

2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on **Tuesday, September**
3 **16, 2014 at 7:00 p.m.** in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100 North
4 State Street, Lindon, Utah.

6 **REGULAR SESSION** – 7:00 P.M.

7 Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor
8 Pledge of Allegiance: Stockton Tomlinson, Boy Scout
9 Invocation: Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember

10 **PRESENT**

ABSENT

11 Jeff Acerson, Mayor
12 Matt Bean, Councilmember
13 Randi Powell, Councilmember
14 Van Broderick, Councilmember
15 Jacob Hoyt, Councilmember – arrived 8:05 pm
16 Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember
17 Adam Cowie, City Administrator
18 Jordan Cullimore, Associate Planner
19 Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police
20 Kathy Moosman, City Recorder

- 21
- 22 1. **Call to Order/Roll Call** – The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.
 - 23 2. **Presentations/Announcements** –
24 a) **Mayor/Council Comments** – There were no comments at this time.
 - 25 3. **Approval of Minutes** – The minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council of
26 September 2, 2014 were reviewed.

27

28 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF
29 THE MEETINGS OF SEPTEMBER 2, 2014 AS WRITTEN. COUNCILMEMBER
30 BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS
31 FOLLOWS:

32 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE
33 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
34 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE
35 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

36 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH ONE ABSENT.

- 37
- 38 4. **Consent Agenda** – No items.
 - 39 5. **Open Session for Public Comment** – Mayor Acerson called for any public
40 comment not listed as an agenda item. There were no public comments.

CURRENT BUSINESS

2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
26
28
30
32
34
36
38
40
42
44
46

6. **Discussion Item**– *Speed Limits on 200 South (2000 N. Orem)*. The City Council and City Engineers from Lindon and Orem City will discuss the speed limit along 200 South (2000 N., Orem). The roadway is shared along the order of the two cities. Some interest for increasing the speed limit from 25 mph to 35 mph has been expressed. Engineers will discuss options regarding the speed limit change and review outcomes of past speed limit changes which have occurred in other areas. As this is a discussion item only, no motions will be made and nonpublic comment is expected to be taken.

Adam Cowie, City Administrator, gave a brief summary of this discussion item stating the City Council has expressed an interest in exploring increasing the speed limit along 200 South (2000 North, Orem). He stated that Paul Goodrich (Orem City Traffic Engineer) and Mark Christensen (Lindon City Engineer) are in attendance to address the Council regarding this issue and are looking for the opportunity to have a question and answer period with the Council. He noted that current speeds and Orem’s master plan designation appear to warrant the speed limit increase to 35 mph from the current 25 mph. Mr. Cowie explained this would not change the reduced speed zones near the Jr. High or Elementary schools. Mr. Cowie also made reference to the Orem Speed Study Results that document changes in speeds relative to roadways where speed limits were increased. He noted that this is a discussion item only so no motions will be made and nonpublic comment is expected to be taken.

Paul Goodrich, Orem Transportation Engineer, addressed the Council at this time. Mr. Goodrich stated their Transportation Advisory Commission met recently and looked at various streets citywide. He stated that the Commission feels the speed limit needs to be raised to either 30 or 35 mph. He noted that one of those streets considered was Orem’s 2000 North which is classified as an Urban Collector Street; their Street Classification Guidelines indicate that Urban Collectors should be posted somewhere in the range between 25 to 35 mph. He explained that the 85th percentile speed on 2000 North supports setting the speed limit at 35 mph; however, they will consider 30 mph if there are design reasons for doing that. He noted that more than 85% of the traffic is driving on that street near 35 mph. Mr. Goodrich stated they will gather more speed data to share with the Council.

Mr. Goodrich commented they will also consider raising the speed limit west of State Street if there is support for that section. Mr. Goodrich further stated that 1600 North and 800 East have long sections where there are only residences on each side of the street and these streets are posted at 35 mph. He noted that years ago those streets were posted at 25 mph, and before and after speed studies show that there was very little change in speeds on those particular streets. He went on to say they also read some other studies and determined that streets are safer if vehicles travel at a speed that the street was designed for; half of the residents are almost driving 35 anyway. Mayor Acerson asked if there has been any issues with speeds at school crossings. Mr. Goodrich stated that chokers have been installed at the school crosswalks in an effort to slow the traffic because of narrowing in spots in the road including school lights, crossing guards etc. with the 20 mph enforceable speed limit.

2 Mayor Acerson also inquired about a traffic study done in 2006 regarding
enforcement and if the policy stated that Orem City was not going to patrol this street
4 because people exceeded the limits anyway. Mr. Goodrich explained that he believes 10
years ago the policy of the police department was to not enforce speed limits, or give
6 tickets out to anything higher than what the 85th percentile was on a street because at the
time it was in-defensible.

8 Mr. Christensen, Lindon City Engineer, commented that this is not the first time
that Orem has had interest or discussed raising the speed limit on that road. He noted that
a study was prepared in 2003 or 2004 which described that most drivers are safe and
10 drive in a reasonable manner and also showed that the behaviors of safe responsible
drivers should not be illegal; people drive as fast as they are comfortable driving (which
12 is part of the theory of looking at the 85th percentile speed).

14 Mr. Christensen went on to say that Orem has measured the 85th percentile speed
on Orem 2000 N/Lindon 200 S. He explained that according to the MUTCD, “when a
speed limit within a speed zone is posted, it should be within 5 mph of the 85th percentile
16 speed of free flowing traffic”. He noted this is found under the heading of “Guidance” in
the MUTCD, which means that it is a “statement of recommended, but not mandatory,
18 practice in typical situations, with deviations allowed if engineering judgment or
engineering study indicates the deviation to be appropriate.” He further explained that
20 unless there are some extenuating circumstances, this would mean that the speeds should
be at either 35 or 40 mph. He stated that he is sure that 35 mph would be more prudent.

22 Mr. Christensen commented that related to public input, the real question before
the Council tonight is whether or not to create a speed zone (an area with anything other
24 than the statutory 25 mph speed limit), and noted that this is a legislative function for
which they may or may not want public input. If they do want to create a speed zone, in
26 the absence of any further engineering information, they would only be in compliance
with the MUTCD if it is set at 35 or 40 mph, not 30 mph.

28 Councilmember Lundberg asked if they would factor in the pedestrian traffic
from the schools. Mr. Goodrich re-iterated they will take into account flashing lights,
30 crossing guards etc. with the 20 mph enforceable speed limit in the school zone.
Councilmember Bean commented that the Murdock Canal trail crossing may warrant
32 making a possible change with safety measures. Mr. Cowie noted that besides additional
signage and putting in striping there is nothing else to do but flashing lights on the trail.
34 Mayor Acerson inquired if this speed limit change were to be put into place would it have
to be enacted by both Orem and Lindon Cities. Mr. Cowie confirmed that statement. Mr.
36 Cowie asked what level of public involvement and noticing, if any, would the Council
consider.

38 Mayor Acerson voiced his concerns regarding safety issues by Oak Canyon Jr.
High and the congestion in the area if the speed is increased. Councilmember Powell
40 stated that she is comfortable with the increase on the east side of State Street but would
not want to see it on the west side because of the lack of improvements and the suburban
42 feel on the east side and a more rural feel on the west side of state street. Councilmember
Lundberg would suggest utilizing chokers at the school crossings at the least.

44 Mayor Acerson commented that what he is hearing is that Orem would support a
change. Mr. Goodrich stated that staff and the Transportation Commission would
46 support that change. Mr. Goodrich commented they will be taking this to the Council in

2 the next 6-8 months to make recommendations and will be updating their transportation
3 master plan to evaluate speeds on all of their collector streets. Mr. Cowie asked what type
4 of involvement they have implemented in the past (noticing, agendas, etc.). Mr.
5 Goodrich stated in the past they have utilized utility mailers and held several open houses
6 etc. Councilmember Bean stated that overall he would like to see more realistic speed
7 limits but would suggest increasing safety measures by the schools and the Murdock
8 Canal trail.

9 Mr. Christensen stated it would be interesting to measure speeds after a change;
10 he suspects they would see something similar to the experience of Orem. He commented
11 that the natural tendency is to think if you increase the speed limits, speeds will increase
12 and something else will have to be done from the safety standpoint, but in reality if you
13 have a more uniform speed you may have already done something to increase safety by
14 increasing the speed limit.

15 Chief Cullimore related accident figures from 2012, 4 accidents east of state
16 street, 2013, 6 accidents east of state street and in 2014, 1 accident east of state street.
17 Councilmember Broderick stated that he is in favor of an increase as long as the safety
18 issues are addressed and that any engineering suggestions on safety enhancements are
19 looked at. Councilmember Lundberg stated that she feels the increase is warranted and
20 would feel comfortable with an increase to 30 mph but if increased to 35 mph she would
21 want to see more safety measures implemented. Mr. Cowie noted that additional safety
22 measures are not budgeted for.

23 Mr. Christensen asked Chief Cullimore for his suggestions on pedestrian safety in
24 the area of the Jr. High School. Chief Cullimore responded stating they have looked at
25 chokers and a dividing island years ago, but neither of those options seemed to work
26 well. He did say that he would like to see some type of safety measures taken; even a
27 raised crosswalk may be beneficial. Councilmember Powell mentioned that she would
28 like to request some help with funding through the County and the School District as she
29 does not feel this is just a municipality responsibility. There was then some additional
30 discussion by the Council regarding possible safety measures.

31 Mayor Acerson suggested to Mr. Goodrich to add this issue to the top of Orem's
32 priority list to determine if they are supportive, and to also hear their recommendations.
33 He also stated that he is hearing that the Council is comfortable increasing limits to 30
34 mph and if increased to 35 mph to ensure that additional safety measures are considered
35 and to hear Mr. Christensen's recommendations on what the options are. Mayor Acerson
36 stated the Council will conduct some open houses and also have more discussion on this
37 issue. Mr. Cowie stated that he will coordinate with Orem City and Mr. Christensen and
38 look at different safety options and also Orem's recommendations. He will also
39 communicate with the School District and County regarding financial assistance on this
40 issue and bring some options back to the Council.

41 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council.
42 Hearing none he moved on to the next agenda item.

- 43 7. **Public Hearing** – *Ordinance Amendment, LCC 17.44.140 Accessory Buildings*
44 *(Ordinance #2014-16-O)*. Lindon City requests an amendment to Lindon City
Code 17.44.140, Accessory Buildings, to allow reduced setbacks for certain

2 accessory structures on corner lots in residential zones by approval of Ordinance
#2014-16-O. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

4 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC
HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
6 PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

8 Jordan Cullimore, Associate Planner, led the discussion by explaining this is a
city initiated item to request an amendment to Lindon City Code 17.44.140, Accessory
10 Buildings, to allow reduced setbacks for certain accessory structures on corner lots in
residential zones by approval of Ordinance #2014-16-O. He noted that following review
12 of this item the Planning Commission recommended approval to the City Council. Mr.
Cullimore then referenced the proposed amendment and ordinance draft. He noted there
14 are two primary ways that an individual can be granted exception to a zoning
requirement, a variance which is a ridged tool with state mandated criteria and used
16 sparingly and the other primary way is to build flexibility into the code through some
type of exception. He mentioned one item that comes up frequently to the planning
18 department staff involves setback requirements for accessory buildings, specifically
corner lots. He noted this action is initiated in an effort to improve the code and to find
20 ways to add flexibility and predictability. Mr. Cullimore stated that staff looked at the
requirements in different cities and they feel they may build more reasonable flexibility
22 into the code to balance the need for open space along streets. He then referenced photos
depicting typical corner lots in the city. Mr. Cullimore noted that currently accessory
24 buildings in Lindon cannot be built on the front yard setback of a lot.

Mr. Cullimore explained this action would allow property owners to get better use
26 out of their property while ensuring traffic and safety concerns are also considered. Mr.
Cullimore stated what staff is proposing in this amendment will essentially allow the
28 property owner to encroach or receive a setback exception, in what they would consider
their backyard, of up to 15 ft. of a front lot line. Mr. Cullimore further explained it is also
30 the assumption by staff if there are more flexible rules and options in place, residents will
be more inclined to obtain a permit to be in compliance and also in consideration of the
32 safety concerns of the city. He went on to say the typical requirements for an accessory
building, inside the setbacks, is 20 ft. and if it is within the building envelope it can go up
34 to 35 ft.; if the building is under 200 sq. ft. a building permit is not required. He then
referenced the proposed language of the ordinance amendment followed by some lengthy
36 general discussion. Councilmember Lundberg commented that staff has done their
homework and she likes the added flexibility but would caution to use common sense so
38 more issues are protected. Councilmember Powell suggested adding language that would
state they would have to meet a standard of uniqueness for more than two corners and to
40 have the language apply to a situation and make adjustments.

At this time Mayor Acerson called for any public comment. Mr. Bill Petris,
42 resident in attendance, commented that he feels this should be implemented on a case by
case basis as each case is different; he feels the language in the ordinance is written well
44 and gives more practicality to certain situations. Mr. Cullimore then showed various
corner lots in the city followed by discussion. Following some additional general
46 discussion Mr. Cullimore suggested that the Council continue this item to allow staff the

time to come back to the Council with some tighter language based on the feedback and issues identified by the Council tonight.

Mayor Acerson called for any further public comments. Hearing none he called for a motion to close the public hearing.

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council. Hearing none he called for a motion.

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN MOVED TO CONTINUE ORDINANCE #2014-16-O MODIFYING LCC 17.44.140 ACCESSORY BUILDINGS IN ORDER TO ALLOW STAFF TIME TO COME BACK WITH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO PRESENT TO THE COUNCIL. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

8. **Review and Action:** *Disposal of Surplus Equipment.* The Council will review and declare surplus city equipment to be auctioned off to the highest bidder through the website www.publicsurplus.com Items not sold within a specified time period will be disposed of at the discretion of the city administration.

Mr. Cowie led this discussion by stating this action by the Council tonight will declare surplus city equipment to be auctioned off to the highest bidder through the website www.publicsurplus.com (per state law). He noted that items not sold within a specified time period will be disposed of at the discretion of the city administration.

Mr. Cowie then referenced the surplus items from the Parks & Recreation Department. He noted that staff requests approval from the Council to declare these items as surplus materials and equipment to be sold by the City (no computer equipment). He explained these items will be listed on the public surplus website for as long desired (minimum 2 weeks). Mr. Cowie further explained that the City has a profile with them and they take a small percentage of the highest bid amount. Mr. Cowie explained that Heath Bateman, Parks and Recreation Director, is the administrator for the Lindon account on this website. He noted that anything not sold is still our property and we can dispose of it however we choose after the bid period has expired. Councilmember Lundberg mentioned a local business (TEMS Solution) that disposes of computer equipment etc. There was then some brief general discussion regarding this agenda item.

Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council. Hearing none he called for a motion.

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO DECLARE THE ITEMS INCLUDED IN THE MEMO DATED SEPTEMBER 11, 2014 FROM THE PARKS & RECREATION DIRECTOR AS SURPLUS AND APPROVE DISPOSAL OF THE ITEMS AS PRESENTED WITH NO CONDITIONS. COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

9. **Review and Action:** *Charitable and Volunteer Donation Recognition (Resolution #2014-12-R)*. The City Council will review and consider approval of Resolution #2014-12-R outlining various city services that could be gifted by the City Council in recognition of significant volunteer efforts or other donated time and/or items of value which have been provided to the city by private parties.

Mr. Cowie opened the discussion by explaining at the request of Councilmember Broderick (initiated by the proposed cemetery building), this resolution and list of items is presented tonight for consideration of possible recognition items for those who have donated significant volunteer hours or made other private contributions to the City. Mr. Cowie explained that the intent is not to match dollar-for-dollar value of donations or volunteer work provided to the City, but for the City to offer some minimum return for valuable donations received in order to show appreciation.

Mr. Cowie mentioned there is some hesitancy from staff and the city attorney to consider utility fee credits and discounts or waiver of Land Use Application & Building Permit fees because of state code and without going through an official process. He noted these items have been listed in the resolution. Councilmember Broderick explained his approach is that he is looking for donations along with thank you gifts (not dollar for dollar amounts). Mr. Cowie asked if there would be enough items left on the list if some of the items are removed. Councilmember Broderick stated the bigger the ticket item the nicer the thank you will need to be. Councilmember Powell mentioned that rentals at the aquatics center will take away revenue. Mr. Cowie agreed stating that is an issue that will need discussion.

Councilmember Broderick commented that he understands the whole point of this action is to try and build a building and to do it in an ethical and legal manner. Mr. Cowie stated that ultimately the city may have to fund the majority of the building and try to solicit portions of it. Councilmember Broderick expressed that it is his hope that the Council will be thinking of people, businesses and contacts that may be able to contribute to the cause. Following discussion by the Council it was determined to strike the utility fee credits and discounted or waived fees on Land Use Application & Building Permit fees from the list. Mr. Cowie noted the way this is crafted it will require approval by the Council and will also require a building permit including inspections and the approved

2 building process. Councilmember Broderick mentioned that he has been in contact with
the Chief Building Official, Phil Brown.

4 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council.
Hearing none he called for a motion.

6 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION
#2014-12-R WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS 1. REMOVE CREDIT ON
8 CITY UTILITIES AND 2. REMOVE THE DISCOUNTED OR WAIVED FEES ON
LAND USE APPLICATIONS. COUNCILMEMBER HOYT SECONDED THE
10 MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

12 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE

14 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

16 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

18 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

20 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

22 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

24 **10. COUNCIL REPORTS:**

26 **Councilmember Powell** – Councilmember Powell reported that the Community Center
Advisory Board still has some openings (9 member board and there are currently only 3
members serving). She then gave an overview of the responsibilities and scope of duties
of the CCAB. She suggested incorporating a page on the website showing what positions
are open, or coming up, on the various boards in the city. She also suggested that the
Council be alerted when new business licenses are issued as to be more proactive and
business friendly.

30 **Councilmember Bean** – Councilmember Bean mentioned that he appreciated the email
from Mr. Cowie regarding the UIA and Utopia Board meeting updates. He also expressed
his appreciation to Mayor Acerson and Mr. Cowie attending the meetings and for keeping
the Council informed.

34 **Chief Cullimore** – Chief Cullimore thanked the Council for their support with the
recently held “Drill Down for Safety” and expressed that he would like to continue the
drill as there is a lot of community response and community value.

38 **Councilmember Hoyt** – Councilmember Hoyt thanked Chief Cullimore and his staff for
all of the hard work with the recent drill. He also suggested that he feels it would be
beneficial to stream the whole process. Chief Cullimore said that is something to
consider. Councilmember Hoyt mentioned that he had a discussion regarding merits with
the Pleasant Grove Chamber of Commerce (along with Mr. Cowie and Mr. Van
Wagenen); they are looking into this issue and the PG Chamber will bring it to the
Council as a proposal at some future time. Councilmember Hoyt also reported that he met
with the Historic Preservation Commission tonight and they are still missing a
commissioner and if there are any suggestions to let him know.

2 **Councilmember Broderick** – Councilmember Broderick reported that he previously discussed the proposed cemetery building and provided an update.

4 **Councilmember Lundberg** – Councilmember Lundberg mentioned that Wadley Farms has asked if the City Council could arrange a tour of their new facility to see the commercial farm use zone. Councilmember Lundberg commented that she spoke with the Planning Commission Chairperson, Sharon Call, who indicated that the Planning Commission would also be interested in attending the tour. Councilmember Lundberg also gave a brief summary of the recent bike tour in Boulder, CO. She mentioned that ¼ of the people coming into that city are biking or walking (non-vehicle use). She noted they have created a sophisticated system of biking and walking trails that has revitalized their downtown area.

14 **Mayor Acerson** – Mayor Acerson reported that the Mountainland Association of Governments approved the allocation of monies to obtain matching funds from the federal government for the BRT in the Provo/Orem area. He noted this is a huge commitment as the routes are established but the frequency will increase; so it is a subsidy. Mayor Acerson also reported that he will be speaking at the upcoming ground breaking at the ProFire building expansion on Thursday and also at the new Noah’s groundbreaking which is upcoming. Mayor Acerson also expressed his thanks to Chief Cullimore for the great job with the drill down and also the Council for their participation. Mayor Acerson announced that his name is listed as a host at an upcoming event. He noted there is a write in candidate “Bill Frees” for the Utah County Commission seat “A”. The event will be held at the Riverside Country Club to raise funds and create awareness (Jimmer Fredette will be a guest) and will be held on Thursday, September 18th at 6:00 pm if anyone is interested in attending.

28 **Administrator’s Report:**

Mr. Cowie reported on the following items:

30 **Misc. Updates:**

- 32 • September City newsletter, Chief Cullimore
 - Land Use Project Tracking List
 - 34 ➤ Ivory Homes, Anderson property concept plan
 - 700 North assisted living facility
- 36 • Mr. Cowie reported there will be a Legislative report from State elected representatives on the October 21st Council meeting work session at 6:00 pm
- 38 • Tree lighting (to have Santa come or not) – Following discussion the Council was in agreement to have Santa.
- 40 • Mr. Cowie gave an update on the Group Home appeal
- Special Meeting request: September 23rd at 6:00 pm to review agreement with Wolf Mountain / Scott’s, Miracle Grow
- 42 • Mr. Cowie gave a claims updates on the following claims: Flygare, Dexter, Jasper
- 44 • Business Friendly practices: Mr. Cowie stated if there are any specific issues that should be addressed to send him an email

- Community Center OpEx – Mr. Cowie stated that he will come back with more information
- Misc. Items

Upcoming Meetings & Events:

- Newsletter Assignment: Councilmember Broderick – November newsletter article. *Due by last week in October.*
- September 20th at 8:00 am: The Arbor Day tree planting / Fun Run
- September 30th 5-7:00 pm pedestrian bike plan public open house
- October 3rd – Utah County Health Department Vaccination Clinic at Lindon City Center. 1-3:00 pm
- October 7th – 6:00 pm work session. Annual Department reviews with Police and Community Development.
- October 14th at Noon – Engineering Coordination at Public Works. Mayor Acerson, Councilmember Broderick will attend
- October 21st at 6:00 pm – work session with State Legislators
- November 18th – 6:00 pm work session. Annual Department reviews with Public Works and Parks Department
- November 27th – Thanksgiving Dinner at Community Center

Future items:

- Employee Policy Manual updates
- Performance evaluations, compensation, and benefit studies
- Impact Fee and Utilities rate studies
- Economic Development plan / policies

Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none he called for a motion to adjourn.

Adjourn –

COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 10:55 PM COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

Approved – October 7, 2014

Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

Jeff Acerson, Mayor