thought that American Fork, Pleasant Grove, and Lindon should
combine to hire one individual to act as president for both chambers and have one part-
6 time clerical employee.

Mr. Van Wagenen also mentioned that Lindon City Staff has also reached out to the Utah
8 Valley Chamber of Commerce to see what benefit local businesses would receive with a
\$10,000 City contribution. He then turned the time over to Mr. Larson, acting president
10 of the Pleasant Grove Chamber, to present his summary to the Council.

Mr. Larson addressed the Council at this time and gave his presentation. Mr. Larson
12 began by mentioning the following talking points regarding Chambers:

1. **Relationships:** This isn't about networking – this is about gaining friends,
14 associates, and even advocates.
2. **Visibility:** While many companies are looking to make be seen in the cyber
16 world, and are doing a very good job of it, there is nothing that sells your business
better than being in front of people face to face.
3. **Promotion:** Chambers offer a wide-range of advertising options and sponsorship
18 packages. Typically, for a similar cost of a few minor ads in traditional
20 advertising mediums, a business can sponsor entire programs or events through a
chamber. Beyond the paid advertising options, chambers also have ways to
22 provide additional business promotion for free through monthly and annual
awards, social media, regular newsletters, and even printed materials.
- 24 4. **Community Connection:** Chambers are non-profit organizations desired to lift
the economy. They work very closely with governments to provide a
26 representative business voice when needed. Participating in a chamber provides
business with an avenue to express their legislative policy questions directly to the
28 legislatures.
5. **Ongoing Training & Education:** Chambers provide an inexpensive way to
30 bridge the gap between no training and topic-expert training.
6. **Programs and Events:** Membership in a chamber of commerce provides access
32 to all of their programs and events, which are many. Chamber events include
business training luncheons, networking specific events, awards banquets, golf
34 tournaments, city celebration events, and many others specific to each chamber.

36 Mr. Larson then questioned when is the right time to formalize a relationship and
in doing so to consider these specific questions.

- 38 1. **Who** – Membership based organization within a geography that want to do
business together and form relationships.
- 40 2. **What** – It is a 506c6 non-profit partnership, including education and networking
which is supported directly by Pleasant Grove and Lindon City with dollars, with
42 their own board of directors and their own office space.
- 44 3. **What** – Provides a unified business voice. They host events and promote local
businesses through grand openings, online marketing, recognition and award
programs and other programs.
- 46 4. **When** – Digital promotion around the clock, face to face event schedule, monthly
business training lunches, quarterly mayors business council, joint chamber

2 lunches, annual award banquet, annual golf tournament, annual strawberry
4 days/Lindon days parade, annual chamber Christmas part, other community
events.

6 **5. Where** – Office meeting space in both cities, events held in both cities, members
also from American Fork, Highland, Orem, Provo, Salt Lake City.

8 **6. Why** – You do business with those you know, like and trust. Lindon City support
is better for the Chamber of Commerce and better for Lindon business, and better
10 for Lindon City.

12 Mr. Larson then turned the time over to business owners and chamber members
Emily Olsen, owner of Saratoga Jewelry Co., and Dennis Knuckles, with Big-D
Construction, to give their perspectives.

14 Ms. Olsen spoke on the value of being a Lindon business in the Chamber of
Commerce. She noted she was approached to join the Pleasant Grove Chamber of
16 Commerce five years ago and has really appreciated the opportunity. She is now on the
Board and it means a great deal as it is her link to the community and there are a lot of
18 Lindon businesses that feel disconnected that aren't a member of an organization like
this; she feels this is her only connection. She also believes the Pleasant Grove Chamber
20 is setting the standards and businesses are falling into place.

22 Mr. Knuckles stated he came to Big-D Construction five years ago. He noted they
feel being part of the business community is important so they joined the Pleasant Grove
Chamber where he has served in several capacities. He pointed out that there is a lot of
24 value in being part of the Chamber as you have a business voice. Mr. Knuckles stated
that he would love to see Lindon businesses be part of that and would love to see a
26 Pleasant Grove/Lindon Chamber to represent Lindon City as well; he feels Lindon
businesses would benefit greatly. He added that there is great value in having the Lindon
28 name on the Chamber and the investment would come back in the form of happy
business owners with networking etc. He pointed out that a rising tide raises all boats
30 and this would attract/help/recruit more businesses and tax revenue would go up and
there is a lot of advantages to that.

32 Mayor Acerson questioned if it would be more beneficial for Lindon to move
ahead with their own Chamber of Commerce and how broad the base should be and
34 where the balance should be.

36 Ms. Olsen stated she feels it would be advantageous to join the PG Chamber now
and to see how it goes as Pleasant Grove has put a lot of footwork into this and it would
be a really good leg to stand on. She added this will be a new opportunity with a new
38 president and to utilize what they have already put in place.

40 Mayor Acerson pointed out that he sees the value of promoting networking, but in
the past some of those associations have gone south. He sees the benefit of commonality
but at some point in time there are some differences and controversy that can happen (i.e.
42 700 North Corridor Agreement with Pleasant Grove). He feels that Chambers cater to the
bigger business; it is the nature of the business. Mr. Knuckles commented that the
44 Chamber is big enough to provide value to the large company and small enough to cater
to even the home business; it is nimble that way.

46 Councilmember Sweeten gave some history of the previous Lindon/Pleasant
Grove Chamber and stated the reasons he likes this current proposal because it will be

2 independent and the cities will be in a partnership. The cities will be funding a good
4 portion but the businesses will fund the major portion. He feels this is an investment that
6 will bring revenue to the city and if involved the city will see that the gains will outweigh
the investment. He expressed that this is a good fit at this time and a win-win situation for
all. He also feels the Chamber offers a connection between businesses and the city.

8 Mayor Acerson commented that businesses have their own interests and as
independent as you try to be the structure may change and you may have very little voice.

10 Councilmember Lundberg expressed that she feels the time is right to embrace
this and to feel the connection with businesses and to start participation from Lindon
businesses and recruitment.

12 There was then some general discussion regarding this issue including having a
full time business professional that can take the Chamber to the next level.

14 Mayor Acerson commented the City tries to be business friendly, but once you
start putting money out there you start competing; it is not just a membership and if the
16 growth hasn't occurred he would ultimately like to see it be self-sufficient. He went on
to say the city wants to establish a good business environment, but they would also want
18 the new Director to be actively engaged in going to the businesses and selling the concept
and presenting the benefits; if it benefits the businesses it is money well spent.

20 Councilmember Lundberg suggested having a councilmember act as a liaison who
will report to the council so they are aware of what is going on in the business community.

22 Councilmember Sweeten commented he feels there are benefits from a Chamber
and there will be benefits for Lindon in growth and revenue as the membership grows.
24 Councilmember Broderick asked if there is there any type of data that shows sales tax
revenue will go up with membership in a Chamber.

26 Councilmember Hoyt related a business model. He noted he is unsure if there is a
study directly correlating to a Chamber but he believes there is a correlation with the
28 results of the relationships established. He noted he is passionate about the Chamber
because of the results he has seen in his personal employment. He would love to see what
30 this could do for Lindon businesses. He feels this is a service the city can provide to help
local businesses.

32 Councilmember Broderick asked how the Chamber would differ from
membership with other alliances i.e. (Utah Valley Homebuilders Association, Corporate
34 Alliance etc.). Ms. Olsen commented that she feels Lindon businesses are eager to be a
part of the community in a much larger capacity. Being a member of the Chamber would
36 automatically open the gates and allow these opportunities to come. The way the new
President is put into place will facilitate that things are done differently.

38 Mr. Larson added a Chamber is a direct connection to the community where
corporate alliance and other networking groups are privately run organizations with the
40 sole purpose of making money.

42 Councilmember Lundberg commented this is something that may establish more
of a community presence and be another channel for communication. Councilmember
44 Bean mentioned that Lindon has 9% of the businesses and Pleasant Grove has 90% so
how did they determine the contribution amount noting it should probably be more
proportional. He also asked what the salary base of the new employee will be. Mr. Larson
46 stated it will be \$40,000 for the full-time non benefitted position (salary plus

2 commission). Councilmember Bean stated he would like to see some projected financials
(5 year historicals) to do a reasonableness test.

4 Mr. Van Wagenen pointed out city staff has received minimal comments
regarding local business interest in any Chamber of Commerce. He also mentioned that
6 Lindon has 772 registered businesses of which 8 Lindon businesses are members of the
Pleasant Grove Chamber of Commerce (according to the Chamber website). Lindon City
8 is currently a member of the Utah Valley Chamber of Commerce with 32 Lindon
businesses being members of the Utah Valley Chamber of Commerce. He then turned
10 the time over to the Utah Valley Chamber of Commerce for comment.

12 Tom Randall and Rona Wall with the Utah Valley Chamber of Commerce
addressed the Council at this time. Ms. Wall began by stating the Utah Valley Chamber
fills the role when it becomes a county issue and they provide the opportunity to share
14 information. She noted the Provo/Orem members contribute based on the membership
structure. Ms. Wall mentioned that she visits with both mayors and development staff.
16 They are also self-funded with no funding from the County beyond their membership
fees and have many resources in place to support the business community.

18 She would encourage Lindon to join the Utah Valley Chamber as a member and
enjoy the benefits of promoting the City. Provo and Orem are members as are the Utah
20 County Commissioners. Orem and Provo are both currently Gold members of the
Chamber which membership is \$5000 a year. She mentioned that in addition to co-
22 sponsoring events and working closely with these cities, their memberships include the
following resources:

- 24 a. Sponsorship credit \$1500
- b. Advanced selection of sponsorship opportunities
- 26 c. Includes all Business Builder benefits
- d. Corporate recognition in Chamber publications
- 28 e. Access to exclusive invitational events
- f. Opportunity to host after hours event
- 30 g. Business spotlight opportunities
- h. Premium Chamber web presence

32 Ms. Wall pointed out at a very high level, the Utah Valley Chamber would be the
34 city chamber for Lindon, Pleasant Grove and potentially American Fork (in addition to
their continuation of service to Provo and Orem). Their services would provide active
36 business development solicitation of the businesses in these three communities to join the
Utah Valley Chamber. She noted that currently they do not recruit these areas but if they
38 contact them they will provide them with the following membership options:

- 40 • The funds from each city provides the compensation for this new
position.
- 42 • Advocacy and support for members businesses as needed for economic
development in the respective cities.
- 44 • Event sponsorship credit for each city as determined by them. Amount
would be negotiated later.
- 46 • Their website would be updated to reflect each individual city by page
with links back to the city home pages.
- Active marketing of city events using Chamber marketing channels;

- 2 email, Facebook, Twitter, Instagram etc.
- Production support for city events can be negotiated.
 - 4 • Networking event locations rotated through each city up to two times per year.
 - 6 • Membership includes a season pass to one networking event per month (10 months).

8 Ms. Wall then mentioned some details about the Utah Valley Chamber to keep in mind:

- 32 Lindon businesses are members of UVCC
- 10 • Event attendance is up 10% year over year
- Membership retention rate is 85%. Industry standard is 86%.
- 12 • The weekly email newsletter has an average open rate of 28% and the click through rate average is 15%.

14

Ms. Wall concluded by stating they would love to work more closely with Lindon City to promote business prosperity among the companies that call Lindon Home.

16 Mr. Randall stated businesses want a voice together in a format that makes sense and the Utah Valley Chamber makes a big difference in the community. Councilmember Hoyt commented he feels the Utah Valley Chamber is a great organization but he is not sure it fits with what we are trying to do. Ms. Wall stated they are open if the Council wants to explore it further.

22 Councilmember Sweeten commented he wants a Chamber because the needs are not currently being filled. He feels the Council should move ahead with the proposal presented and within a short time the membership would grow (PG Chamber) and he would vote for approval.

24 Councilmember Lundberg commented she would like to see more discussion on how it is structured and have more representation with active benchmarks and projections to look at for accountability and also how our community presence would work; she is not certain \$10,000 is the amount to start with.

26 Councilmember Broderick commented that he is excited about the prospect of a having some Chamber involvement and he would like to see us move forward with the appropriate dollar amount.

30 Councilmember Hoyt commented there have been past conversations, including debate of amounts, including proportional contributions by the businesses. He feels this is a good organization that has made some significant investment to make this Chamber what it is. He added there is value in joining the membership as they are established (as opposed to starting our own Chamber). He is really excited about this opportunity and he would love to see this come to the next meeting as an action item. He noted he would also like to see some financials.

34 Mayor Acerson commented if you are going to go out and campaign and invite businesses to join they will be asking tough questions and if you don't have thoughtful answers you will have less success.

40 Councilmember Bean commented that he is positive to the idea overall and agrees there is a value in their brand in the investment they have already made, but he would like to see a concrete rationale as to how to approach this.

44 Mr. Larson stated they will put together a concrete proposal with some additional information to bring back before the Council.

2 Mayor Acerson called for any further discussion or comments. Hearing none he
moved on to the next agenda item.

4
6 **8. Discussion Item — Secondary Water Use in Lindon.** The City Council will
discuss secondary water (pressurized irrigation) usage in Lindon City and review
options for protecting and conserving secondary water resources and associated
8 infrastructure within the City. City Engineering and Public Works Water Division
staff will be available to present data, answer questions, and make
10 recommendations for future infrastructure needs and usage restrictions.

12 Mr. Cowie, gave some background of this agenda item explaining the City
Council will discuss secondary water (pressurized irrigation) usage in Lindon City and
14 review options for protecting and conserving secondary water resources and associated
infrastructure within the City. He noted the City Engineering and Public Works Water
16 Division staff are in attendance tonight to present data, answer questions, and make
recommendations for future infrastructure needs and usage restrictions. He then turned
18 the time over to Mark Christensen, City Engineer, to give his presentation and review it
in detail.

20 Mr. Christensen began by introducing Michael Clark and Chiffon Jolley. He then
gave a brief summary stating they will be presenting data and information on secondary
22 water use in Lindon. He explained that the stress upon the 20 year old current secondary
system is starting to show and actions are needed to sustain and maintain the system into
24 the future. He noted the secondary water is not metered and data shows usage far exceeds
the recommended watering levels.

26 He stated it is recommended that starting next watering season (2017) the City
implement the following watering restrictions:

28 *Outdoor watering is limited to three days per week according to street address as follows:*

- 30 • *Odd-numbered addresses water on Monday, Wednesday, and Friday*
- *Even-numbered addresses water on Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday*
- 32 • *No outdoor watering on Sunday*
- *No outdoor watering between the hours of 10am to 6pm (no day-time watering)*

34 Mr. Christensen then gave an overview how the Lindon pressurized irrigation
water system works and where the water comes from including the North Union Canal,
36 the Zone 3 Reservoir and the Alpine Aqueduct. He then gave an analysis of Water Use
and System Issues System Issues and Concerns including excessive water use noting the
38 system is struggling to satisfy high water use. He noted this system was designed for
lawn and garden use and pressure irrigation rates have remained the same since 1993.
40 The original infrastructure is over 20 years old and the North Union Canal (city does not
own) lining is aging, leaking and beginning to fail (canal lining collapse in August of
42 2016). He noted the canal and aqueduct delivery costs per gallon are increasing and there
are areas with low pressure, especially during high use times. He then turned the time
44 over to Mr. Clark and Ms. Jolley for comment.

46 Mr. Clark explained that Lindon is using 1,100,000,000 gallons of pressure
irrigation water used per season which is 400,000,000 gallons in excess of USU's
recommended water usage. He also showed photos depicting the excess water usage. Mr.
48 Clark noted the State is looking to take a more active role in water use and regulation and

2 he believes that staying ahead of this is wise. The current adjudication process is
preserving our rights. He went on to say that one of the main issues is that Lindon
4 residents are using 60% more than the necessary amount of irrigation water.

6 Mr. Christensen mentioned two approaches for improvement would be to increase
capacity and reliability and reduce water use. He stated that combining elements from
8 both approaches may be the most effective way to address the issues surrounding the
pressurized irrigation system. There was then some lengthy general discussion regarding
this issue including approaches and costs to repair the canal.

10 Ms. Jolley then presented the following information with possible solutions and
estimates followed by some general discussion with the Council.

12 Ms. Jolley discussed some infrastructure improvements as follows:

- 14 •Increase North Union Canal Capacity and Reliability
- Evaluate feasibility of piping entire canal
- 16 •Pipe high risk areas immediately
- Increase Zone 2 pump station capacity
- 18 •Operational enhancements

Ms. Jolley referenced some possible water use regulations as follows:

- 20 •Water Restrictions
- Odd house numbers water Monday, Wednesday, and Friday.
- 22 •Even house numbers water Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday (similar to Cedar
Hills, Pleasant Grove, Salem, and American Fork)
- 24 •Restrictions are enforced with warnings and fines

Ms. Jolley mentioned some water metering options as follows:

- 26 •Individual User Metering (do not know the costs yet)
- Install and require secondary water meters on every property (consider a
28 sampling)
- Properties charged based on water usage (currently done in Saratoga Springs,
30 Santaquin, American Fork, Springville, and Spanish Fork)
- System Meter Upgrades
- 32 •Replace unreliable meters

34 Ms. Jolley mentioned they have also looked into a Public Awareness Campaign
will help residents understand that current levels of use are putting stress on the system
36 and the reliability of that system. They would suggest giving simple, easy and free ways
to reduce individual use. Implementing an app showing recommended watering amounts
38 (Department of Water Quality), tips for changing habits (e.g. sweep instead of spraying
off driveway), water wise planting, water conservation educational materials for students
40 and teacher, rebates on EPA “Water Sense” smart controllers, and a “Slow the Flow” free
water check. Suggestions for public outreach were then discussed. Ms. Jolley re-iterated
42 a strong public relations campaign will be needed to help educate the public about this
change and the reasons behind any restrictions and the responsibilities attached.

44 Mr. Christensen concluded by giving their system improvement recommendations
including the feasibility of installing water meters on all properties (by choice or by
46 mandate), replacing unreliable meters on the booster pumps and reservoirs to track
system usage and identify losses in the system, implementing an irrigation schedule

2 (odd/even schedule), initiating a water use awareness campaign to educate the public and
4 adopt a Community focused message like –“We are all in this together –our water, our
responsibility,” and collaborate together to identify the tools that are going to work best
for Lindon.

6 Mr. Cowie asked the Council if there is enough interest to have staff start
preparing a campaign for next year on watering restrictions. Following some additional
8 discussion the Council was in agreement to allow staff to begin preparing a Public
Awareness Campaign to educate residents on the importance of responsible water usage
10 as we all need to be wise stewards. There was also discussion on the costs of increasing
capacity and reliability. Mr. Cowie stated those costs could be multi-millions of dollars to
12 increase capacity. Mr. Cowie stated the Council does not have to make a decision
tonight, but if there is going to be a change they will need time to prepare. He noted he
14 will gather more data on the pumps and lift stations and contact some other cities for
secondary water comparisons and document capacity/reliability numbers and bring it
16 back before the Council for further discussion.

18 Mayor Acerson called for any further discussion or comments. Hearing none he
moved on to the next agenda item.

20 9. **Review & Action — New Public Works Positions.** City Administrator, Adam
Cowie, will present a request for budget approval of three additional full-time
22 employees within the Public Works Department. Growth in city infrastructure,
demand for utility services and need to increase regular maintenance of existing
24 infrastructure necessitates additional employees in the Water, Sewer, and Public
Works Inspection divisions within the Public Works Department. Estimated fiscal
26 impact of the three positions is \$216,370.49 with the majority of costs covered by
utility enterprise funds (water, sewer, storm water).

28 Mr. Cowie explained over the last several years the City has anticipated that some
30 new positions at Public Works will be needed as new infrastructure increases and older
infrastructure requires more maintenance and/or replacement. He noted that part of the
32 utility rate study and subsequent multi-year increase to utility rates was in anticipation of
needing additional public works employees for improved operation and maintenance of
34 existing and future infrastructure. These anticipated positions were also highlighted in the
Budget Kick-off meeting held in February of 2016. Mr. Cowie stated the fiscal impact
36 has been evaluated with healthy and/or improving enterprise fund balances showing it is
financially stable to move forward with the new positions.

38 Mr. Cowie further explained that he had hoped for a new Public Works Director
to be involved in the hiring and selection of additional Public Works employees, but
40 waiting for this position to be filled is not prudent. He went on to say with the current and
projected growth in the City, additional help is needed as soon as possible as the current
42 employees are stretched very thin between various activities (often outside of their
regular duties). He added that routine maintenance of infrastructure is often postponed to
44 concentrate on immediate problems and needs. Mr. Cowie pointed out that in addition to
these three new positions, an additional 2-3 positions are anticipated over the next few
46 years including potential employees in storm water, streets, and a possible in-house
engineer.

2 Mr. Cowie stated they are requesting approval on these new positions tonight so
4 they can advertise and begin to fill the positions and to also include the updated
6 expenditures in the October 2016 budget amendment. He noted this will help ramp up for
the planned development on the Ivory Development and the 700 North Corridor and
additional growth in the city. Following discussion the Council was in agreement to
approve this request.

8 Mayor Acerson called for any further discussion or comments. Hearing none he
called for a motion.

10
12 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO APPROVE THE CREATION
OF THE THREE NEW PUBLIC WORKS POSITIONS AS PRESENTED AND
14 DIRECT STAFF TO AMEND THE 2016-17 BUDGET ACCORDINGLY IN THE
OCTOBER BUDGET. COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN SECONDED THE MOTION.
THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

16 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
18 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
20 COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN AYE
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

22
10. Review & Action — Utah County HUD/CDGB Agreement; Resolution

24 **#2016-16-R.** The City Council will review and consider Resolution #2016-16-R
26 approving an updated Interlocal Agreement between Lindon City and Utah
County to participate in the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
(HUD) Community Development Block Grant (CDGB) program.

28
30 Mr. Cowie referenced the resolution and agreement to approve an updated
Interlocal Agreement between Lindon City and Utah County to participate in the U.S.
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Development Block
32 Grant (CDGB) program. Mr. Cowie then gave a summary noting in 2010 Utah County
cities entered into an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with Utah County to participate
34 in the U.S Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) Community
Development Block (CDBG) Grant Program.

36 Mr. Cowie explained the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement was for Federal
Fiscal Years 2011, 2012, and 2013 and successive 3 year periods thereafter. He noted
38 this Interlocal Agreement automatically renews every three years unless a unit of
government opts out. However, due to federal regulations changes have been made to the
40 Civil Rights and fair housing language and the County sent out updated interlocal
agreement and signature pages for each city.

42 Mr. Cowie further explained that in the spring a new interlocal agreement was
signed by the mayor, however HUD rejected the documents as they had not gone before
44 each Council with the associated resolutions, therefore, HUD has required that new
resolutions be passed and the new Interlocal Agreement be signed. Mr. Cowie pointed
46 out that Lindon City has benefited greatly from CDBG grant funding in the past and staff

2 recommends approval of this agreement so Lindon can continue to participate in these
programs.

4 Mayor Acerson called for any further discussion or comments. Hearing none he
called for a motion.

6

COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION
8 #2016-16-R TO CONTINUE PARTICIPATION IN THE HUD AND CDBG
PROGRAMS. COUNCILMEMBER BEAN SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE
10 WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

12 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

14 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN AYE

16 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

18 **11. Review & Action — Utah County Major Crimes Task Force; Resolution**
#2016-17-R. The City Council will review and consider Resolution #2016-17-R
20 approving an updated Interlocal Agreement for Lindon to continue participating
in the Utah County Major Crimes Task Force. Lindon has participated in this
22 organization since 2008. The new interlocal agreement will be effective until
2026 with participation fees paid based on population. Lindon City's fees of
24 approximately \$3,600 per year have been budgeted annually.

26 Mr. Cowie explained that Lindon City has benefited from participation in the
Major Crimes Task Force in the past. Staff recommends approval of the interlocal
28 agreement to enable Lindon to further participate in the Task Force. He noted the annual
assessments have been budgeted for FY2017.

30 Chief Cullimore then gave a brief history of the Major Crimes Task Force noting
the city has participated since the Police Departments inception in 2008. He added if
32 approved this agreement will be effective until 2026. He noted the fees are approximately
\$3,600 per year and he feels this is money well spent. He noted it was originally called
34 the Utah County Narcotic Enforcement that began around 1986. It is a cooperative effort
among law enforcement agencies in the County where they come out to help handle
36 many incidents and to show support.

38 Mayor Acerson called for any further discussion or comments. Hearing none he
called for a motion.

40 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION #2016-
17-R APPROVING AN UPDATED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR LINDON TO
42 CONTINUE PARTICIPATING IN THE UTAH COUNTY MAJOR CRIMES TASK
FORCE. COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE
44 WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

46 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

48 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

2 COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN AYE
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

4
12. **Public Hearing — Justice of the Peace, Police, & Prison ordinance updates.**

6 The City Council will review and consider Ordinance #2016-17-O approving
8 necessary revisions to Lindon City Code (LCC) Chapters 2.16 “JUSTICE OF
10 THE PEACE,” LCC 2.36 “POLICE DEPARTMENT”, and removing (repealing)
LCC 2.40 “CITY PRISON”. These changes are cleaning up old code sections or
removing sections that are no longer relevant to Lindon City.

12 Mr. Cowie explained additional review is needed prior to finalizing the code
updates and revisions to Lindon City Code (LCC) Chapters 2.16 “Justice of the Peace”
14 and LCC 2.36 “Police Department”, and removing (repealing) LCC 2.40 “City Prison”.
He noted these changes will clean up or remove old code sections that are no longer
16 relevant to Lindon City. Mr. Cowie therefore directed the Council to continue this agenda
item to the next meeting to allow time for additional review.

18 Mayor Acerson called for any further discussion or comments. Hearing none he
called for a motion to continue.

20
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO CONTINUE THIS AGENDA
22 ITEM TO A FUTURE CITY COUNCIL MEETING FOR FURTHER REVIEW OF
ORDINANCE #2016-17-O. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE
24 MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

26 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE
28 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN AYE

30 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

32 **COUNCIL REPORTS:**

34 **Chief Cullimore** – Chief Cullimore had nothing to report.

36 **Councilmember Hoyt** – Councilmember Hoyt had nothing to report.

38 **Councilmember Broderick** – Councilmember Broderick reported on the Provo Bench
40 Canal meeting. He noted that he appreciates the attentiveness to the homeowners in his
neighborhood on the recent sewer issue. He also reported that the pickle ball court
surfacing and lights are in progress and it is looking great.

42 **Councilmember Bean** – Councilmember Bean had nothing to report.

44 **Councilmember Lundberg** – Councilmember Lundberg had nothing to report.

2 **Councilmember Sweeten** – Councilmember Sweeten mentioned the costs of the flow
4 rider. He feels if managed properly it can break even and he feels it is more self-sufficient
part of the employees on a daily basis.

6 **Mayor Acerson** – Mayor Acerson reported that the recent COG and MAG meetings
8 were cancelled. He also mentioned the upcoming Utah League of Cities and Towns
conference.

10 **Administrator’s Report:**

12 Mr. Cowie reported on the following items followed by discussion.

14 Misc. Updates:

- 16 • September newsletter
- 18 • November newsletter article: Councilmember Hoyt - Article due to Kathy
Moosman last week in October.
- 20 • Culinary water – well chlorination status and water sampling updates
- 22 • Public Works Director vacancy status
- 24 • North Union Canal repairs needed
- 26 • Sewer back-up occurred on Sunday, August 21st impacting several properties on
900 East
- 28 • Hunter 2015 storm water flooding claim: Litigation filed against Lindon & Orem
- Update on easement acquisition for sewer lift station
- Geneva Park property – purchase offers – Jared Hunt was in attendance and
addressed the Council.
- UDOT Vineyard funding prioritization study
- Misc. Items

30 **Upcoming Meetings & Events:**

- 32 • September 5th – Labor Day - City offices closed
- 34 • September 14th-16th, ULCT Conference in SLC
- September 12th at 6:00pm – Drill Down for Safety – City-wide emergency drill
- September 16th – DUI Check point on Geneva Road, 8pm to Midnight
- November 8th – General Election

36 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.
38 Hearing none he called for a motion to adjourn.

40 **Adjourn** –

42 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING
44 AT 11:15 PM. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

46 Approved – September 20, 2016

2

Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

4

6

Jeff Acerson, Mayor