2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on **Tuesday**, **June 20, 2017**, beginning at 7:00 p.m. in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100 North State Street, Lindon, Utah. 4 6 **REGULAR SESSION** -7:00 P.M. 8 Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor Pledge of Allegiance: Doug Eastman **Dustin Sweeten** 10 Invocation: 12 **PRESENT EXCUSED** Jeff Acerson, Mayor Van Broderick, Councilmember 14 Matt Bean, Councilmember Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember Jacob Hoyt, Councilmember 16 Dustin Sweeten, Councilmember Adam Cowie, City Administrator 18 Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director 20 Kristen Colson, Finance Director Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder 22 1. Call to Order/Roll Call— The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. 24 2. Presentations/Announcements – a) **Mayor/Council Comments** – There were no announcements at this time. 26 28 3. **Approval of Minutes** – The minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council meeting of June 6, 2017 and special meeting minutes of May 23, 2017 and the 30 mobile tour minutes of June 13, 2017 were reviewed. COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES 32 OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2017 AND THE 34 SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES OF MAY 23, 2017 AND THE MOBILE TOUR MINUTES OF JUNE 13, 2017 AS AMENDED. COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN 36 SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS: COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE 38 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE 40 COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN AYE THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

4. **Consent Agenda** – No items.

42

- 5. <u>Open Session for Public Comment</u>— Mayor Acerson called for any public comment not listed as an agenda item. There was a resident in attendance who addressed the Council at this time as follows:
- 6 **Verl Cook:** Mr. Cook stated he is the Lindon Hollow Water President. Mr. Cook voiced his concerns with the Lindon water system. He noted the recently passed water legislative
- bills indicate that the Lindon canal should be piped as there is a concern with safety issues and possible lawsuits. Mayor Acerson stated as a city our desire is to pipe the
- canal but it takes money that needs to be appropriated and we are pursuing some grants and funding mechanisms. Mr. Cowie stated the grants awarded were for the North Union
- 12 Canal and this is the main ditch Mr. Cook is referring to. Mr. Cowie stated the city has looked at options in the past and it would be in the millions to pipe the ditch. Mr. Cowie
- then showed the map showing the sections of ditch that are currently piped. Mr. Cook pointed out the problems are from the Lindon Nursery on down. Mr. Cook stated there
- are issues of possible flooding and the question is who owns the pipe and who is responsible. Mr. Cook added the State may offer a long term loan to help facilitate the
- funds. Mr. Cowie stated they will continue to have discussion on ways to facilitate the piping of the ditch but it does take time and money; the long term goal of the city is to
- pipe the ditch. Mayor Acerson directed Mr. Cowie to follow up with this issue and to keep Mr. Cook apprised of the situation.

CURRENT BUSINESS

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

2

- 6. Public Hearing FY 2018 Transfer of Enterprise Funds to General Fund. The City Council will accept public comment as it reviews and considers proposed transfer of enterprise funds to the general fund as part of the fiscal year (FY) 2018 budget. The proposed transfers are as follows: Water Fund \$266,787 (11.2% of fund expenditures); Sewer Fund \$223,768 (7.0% of fund expenditures); Solid Waste Collection Fund \$16,240 (3.7% of fund expenditures); and Storm Water Drainage Fund \$108,528 (12.5% of fund expenditures). The City has annually transferred some of the enterprise funds to the general fund for use in supplementing such city services as fire, police, street maintenance, administration, parks & recreation, and other city functions. No motions will be made as this hearing is to only receive and consider public comment on the proposed enterprise fund transfers.
- 38 COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER BEAN SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.
- Adam Cowie, City Administrator, opened the discussion by explaining the City is required by new State legislation to hold a public hearing separate from any other budget hearings to receive comment on any proposed transfer of money from the enterprise funds (water, sewer, storm water, garbage). He pointed out the City is also required to notice utility customers of the transfer by mail, email, website, and social media. He

- 2 noted post cards with the estimated and proposed transfer information were mailed to Lindon utility customers on May 31, 2017. The new legislation also requires that a
- follow-up notices be sent and posted by the same methods to utility customers informing them of any transfers that were approved as part of the budget adoption and this will be
- done in July. After mailing and posting the notices, staff has had one resident mention that the transfers to keep property taxes low is a good idea, and one other resident sent an email asking several questions about the transfers.

Mr. Cowie further explained that transferring of funds from enterprise accounts to the general fund is legal and permitted by Utah State Code 10-6-135.5. It has been a common practice by Lindon and most other cities in Utah for many years. The enterprise

- funds (utility services) are created and accounted for like individually run business accounts. They are revenue generating enterprises facilitated and managed by and
- through Lindon City. Revenues are generated from these business accounts from the payment of the services they provide (delivery of water, sewage disposal, garbage
- disposal, removal/treatment of storm water). There are a great many administrative, overhead, and support services that are funded by the Lindon City general fund that also
- support and provide services to the enterprise fund business activities (finance, HR, police/fire, etc). The City assesses a percentage to each enterprise fund to cover the costs
- of these administrative and support services. These assessments/fees for services provided to the enterprise funds are collected (transferred) by Lindon City and put into
- 22 the general fund which is used to pay for many other needs and services the city provides.

Mr. Cowie further explained in regards to increasing utility rates and having 'surplus' enterprise funds. The enterprise funds may collect revenue and build reserves

- beyond typical operations and maintenance costs in order to fund future replacement and growth related projects. He noted our engineers evaluate future operations and
- replacement costs for the utility infrastructure and then provide utility rate recommendations on those calculated and documented needs. During the recession the enterprise funds were being depleted and were not trending to remain self-sufficient.
 - Therefore, after detailed engineering studies, utility rates have increased annually over
- several years in order to build the reserves in the utility funds needed to cover the costs of operations and replacement of the utility systems.

There was then some general discussion regarding the funds transfer including the process of evaluating and assessing the percentage amounts that is not unique of what has been done in the past. Mayor Acerson mentioned it is important to comply with state

- been done in the past. Mayor Acerson mentioned it is important to comply with state requirements and legislative mandates. Mr. Cowie clarified we are assessing these
- enterprise funds (business accounts) and taking the rate out for administrative costs and the percentage is what is left. He added after looking at other cities staff feels these rates
- are reasonable transfer amounts; there is a rationale that is followed from years past and it is looked at with an evaluation every year.
 Mr. Cowie noted this is for discussion only with no motion needed and this
- Mr. Cowie noted this is for discussion only with no motion needed and this hearing is only for accepting public comment on the intended transfers from the enterprise funds. Mayor Acerson then called for any public comment at this time. Hearing none he called for a motion to close the public hearing.

2	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER BEAN SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
4	VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.
6	Mayor Acerson then called for any further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none he moved on to the next agenda item.
8	Council. Treating none he moved on to the next agenda nem.
10	7. Public Hearing — Budget Adoption for FY 2018; Amend FY 2017 Budget (Resolution #2017-17-R). Kristen Colson, Lindon City Finance Director, will
12	present the final Lindon City Budget documents for FY2018 beginning July 1, 2017. The tentative budget for FY2018 was approved in a public hearing on April 18, 2017. The City Council also held a public meeting on the proposed
14	budget on May 2, 2017 and a public hearing on May 16, 2017 where budget issues were discussed in detail. The City Council will review the final budget for
16	FY 2018, review the amended budget for FY 2017, review the agreement for services between the RDA and the City, will set the Certified Tax Rate, and
18	review the city-wide fee schedule and compensation programs.
20	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
22	VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.
24	Kristen Colson, Lindon City Finance Director, opened the discussion by referencing the resolution and budget documents previously discussed and also the
26	changes since the proposed budget as follows:
20	• FY 2017: Transferring \$61k from Public Safety Building Capital Improvement
28	 Fund back to General Fund FY 2017: Increased transfer from General Fund to Road Fund \$100,000
30	• FY 2017: Took out \$2.5 million revenue for the sale of park property, as well as the expenditure of those proceeds in debt service payments
32	• FY 2018: Various changes in the General Fund resulting in an increased appropriation to the fund balance of \$167k
34	 FY 2018: Added debt service payments for the 2005 bond which had been proposed to be paid off
36	Ms. Colson then presented the FY2018 Budget Issues as follows:
38	1715. Colson then presented the 1-12016 Budget Issues as follows.
40	Budget Issue #1 Should Lindon City provide employees with a 1.5% Cost Of Living Allowance
	(COLA) increase and provide for a merit step or 3.0% increase in January?
42	Ms. Colson explained the Consumer Price Index (CPI) had an average annual

increase of 1.5% from March 2016 to February 2017 according to US Department of Labor. Performance evaluations are performed annually in January at which time merit increases would be available to those who meet a predetermined criteria. Historically,

44

- 2 COLA and merit increases have provided somewhat consistent buying power for the employees and have kept salaries competitive and employee morale high. She stated the
- 4 Fiscal impact for both is \$124,000 (broken out by fund). The merit increase is budgeted based on the unreserved General Fund balance as a percentage of revenue. A 3.0% Merit
- 6 Increase is budgeted for 2016FY, effective Jan. 1, 2017. The Merit Increase is awarded based on employee evaluation scores. She noted that both the COLA and Merit increases
- 8 are reflected in the Final Budget. Ms. Colson asked if there were any questions or concerns at this time.
- Mayor Acerson called for any public comment at this time. A resident in attendance questioned if all employees will receive a 3% merit increase. Ms. Colson explained the merit varies by employee and is based on evaluation performance.

Following some general discussion the Council took a vote regarding Budget

14 Issue #1 was recorded as follows:

16 THE COUNCIL VOTE FOR BUDGET ISSUE #1 WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

- 18 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
- 20 COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN AYE THE VOTE WAS UNANIMOUS

22

Budget Issue #2

24 <u>Should Lindon City hire a full-time Public Works Engineer and a full-time Facilities</u> Manager?

26

28

Ms. Colson explained the following full time Public Works Engineer and

Facilities Manager positions for consideration as has been previously discussed:

30 **Public Works Engineer**:

- Engineering costs increasing as Lindon City grows
- JUB has 10 engineers that provide service to Lindon City, with 3 of them almost exclusively working on Lindon projects
- PW Engineer could reduce workload at JUB by one engineer, approximately \$188,000 per year
- The cost of PW Engineer would be \$136,000 so an annual savings of about \$52,000
- Duties would include site plan reviews, attend DRC Meetings, attend governmental coordination meetings (i.e., UDOT, other cities), helping with various city projects, coordinate with JUB, assist other Lindon staff

42 Facilities Manager:

- Lindon City growing with most recent addition of Public Safety Building
- Lindon owns 113,000 ft² of roofed facilities (buildings, pavilions, restrooms, well houses, pump houses, etc.)

- Different departments managing these facilities resulting in inconsistent maintenance and extra hours
- Duties would include facilities maintenance, assist with department projects, oversee upcoming City Center elevator remodel, maintain Aquatics Center in winter months, supervise all janitorial services, manage City fleet registration and maintenance scheduling

8

10

Ms. Colson then explained the Fiscal Impact stating the salary and benefits for these positions is listed below without COLA and Merit increases. COLA and Merit increase costs for these positions are included with Budget Issue #1.

12		<u>PW Engineer</u>	Facilities Mgr
	Salary	\$90,001.60	\$43,659.20
14	Benefits	\$46,077.60	\$32,304.63
	Total	\$136,079.20	\$75,963.83

She noted that both positions would be paid from the General Fund. The Public Works Engineer would have equal cost share contributions from the Water, Sewer and Storm Water Funds totaling \$102,059.40. She then referenced the total impact by fund as follows:

20	General Fund	\$109,983.63
	Water Fund	\$34,019.80
22	Sewer Fund	\$34,019.80
	Storm Water Fund	\$34,019.80

24

26

28

Ms. Colson noted these positions are reflected in the Final Budget. Ms. Colson asked if there were any questions or concerns at this time.

Following some general discussion by the Council the vote regarding Budget Issue #2 was recorded as follows:

30 THE COUNCIL VOTE FOR BUDGET ISSUE #2 WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
32	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE
	6COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
34	COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN	AYE

THE VOTE WAS UNANIMOUS

36

38

Budget Issue #3:

Should Lindon City increase Water, Sewer and Storm Water utility rates?

- Ms. Colson explained utility rates should not only cover current operational expenses, but should also allow reserves to be established over time in order to fund repairing and/or replacing of aging system components. She noted the current utility rates do not meet this objective. In addition, recent legislation mandates that culinary water rates be structured in a tier format to encourage conservation. She noted the City Engineer conducted an infrastructure assessment and maintenance evaluation as well as a utility rate study to determine and recommend utility rate increases for water, sewer, and
 - Lindon City Council June 20, 2017

- 2 storm water utilities. The recommendation was to implement an annual increase over five years of 9% for water rates, 4% for sewer rates, and 13% for storm water rates. The
- annual rate increases began July 1, 2014. She noted these rate increases do not currently 4 include culinary water pumping costs to upper zones, nor the tiered rate structure
- changes. JUB Engineers is currently evaluating these fees. 6

Ms. Colson stated they are recommending approval of the increases in water,

- 8 sewer and storm water utility rates. These changes are reflected in the Final Budget and revised Fee Schedule. Ms. Colson asked if there were any questions or concerns at this
- 10 time.
- 12 Ms. Colson then referenced the Differential Fiscal Impact as follows:

Water Fund \$122,780 Sewer Fund \$ 60,890 \$ 81,505 Storm Water Fund

14 Following some general discussion by the Council the vote regarding Budget Issue #3 was recorded as follows:

16

THE COUNCIL VOTE FOR BUDGET ISSUE #3 WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

18 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE 20 AYE COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

22 **COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN** AYE THE VOTE WAS UNANIMOUS

24

26

Ms. Colson also presented the following budget items that were previously discussed in prior meetings as follows:

28 FEE SCHEDULE CHANGES

Ms. Colson then went over the Fee Schedule Changes previously discussed including rental rates and pool passes at the Aquatics Center, a candidate filing fee and secondary water meter rates and utility rates and water shares (equivalency to North

32 Union). Ms. Colson noted the changes in existing fees including the Corporate Block passes at Aquatic Center.

34

30

CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE AND CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

- Ms. Colson went over the changes in the fund balance. She also noted additional 36 capital expenditure items including \$100,000 for the City Center elevator remodel,
- 38 \$82,000 for two (2) police vehicles, \$50,000 for two (2) trucks for the two new employees, \$39,665 for a truck for Parks Department as well as Salter & broom for the
- Kubota and \$20,000 for a car for Planning Department. She also mentioned the \$500,000 40 for major maintenance and/or reconstruction (Road Fund) and \$100,000 (Parc Tax) to fix
- or replace Meadow Park playground, \$39,000 (Water Fund) for a truck and \$652,000 for 42 special projects including \$250k for preliminary work on new well, \$100k for water
- portion of off-site improvements for SL# 7 and \$202k for projects postponed from 44

- 2 2017FY and \$1.4 Million for sewer lift station #7 (Sewer fund) and (Storm Water Fund) \$35,000 for storm water portion of off-site improvements for SL# 7. Ms. Colson called for any further questions at this time on the budget. There were no further questions. 4 Mayor Acerson then called for any further public comment. Hearing none he called for a motion to close the public hearing. 6 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. 8 COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
- 10 VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.
- 12 Mayor Acerson then called for any further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none he called for a motion.

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION #2017-16 17-R AND THE FISCAL YEAR 2018 FINAL BUDGET, AMENDING THE

- BUDGET FOR THE 2016-2017 FISCAL YEAR (FY2017), SETTING THE CERTIFIED TAX RATE, ADOPTING A FEE SCHEDULE, ADOPTING THE 18
- COMPENSATION PROGRAMS, AND ENTERING INTO THE AGREEMENT WITH
- 20 THE LINDON REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:
- 22 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

14

32

44

46

- 24 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN AYE
- 26 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.
- 28 8. Recess to Lindon City Redevelopment Agency Meeting (RDA). The RDA Board of Directors will review and consider the Proposed Budget for fiscal year 30 2018. The RDA will also hold a public hearing to adopt the Final Budget on June 20, 2017.
- COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING OF 34 THE LINDON CITY COUNCIL AND CONVENE THE MEETING OF THE LINDON CITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AT 8:02 P.M. COUNCILMEMBER HOYT 36 SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION
- CARRIED. 38
- BOARDMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING OF 40 THE LINDON CITY RDA AND RE-CONVENE THE MEETING OF THE LINDON CITY COUNCIL MEETING AT 8:07 P.M. BOARDMEMBER SWEETEN 42 SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION
 - 9. Major Subdivision Parkview Towns at Anderson Farms Subdivision, 300 North 1610 West. Ken Watson, on behalf of Ivory Development, LLC, seeks

CARRIED.

preliminary approval of a twenty-nine (29) lot townhome subdivision, including dedication of public streets, at approximately 300 North 1610 West, in the
 Anderson Farms Planned Development (AFPD) zone. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

Hugh Van Wagenen led this agenda item by explaining this is the third phase of the Anderson Farms Planned Development which was approved by a Development Agreement between Lindon City and Ivory Development in June of 2016. He noted Parkview Towns consists of 29 townhome units in what is considered Parcel C of the Anderson Farms concept plan (2.9 acres). The development of Anderson Farms is governed by the Anderson Farms Master Development Agreement and all standards referred to tonight are a part of that Agreement. He pointed out this application meets the standards agreed to in that Development Agreement and Concept Plan (plat A has been recorded). He noted the Planning Commission recommended approval in a 6-0 vote.

Mr. Van Wagenen explained the average lot size of the townhomes is 1,577 s.f. with the largest lot being 1,691 s.f. and the smallest being 1, 474 s.f. and these lots are consistent with the concept plan for the townhomes. This is the first phase of the townhomes, which will eventually consist of 125 units. The driveways have a minimum 20 foot depth and the new roads will be built to serve the subdivision, however they will be private roads and or drives. He noted this plat will require a combination sewer, ground water, and pressure irrigation system pump station with associated off-site lines to be built (they were also required for Plats A and B) and once built, this infrastructure will serve the remainder of the development.

Mr. Van Wagenen explained these systems will need to be operational before any certificates of occupancy are approved with no park improvements being required at this time. Mr. Van Wagenen then referenced for discussion an aerial photo of the proposed subdivision, preliminary Parkview Towns at Anderson Farms Plat, Townhome elevation/rendering example, Landscaping example, Photo of the existing site, Anderson Farms overall concept plan, Overall townhome concept (Parcel C), Street cross sections and the Off-site utility maps. Mr. Van Wagenen then turned the time over to Mr. Ken Watson, representing Anderson Development to speak on the overall project and product.

Mr. Watson gave a quick overview of the townhome subdivision and improvements including the landscaping. He stated the pump house is 80% complete and is ahead of schedule and the pumps are set. The off-site improvements are well underway (75%) and both should be done by mid-July with the infrastructure being put in place. He noted the plat is recorded and they will be getting building permits into the city soon. He then presented the townhome renderings and orientation for review for Plat A. He noted the plans are into staff now for approval. He also presented the color scheme followed by discussion.

Mr. Watson also discussed the square footage of the units noting the basements will be unfinished and the units will have two car garages (22 ft. deep) with a lot of landscaping on the project. Mr. Watson then presented a list of options that potential home buyers can come in and buy. Mr. Watson pointed out when they reach 66 % of single family units the threshold will be triggered to build the park. There was then some additional discussion including buffering, setbacks and accesses and utilities and a sales

- timeline. Chris Gamvroulas, Ivory Homes, addressed the Council at this time. Mr. Gamvroulas stated the market will dictate sales. He pointed out between the
- 4 infrastructure and the sewer lift station costs they need to get some homes going but the market is strong for townhomes and these will be very nice with a lot of articulation in
- 6 the front. He also pointed out the determination of what got developed first was related to the infrastructure. He noted the wall/fencing along the freeway will be adjusted (per
- 8 UDOT). There was also some discussion on the possibility of a retail big box in the area with Mr. Gamvroulas stating they are still cooperating with the brokers and the
- Andersons and are hoping to set a nice tone at the front. There are reservations on the single family homes and they will start taking contracts next week with the townhomes contracts to follow several months later.
- Mayor Acerson then called for any further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none he called for a motion.
- 16 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN MOVED TO APPROVE THE APPLICANT'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A 29 LOT RESIDENTIAL TOWNHOME
- 18 SUBDIVISION WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS: 1. DEVELOPER BE RESPONSIBLE TO PUMP GROUNDWATER COLLECTED BY THE LAND DRAIN
- 20 SYSTEM UNTIL THE GROUNDWATER PUMP STATION IS OPERATIONAL. 2. OFF-SITE SEWER, GROUND WATER, AND PRESSURE IRRIGATION SYSTEM
- 22 PUMP STATION AND OFF-SITE SEWER FORCE MAIN AND PRESSURE IRRIGATION LINE SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AND FUNCTIONAL BEFORE
- 24 ANY HOMES CAN BE OCCUPIED OR CULINARY WATER SERVICE IS PROVIDED TO ANY HOMES. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE
- 26 MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

- 28 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
- 30 COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN AYE
 - THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

32

34

36

- 10. **Discussion Item Land Uses around 700 N. Corridor and PG/Lindon Interchange.** The City Council will review and consider various types of land uses currently permitted in zones along the 700 North corridor and areas around the PG/Lindon I-15 interchange. Direction may be given to staff regarding the City Council's envisioned changes and/or limitations in land uses that may be needed along the corridor.
- Mr. Van Wagenen opened this agenda item by explaining there are several items are included in the packet for referenced to the discussion around land uses in the subject areas. The two zones governing are the CG-A8 zone around the Lindon/PG interchange and the Lindon Village Commercial zone along 700 North. He then referenced the current zoning maps noting West of I-15 UDOT has future facilities that will impact the area, namely the Vineyard Connector and I-15 frontage roads. He then referenced a concept plan of those facilities if approved. Around the Lindon/PG interchange there are

2 several developments proposed. He pointed out that both the General Commercial and Lindon Village Commercial (LVC) zone have similar permission on land uses per the

standard land use table. He then referenced the CG zones that have several permitted uses that are not allowed in the LVC zone. Mr. Van Wagenen turned the time over to the city council for discussion regarding the land uses and the implementation and guiding forces

on the 700 North Corridor.

Councilmember Lundberg gave a brief summary of what other cities have planned and similar to what Lindon is considering for that area. She noted they are done through a consulting firm with a plan overview, summary, urban design, land use, transportation plans, circulation, implementation, utilities, etc. She feels everyone is on the same page and agrees that this is a very critical area for the future of Lindon City and different than Lindon Village; the key is diversity in the economic mix. This is potentially a definable downtown area for Lindon since there is not a historic downtown area.

There was then some general discussion by the Council where they considered various types of land uses currently permitted in zones along the 700 North corridor and areas around the PG/Lindon I-15 interchange. They discussed their vision changes and/or limitations in land uses that may be needed along the corridor. The Council also felt that a joint meeting with the Planning Commission should be considered to share their ideas and vision of the area and what they are hoping to accomplish and what types of businesses constitutes revenue for the city.

Ben Richardson, with Coldwell Banker, pointed out that the big box days are changing because of online buying. As retail changes the trade core is very important where you place jobs to drive the retail as it is as important as rooftops. Retail and office developers are working hand in hand and chasing each other. Lindon has the ability to handle major traffic because of the interchange with the Vineyard Connector.

Following discussion Mayor Acerson asked Mr. Van Wagenen if the Council has given him sufficient direction on how to proceed. Mr. Van Wagenen stated he is hearing the Council would like to have a joint work session with the Planning Commission to discuss the concerns about 700 North filling up with office/warehouse space and the west side of I-15 and possibly capping certain types of uses. He is also hearing they would like to look at what a new zone at the interchange may consist of and more information on a small area plan for 700 North and to also look into the possibility of using professional services for a specific area plan.

Mayor Acerson then called for any further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none he moved on to the next agenda item.

11. Public Hearing — General Plan Amendment, 725 N. 2800 West. Ordinance #2017-3-O. Mark Weldon, WICP West Orem #3 (3/4), LLC, requests a General Plan Land Use Map Amendment from Commercial to Mixed Commercial, on property (north 5.5 acres) located at 725 North 2800 West, and identified by Utah County Parcel ID #13:063:0085. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

2 COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER HOYT SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

Mr. Van Wagenen led this agenda item by giving an overview stating this property in question is located on the Lindon border with American Fork this property is currently surrounded by General Commercial property to the south and east and Mixed Commercial property to the north. The Mixed Commercial property to the north was rezoned in 2015 from General Commercial to Mixed Commercial in order to accommodate development of a flex office/warehouse project. He noted Mr. Scott Thorsen and Mr. Ben Richardson are in attendance tonight as representatives of this agenda item.

Mr. Van Wagenen stated the current development proposal would possibly construct a flex office/warehouse as part of a corporate campus concept if the General Plan and zoning designations were approved. He noted that flex office/warehouse typically serves businesses that require space in which light assembly, packaging, and shipping activities will occur. The conceptual layout shows this land as part of the larger Mountain Tech campus. He pointed out that Building #1 is operational (office) with Building #2 (office) being under construction. Building #3 would be the flex space that this application would allow to happen while Building #4 would be an additional office on the corner of 600 North (PG Boulevard) and 2800 West. A parking deck is also being envisioned on the site if parking demands require it. The applicant's proposed use for the flex building is not allowed in the CG zone, but it is in the MC zone. He added that the applicant will request the appropriate zoning on the next agenda item.

Mr. Van Wagenen went on to explain that City Code requires that any zone change must be consistent with the City's General Plan Designation. The current General Plan designation is Commercial, so the General Plan map must first be modified for the rezone request to be possible. Therefore, the applicant is requesting that the General Plan designation be changed to Mixed Commercial to permit the zone change and allow the desired uses.

Mr. Van Wagenen further noted that following a lot of discussion with the applicant and among themselves, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the requested General Plan change. He stated the Commission felt that the locations proximity to the MC zone to the north, American Fork's Planned Industrial zone to the west and keeping 600 North frontage as General Commercial along with the presented concept plan were enough compelling reasons to recommend approval.

Mr. Van Wagenen stated the General Plan currently designates the property under the category of Commercial. This category includes retail and service oriented businesses, and shopping centers that serve community and regional needs. The applicant is requesting that the General Plan designation of the property be changed to Mixed Commercial, which includes the uses in the General Commercial designation, as well as light industrial and research and business uses.

Mr. Van Wagenen then referenced the relevant general plan policies to consider in determining whether the requested change will be in the public interest followed by discussion. He also referenced an aerial photo of the proposed area to be re-classified,

the current general plan land use map, conceptual site plans, photos of the existing site, the future UDOT facilities in the vicinity, the Standard Land Use Table - CG-A8 and MC comparison (changes highlighted) and the Draft Ordinance 2017-3-O. He then turned the time over to the representatives to comment on the proposed project.

Mr. Thorsen commented that they have done a lot of work on this project and the four story tower is currently going up and the building to the south (Jive Communication) is going in. He stated they have a concept of building a high bay warehouse that looks like an office building that is for one whole campus. Mr. Thorsen stated their preference would be to have office on the Mountain Tech side and move the industrial to the south of Pleasant Grove Blvd. He noted the issue is where they have some very expensive office buildings and tenants in the two buildings that border the highway, and the tenants have asked if they can shield any future construction.

Mr. Thorsen stated they plan to operate a clean campus facility that will look like an office building with a warehouse with high end industry that will bring a lot of jobs. The challenge will be how to facilitate developing this if they are able to have a mixed use for the 40 acres. Depending on what happens on Mountain Tech north he would like the right to put the office warehouse at that location. They are in due diligence with the 40 acres below them and are prepared to close on the property. He also referenced the renderings showing the 50 ft. concrete wing wall that will shield the dock area. Mr. Thorsen pointed out there is some incentive as it is the same developer and Mr. Weldon wants to keep his tenants happy and has a vested interest in the area and in building a top notch product.

Mr. Thorsen went on to say they have a big investment in the two existing office buildings and would like to have the option to shield from the Blind Man and don't want to see the trucks and want to accommodate the existing tenants as they are both 10 year tenants (Global Payments and Jive Communications). Mr. Thorsen commented that Mr. Weldon is not asking for anything that the city has not already granted to others and is simply asking what has already been granted to the property to the north of him. He pointed out they are a long term player and have spent a lot of money and brought a lot of jobs to Lindon.

There was then some general discussion by the Council regarding this issue including concerns with the Blind Man business operations and other established businesses and the use of a potential landscaping buffer. The Council was in agreement that Mr. Weldon produces a nice product and has a vested interest and is acquiring a lot of ground and making significant moves in Lindon. They do want balance and diversity and need to look at what areas make sense.

Mayor Acerson then called for any further public comment. Hearing none he called for a motion to close the public hearing.

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

Mayor Acerson then called for any further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none he called for a motion.

2	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE 2017-3-O WITH NO CONDITIONS. COUNCILMEMBER BEAN SECONDED THE MOTION.
4	THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:
7	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
6	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE
O	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
8	COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN AYE
O	THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.
10	THE MOTION CARRIED CHANIMOUSET.
10	12. Public Hearing — Zone Map Amendment, 725 N. 2800 W.; Ordinance
12	#2017-4-O. Mark Weldon, WICP West Orem #3 (3/4), LLC, requests approval
12	of a Zone Map Amendment from General Commercial Auto (CG-A8) to Mixed
14	Commercial (MC), on property (~north 5.5 acres) located at 725 North 2800
	West, and identified by Utah County Parcel ID #13:063:0085. The Planning
16	Commission recommends approval.
	Commission recommends approval.
18	COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC
	HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
20	PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.
22	Mr. Van Wagenen stated based on the previous discussion on the General Plan
	Amendment item that was just approved he doesn't have any further information to
24	provide on the zone map amendment as these items are connected.
	Mayor Acerson then called for any public comment. Hearing none he called for a
26	motion to close the public hearing.
	S. C.
28	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.
	COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
30	VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.
32	Mayor Acerson then called for any further comments or discussion from the
	Council. Hearing none he called for a motion.
34	
	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE 2017-4-O
36	REGARDING THE ZONE MAP AMENDMENT WITH NO CONDITIONS.
	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
38	RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:
	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
40	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE
	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
12	COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN AYE
	THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.
14	
	13. Continued Public Hearing — Disposal of Surplus Property; Resolution
1 6	#2017-15-R. This item was continued from the June 6, 2017 City Council

2	meeting. The City Council will review and consider the sale and disposal of up to 14.51 acres of surplus real property located at approximately 2100 West 600
4	South, Lindon (Utah County Parcel ID #17:023:0012). The property has been listed for sale since the fall of 2015.
6	
8	Mayor Acerson called for a five minute break at this time.
	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC
10	HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
	PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.
12	
	Adam Cowie, City Administrator, led this agenda item by giving a brief overview
14	of this agenda item explaining the City Council is considering the sale and disposal of the majority of the property with proposed sale proceeds intended to be used to pay down
16	existing city debts. He stated this item was discussed and continued from the May 16,
	2017 City Council meeting, then discussed and continued again at the June 6, 2017
18	meeting to allow an on-site visit of the property by the entire Council, which occurred on
	June 13 th . After the June 6 th meeting the City Council directed staff that offers on the
20	property continue to be received but not considered for acceptance until the Utah Lake
	Commission had a few weeks to come up with a concept plan for a possible Nature
22	Center on the property.
	Mr. Cowie noted the Council also directed staff to prepare two different options
24	for a resolution/declaration of surplus property to be considered at this meeting tonight as
	follows:
26	• Resolution Option #1: Declare all of the originally listed 14.51 acres as surplus real property, or
28	• Resolution Option #2: Declare a smaller portion of the property (TBD by
	Council) as surplus real property.
30	
	Mr. Cowie stated the approximate acreage can be determined tonight if less than
32	the full 14.5 acres is declared as surplus. He added if either resolution declaring the
	property as surplus is adopted the Council will still need to accept public comment on a
34	final accepted offer. He further added that City attorney indicated that this can occur
	through acceptance of written comments or through a future public meeting, at the
36	discretion of the Council. Mr. Cowie pointed out if land acreage that was not declared
	surplus is later intended to be sold it will need to be declared surplus by Council action
38	with an updated resolution.
	Mayor Acerson called for any public comment at this time. Several in attendance
40	addressed the Council as follows:
12	Jim Dain: Mr. Dain presented and explained a conceptual plan with three different
14	schemes of what may fit (a combination) at the property including soccer fields,
14	pavilions, education center etc. He also presented a copy of the approved Vineyard
	zoning map. Mr. Dain indicated the Utah Lake Commission may consider a visitor's

center on the far north end of the property with a clear unobstructed view of the lake. He

- added if former city councils had ground/property that they thought was unusable we wouldn't have the Lindon City Park or even the ground where this city building sits
- 4 today. He feels this is a premium parcel and really believes that down the road if this is sold it would be very regrettable and we should hang on to this. He would say to think of
- 6 the future and to find other ways to bring down debt as the city is not in financial straits right now and has a flush budget. Mr. Dain also mentioned he spoke with Brandon
- 8 Gillins, who is the men's soccer coach at BYU and who plays a big role in youth soccer. He asked Mr. Gillins if people would play and use the fields if soccer fields were put in at
- the property. Mr. Gillins indicated he firmly believes people would play and the fields would definitely be well used. Mr. Dain also read a written statement from previous
- councilmember Richard Draper who is in support of retaining the property and to preserve it for public use as was intended.

Eric Ellis: Mr. Ellis, Utah Lake Commission Director, stated he appreciated the Council coming to tour the property to visualize the potential. He noted with the portion up for

- coming to four the property to visualize the potential. He noted with the portion up for sale there have been a lot of interesting presented options to preserve it for public use.
- He pointed out that Lindon City did adopt a model ordinance in 2012 (through the Utah Lake Commission) for lakeshore properties and each community was represented; he can
- re-share the model ordinance for review if requested. He pointed out that there are so many options to consider. When he spoke to Vineyard they suggested turning it into an
- 22 RV/campsite park that would be revenue generating and bring tourism. He would encourage the Council to keep the idea open and to keep other options open because of
- the Marina access and the recently adopted Vineyard zone change map.
- Larry Ellertson: Mr. Ellertson mentioned one thing relative to this property different from other lakeshore areas is that it has a raised shoreline and won't flood. He agreed we
- don't know what the future will bring, but he would suggest giving some thought to these concepts and future uses. Selling the property for road funding when the amount needed
- 30 is less than 15 % of what is needed is not a good option and does not solve the problem; a solution will still be needed to fund roads; an issue all communities are facing. He
- suggested that this is not that solution and would only be a small portion of what is needed for roads. This property needs to be preserved how it was originally perceived
- and if sold we are giving away a potential for future use; selling is not the right thing. He implored the Council to consider the original concept as it is incumbent on future
- 36 Councils to honor those things.
- **Gary Brodeur:** Mr. Brodeur, Orem resident and a realtor with Osmond Real Estate. He stated he went on the recent walk-through of the property with the Council. He came
- 40 upon this issue but he didn't perceive an integrated concept for this and feels having delayed this has been helpful to bring a vision together for the use of the property. The
- plan they saw last week during the walk through has provided some progress because the commercial aspect is easier to work with the agent. He noted he grew up in southern
- California and saw a lot of the land go to development and he feels this property represents a unique opportunity for the city as it sits in a transit corridor that will be
- highly visible in the future and sometimes perception is reality. The Council has the

2	opportunity in the future to decide what to do with this property and he speaks in favor of having this parcel as an attractive entry point for the city.
4	having this parcer as an attractive entry point for the city.
6	Councilmember Hoyt commented they have discussed Renewable Energy Renovations and the possible site for a Nature Education Center and asked Mr. Ellis if
8	there has been any progress on this with the Board. Mr. Ellis stated they have not had the chance to meet as a board since the last meeting but they have indicated their interest and
10	this issue is on the next agenda.
	Councilmember Sweeten brought up the discussion when walking the property
12	the portion they proposed to keep and asked Mr. Ellis if it would be sufficient space for the Education Center they are proposing. Mr. Ellis confirmed that statement if it would
14	allow for drive space/parking for both facilities.
16	Mayor Acerson then called for any further public comment. Hearing none he called for a motion to close the public hearing.
18	
	COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC
20	HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER HOYT SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.
22	
24	Councilmember Sweeten asked Mr. Cowie to present the slide (map) of the recent tour of the property. Mr. Cowie showed the map followed by discussion on the property
26	areas and possible sectioning/line drawing of the parcel.
20	Councilmember Lundberg commented Mr. Ellis had also mentioned a
28	public/private partnership with perhaps an RV/Campground, boat storage etc. however, she did not there are some concerns with management and safety concerns. She pointed
30	out these uses could enhance the harbor use as well. Her initial thought is that there are so many beautiful Lindon parks and she is leaning towards obtaining the education center
32	but with a natural space in the area that would be amenable with the wildlife and artesian wells, ponds etc. and may be a higher use and to keep it natural may be ideal.
34	wens, points etc. and may be a inglier use that to keep it material may be ideal.
	Councilmember Hoyt stated there is no need to sell the property unless they can
36	completely liquidate some of the debt which would free up cash flow to facilitate roads; the purpose is to free up cash flow to go towards roads.
38	and purpose is to free up cash from to go to wards roads.
	Mayor Acerson questioned the Council at this time, based on the many options
40	presented here, are there any sitting councilmember's that feel, at this point in time, to defer selling the property and keep it for some future public use or if they feel to sell the
42	property is imminent if the right offer comes in.

Councilmember Sweeten: He would agree sell if the right offer comes in.

2	Councilmember Hoyt : He would agree to sell if the right offer comes in and would prefer a win-win situation.
4	
6	Councilmember Bean : He would agree to sell if the right offer comes in. He made note that this City Council promised two years ago not to proceed with the Public Safety Building unless we agreed to sell public assets to pay for the building. He feels this
8	Council has the obligation to live up to that promise. Unless it's a legal obligation he doesn't know that he, as an elected official, can obligate the city to a future action.
10	
12	Councilmember Lundberg : She doesn't feel a sense of urgency to make a definitive sell right now. However, she does see that freeing up debt is appealing and she is not against finding a compatible user/buyer.
14	
16	Councilmember Bean mentioned the major obligations the city has incurred since the property was purchased and the debt surface and operating costs that the city didn't have 18 years ago and revenues haven't increase that much since that time. He stated this
18	council took on these obligations and he realizes all councils make the best decisions they can, but these facts are real and this council has not entered into any major obligation
20	except the Public Safety Building which was required by contractual obligation and this is one reason they talked about selling city assets.
22	M D' 14 (4 C '1 11' (14' '1(1 114 D11'
24	Mr. Dain agreed that the former councils obligated this council to build the Public Safety Building. He would argue that they made the right decision, but he didn't force it on them; that was this Councils decision.
26	
28	Councilmember Lundberg commented since the property was acquired, there have been many additional parks created with a nice level of amenities and services for the residents. When looking at the context of where this property is located the question
30	is if we can achieve the spirit or intent of what this property could do with some beautiful uses along the trail and still sell a little piece and not eliminate some of those benefits;
32	she is not sure it's an all or nothing situation.
34	Mr. Ellis asked if it would be possible to put into the motion that deference would be given to an offer that would be more of a win-win proposition.
36	
38	Mayor Acerson then called for any further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none he called for a motion.
40	COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION #2017-15-R RESOLUTION OPTION NUMBER ONE OF SURPLUSING THE
42	ENTIRE AMOUNT WITH THE OPTION TO KEEP MORE OF THE PROPERTY AND CONTINUE TAKING OFFERS THAT COME FORWARD.
44	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:
46	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

2		NAY
4	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN	NAY AYE
6	DUE TO A TIE VOTE MAYOR ACERSO A NAY VOTE. THE MOTION FAILED	ON VOTED TO BREAK THE TIE WITH THREE TO TWO.
8		
	Following some additional discussion	n Mayor Acerson called for a new motion
10	due to the failure of the previous motion.	
12	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVE	D TO APPROVE RESOLUTION #2017-
	15-R OPTION NUMBER TWO DECLARIN	NG THE DISPOSAL OF A SMALLER
14	PORTION (ROUGHLY 9 ACRES) OF THE	PROPERTY WITH THE LINE
	DICTATED BY EXIBIT A. COUNCILME	
16	MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED A	AS FOLLOWS:
	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	NAY
18		AYE
		AYE
20		AYE
	THE MOTION CARRIED THREE TO ONE	E.
22		
2.4		quest at 290 N. 712 W. An application for
24	installation of a street light has been	
2.		net. Total cost to install a Granville type
26	street light at this location is estimate	ed at \$4,417.65.
28	Mr. Cowie led this agenda item by ex	plaining the City received a Streetlight
	Installation Application request from Mr. Jac	
30	Pheasant Brook subdivision that was signed	
	neighborhood. He noted the application meet	s the criteria for a new street light in a mid-
32	block location on 290 North, subject to City	Council approval.
	Mr. Cowie explained the criteria for s	street lights include that roads over 650 feet
34	long are eligible for a mid-block light. This s	ection of roadway is over 850 feet long and
	almost 1,000 feet between existing street ligh	nts. Total estimated cost of the new light at
36	this location is \$4,417.65. He pointed out the	City has available budgeted funds for street
	light installations to construct a light at this le	ocation and staff recommends approval of
38	this request.	
	•	omments or discussion from the Council.
40	Hearing none he called for a motion.	
42	COUNCIL MEMBER HOYT MOVE	D TO APPROVE A NEW STREET LIGHT
⊤ ∠	TO BE INSTALLED AT APPROXIMATEI	
44	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECON	
	RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:	
4 -	COLDICIL A CEL COED TO	ATTE

AYE

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN

2	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE
	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
4	COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN	AYE
	THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSI	Y.
_		

6

10. **COUNCIL REPORTS:**

8

<u>Councilmember Hoyt</u> – Councilmember Hoyt reported on the recent PG/Lindon

- 10 Chamber Tri-Chamber luncheon noting it was a good meeting and well attended. He also noted Josh Walker, Chamber President, would be more than willing to give a report when
- requested by the council.
- 14 <u>Councilmember Broderick</u> Councilmember Broderick was absent from the meeting.
- 16 <u>Councilmember Bean</u> Councilmember Bean had nothing to report.
- 18 <u>Councilmember Lundberg</u> Councilmember Lundberg had nothing to report.
- 20 <u>Councilmember Sweeten</u>— Councilmember Sweeten reported he participated in the recent Strawberry Days celebration and he has some ideas to discuss with Heath Bateman
- for Lindon Days. He also spoke on the interviewing process and asked the Council if they feel a councilmember should be involved in the interview process. Following discussion
- the Council was in agreement that the City Manager should make that determination unless it is under their assignment and responsibility.

26

28

<u>Mayor Acerson</u> – Mayor Acerson reported he attended the recent UIA meeting along with Mr. Cowie where they discussed the upcoming budget. He also attended the IHC Outreach meeting where they discussed wellness programs.

30

32

36

40

42

46

11. **Administrator's Report:** Mr. Cowie reported on the following items followed by discussion.

34 Misc Updates:

- June newsletter
- July newsletter article: Van Broderick Article due to Kathy Moosman last week in June.
- UTOPIA/UIA new bond proposed for continued build-out.
 - Primary Elections Meet the Candidates night tentative date: July 20th at 7pm. Questions/topics/format.
 - o Tentative date for General Election Meet the Candidate night: October 12th at 7pm
 - Misc. Items

44 Upcoming Meetings & Events:

• July 4th – City offices closed. No Council meeting. Next regularly scheduled meeting is July 18th

2	• July 20th at 7pm – Tentative date for Primary Election Meet the Candidate night
	• July 24th – City offices closed
4	• August 7th-12th – Lindon Days
	 August 15th – Primary Election (No Council meeting)
6	 September 4th – City offices closed
	 October 12th – Tentative date for General Election Meet the Candidate night
8	 November 7th – General Election (No Council meeting)
10	Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none he called for a motion to adjourn.
12	Treating none ne canca for a motion to adjourn.
	Adjourn –
14	
	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT
16	11:52 PM. COUNCILMEMBER SWEETEN SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.
18	
	Approved – July 18, 2017
20	
22	
	Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder
24	
26	T CC A
20	Jeff Acerson, Mayor
28	