

2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on **Tuesday, May 17, 2016,**
4 **beginning with a work session at 6:00 p.m.** in the Lindon City Center, City Council
Chambers, 100 North State Street, Lindon, Utah.

6 **WORK SESSION** – 6:00 P.M.
Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor

8

PRESENT **ABSENT**

10 Jeff Acerson, Mayor
11 Matt Bean, Councilmember
12 Van Broderick, Councilmember
13 Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember
14 Jacob Hoyt, Councilmember
15 Adam Cowie, City Administrator
16 Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police
17 Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director
18 Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

20 1. **Discussion on FY 2017 Proposed Budget:** The Lindon City Council will review
21 and discuss the proposed Fiscal Year 2017 Budget. The Council will provide
22 direction on specific items.

24 Adam Cowie, City Administrator, led this discussion by explaining multiple
25 budget documents are provided for the Council’s review and will be discussed tonight in
26 detail. Mr. Cowie explained the budget document has been updated from the tentative
27 budget that was presented and discussed in the last two budget meetings. He added that a
28 straw poll will be taken from the Councilmembers regarding the more significant budget
decisions and issues that will help finalize the decisions made on these key issues.

30 Mr. Cowie explained that based upon Council feedback, General Fund reserves
31 are targeted to end the current and proposed fiscal year budgets at approximately 24%.
32 He noted this is a very healthy fund balance reserve amount and reflects increased
33 revenues, but also efforts by the Department Heads and Administration to be frugal with
34 expenses and reduce costs where possible.

36 Mr. Cowie also noted that per the Council’s direction and reallocated General
37 Fund reserves, FY2017 road construction funding has increased to over \$400,000
38 (includes some RDA funds) and will be sufficient to rebuild 400 North from State Street
39 to Locust Ave, rebuild 200 North from State Street to the City Center Park parking lot,
40 and complete multiple maintenance projects (maintaining good roads) with crack sealing
41 and seal coating. He noted projects will be finalized based on approved final budget
42 numbers and bid out this fall/winter for completion in spring 2017. He added that straw
43 polls will be taken on key issues, but no official motion is necessary. Staff will then
44 update the final budget as directed by the Council’s recommendations and the Council
will vote on the final budget on June 21, 2016.

46 Kristen Colson, Lindon City Finance Director, then gave her budget presentation
including 2016-2017 budget work session agenda items as follows:

Merit and COLA Increase Employee Health Insurance

2	Utility Rate Increases	Republic Services Rate Increase
	Fee Schedule Changes	Review the Proposed Budget
4	Budget Summary	Fund Balance
	Road Funding and Projects	Capital Equipment Purchases
6	Parks and Recreation	PARC Tax Allocation
	PARC Tax Projects	Park Impact Fee Projects
8	New Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer	
	Other Services	

10 Ms. Colson then presented the 2016-2017 Major Budget Issues as follows:

12 **Budget Issue #1:**

14 **Should Lindon City provide employees with a 0.3% Cost Of Living Allowance (COLA) increase and provide for a merit step or 3.0% increase in January?**

16 Ms. Colson explained the Consumer Price Index (CPI) had an average annual
 18 increase of 0.3% from March 2014 to February 2016 according to US Department of
 20 Labor. Performance evaluations are performed annually in January at which time merit
 22 increases would be available to those who meet a predetermined criteria. Historically,
 COLA and merit increases have provided somewhat consistent buying power for the
 employees and have kept salaries competitive and employee morale high. She then
 24 referenced the differential fiscal impacts as follows:

Differential Fiscal Impact:

	<u>COLA Only</u>	<u>Merit Only</u>	<u>COLA & Merit</u>
General Fund	\$10,012	\$52,235	\$62,316
Water Fund	\$609	\$3,703	\$4,323
Sewer Fund	\$444	\$973	\$1,420
Storm Water Fund	\$409	\$2,014	\$2,429
Recreation Fund	<u>\$583</u>	<u>\$5,153</u>	<u>\$5,750</u>
Citywide Totals	\$12,057	\$64,079	\$76,238

26 Ms. Colson explained the merit increase is budgeted based on the unreserved
 28 General Fund balance as a percentage of revenue. A 3.0% Merit Increase is budgeted for
 2016FY, effective January 1, 2017 and the Merit Increase is awarded based on employee
 30 evaluation scores. She noted that both the COLA and Merit increases are reflected in the
 Proposed Budget. Ms. Colson asked if there were any questions or concerns at this time.
 She noted that the straw poll on these issues will be taken during the regular session.

32 Councilmember Hoyt expressed his concerns that this is an expense that
 34 compounds and builds upon itself. He doesn't want to put ourselves in an awkward
 situation and be the "bad guys" next year when it is supposed be 6% based on the criteria;
 36 he feels 6% may not be financially responsible. He worries about tying it to these two
 items (CPI & Reserve Balance) when it may raise questions next year as to why it is
 38 changed. He feels this issue needs to be watched as it is our largest expense and he
 worries about setting a precedent. He agreed with Councilmember Lundberg's

2 suggestion that setting a cap on COLA may be a possibility. He mentioned that he would
4 like to have further discussion regarding this issue but he doesn't want to appear that he is
against it either as the employees deserve it, but he is comfortable moving forward.

6 Councilmember Broderick stated he agrees with Councilmember Hoyt's
8 statements. He feels we have tried to determine ways as to not have it arbitrary. He also
feels the first thing to always look at is the financial stability of the city before doing
10 anything in either direction. He would like to see this defined a little more in some areas
and to look at it pragmatically. He would also like to obtain more information as to be
12 sustainable, yet also treat the employees well but not put a burden on the citizens. He
would be comfortable with this moving forward but he would like to have more
discussion throughout the year.

14 Ms. Colson stated we don't want it to be based on what other cities are doing and
16 we do want to look at the financial health of the city before doing anything. Mr. Cowie
noted these policies are not set in stone but are indicators to see if we have a healthy
18 general fund balance. He added this does not bind or force us into certain situations;
compounding is the nature of it.

20 Councilmember Lundberg commented that by having two indicators and two
22 increases where most employers only do one (which could be arbitrary and fluctuate)
may be an issues and suggested setting a cap on COLA may be something to look at. She
24 feels this is a starting point for discussion and it is brought to the Council each year as a
suggestion and is not set in stone. She reminded the Council that the merit system was
26 changed last year with an incentive and grading scale which was beneficial.

28 Councilmember Bean commented that he feels there needs to be some incentive
piece involved and he would like to study this more and to have further discussion.

30 Mayor Acerson observed that it appears the Council is comfortable moving
32 forward on this issue based on the research done and the parameters used in the past. He
pointed out that there will be more discussion later on. He feels the Council should
34 govern in a way to ensure sustainability and if not adjustments will be made.

36 Mr. Cowie reminded the Council that the changes made last year totaled a
reduction of \$100,000 a year annually and sustainability was part of the evaluation not
38 just wages but the entire benefit package.

40 **Budget Issue #2:**
Should Lindon City change medical providers for employee insurance and change
42 **the employee participation?**

2 Ms. Colson gave some background noting Lindon City provides the following health insurance benefits to the regular fulltime employees.

Coverage Type	City pays	Employee Pays
Employee Only	Full Medical Premium Full Dental Premium Extra \$50/mo for extra insurance or to invest*	
Employee + Spouse	Full Medical Premium Full Dental Premium Extra \$100/mo for extra insurance or to invest*	
Employee + Family	Full Medical Premium Half Dental Premium	Half Dental Premium

4 *only for employees hired before 1/1/2015

6 Ms. Colson explained the city currently provides medical insurance through
 8 Select Health with two types of coverage offered, Traditional and High Deductible
 10 Health Plan (HDHP). The City takes the difference in premium between the Traditional
 12 and HDHP and deposits that amount into Health Savings Accounts (HSA) for employees
 14 on HDHP. She noted that Select Health’s renewal rate for the 2017 fiscal year (FY) is a
 28% increase. She further explained that staff worked with First West Benefits (FWB)
 and Magellan to try to find insurance coverage for employees at a lower premium. She
 noted there were some insurance companies that did not want to bid on Lindon City’s
 insurance.

Ms. Colson went on to say that Lindon City has previously provided employee
 insurance through PEHP in the 2014 FY and 2015 FY. Select Health provided employee
 insurance for the 2016 FY at a 16.3% decrease from PEHP. She noted that Lindon City
 has switched insurance providers 3 times in the last 6 years. When the City switched from
 PEHP (with a plan year of July-June) to Select Health (with a plan year of January to
 December) on July 1, 2015, employees were able to get credit towards their deductibles,
 but not towards their out of pocket maximums. She explained if the City switches back to
 PEHP, employees will not receive credit toward their annual deductibles, nor their out of
 pocket maximums, because it will be the beginning of PEHP’s plan year.

Ms. Colson went on to say that the employees participated in a survey in which
 84% responded that they would rather switch July 1, 2016 and lose their 6 months of
 spending toward their deductibles and out of pocket maximum, rather than switching on
 January 1, 2017 and losing 6 months of their spending toward deductibles and out of
 pocket maximum when PEHP’s plan year reset on July 1, 2017. She noted that PEHP
 wants a 3 year commitment if the City switches to them. She then referenced a graph
 showing how monthly medical insurance premiums have changed since the 2010 FY. She
 added that the premiums are all calculated based on the same number of employees and
 coverage types as we currently have in the 2016 FY so that there are not any personnel
 changes affecting the graph.

Ms. Colson noted since the 2010 FY, there has been an annual average increase in
 medical insurance premiums of 3%. Employee benefits were modified in January 2015
 where some of the changes were “tiered down” with the final tier effective July 1, 2016.
 The savings for the City in the 2017 FY from this final change is \$60,052 and with this
 savings, the net increase in employee insurance benefits is \$44,151 (7.1%). She
 mentioned with these recent changes to employee benefits and employees having to reset

2 their insurance deductibles and out of pocket maximums after only 6 months, the
employee participation in premiums has not changed in the budget. Ms. Colson then
4 referenced the Differential Fiscal Impact as follows:

Differential Fiscal Impact:

6	General Fund	\$53,221
	Water Fund	\$1,225
8	Sewer Fund	\$7,448
	Storm Water Fund	\$1,548
10	Recreation Fund	\$1,299
	Citywide Totals	\$44,151

12
14 Ms. Colson noted that switching to PEHP effective July 1, 2016 and maintaining
the current level of employee participation is reflected in the Proposed Budget. There was
16 then some general discussion regarding this budget issue. Ms. Colson asked if there were
any questions or concerns at this time.

18 Mayor Acerson asked about incentive programs for employees based on physical
activity. Mr. Cowie stated he spoke with PEHP and they don't provide anything official
20 and do not implement the cost measures, but the Council can implement a reward
program with measurable criteria. Ms. Colson stated they don't offer anything with
22 premiums but they do offer programs where they financially incentivize healthy
behaviors. Mayor Acerson suggested starting with whatever program they have in place
24 to provide some opportunity for employees to improve their long term health.

26 Mr. Cowie mentioned that several of the providers consulted this year said to plan
on a 9% increase every year for insurance costs. He noted we can get out if we pay a
penalty cost. Mr. Cowie noted we are part of a pool (county wide) for the rating system
28 and there is a cap they can apply outside of our geographic area. Mr. Cowie stated that
staff's recommendation is to switch providers to PEHP. He added this does not mean that
30 they won't bid this out every year and if there is a better deal offered we can opt out for a
penalty fee.

32 ***CITY COUNCIL POLL: Straw poll will be done during the regular session.***

34 **Budget Issue #3:**

36 **Should Lindon City hire a full-time Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer?**

38 Ms. Colson gave some background of this budget issue explaining the Chief
Building Official has requested that a full-time Building Inspector/Code Enforcement
40 Officer be hired to assist in the Protective Inspections Department. She explained that the
growing economy and subsequent construction boom has led to an increase in building
42 permits and the need for more building inspections. She noted the work load for building
inspections and code enforcement has exceeded the department personnel's ability to
44 keep up. She pointed out that code enforcement within the department all but ceased and
personnel are working extra hours to maintain the level of service for timely building
46 permit reviews and inspections so additional personnel is needed.

Ms. Colson stated the fiscal impact of this issue stating the salary and benefits for the Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer are \$74,328, without COLA and Merit increases for this position. COLA and Merit increase costs for this position are included with Budget Issue #1. She noted that this position is reflected in the Proposed Budget. At this time she asked if there were any questions or concerns.

Councilmember Broderick asked what the logic is for giving the COLA and Merit increase to someone who is not even hired yet unless the position couldn't be filled, but he believes the position should be easy to fill. Mr. Cowie stated we are not giving it to them after they start it is included in the entire pay scale package when they are hired. Phil Brown, Chief Building Official, stated there is currently a complete shortage of qualified inspectors and they will be lucky to get someone in at this entry level range. There was then some general discussion on inspector and code enforcement duties and designations.

CITY COUNCIL POLL: Straw poll will be done during the regular session.

Budget Issue #4:
Should Lindon City increase Water, Sewer and Storm Water utility rates?

Ms. Colson gave some background stating utility rates should not only cover current operational expenses, but also allow reserves to be established over time in order to fund repairing and/or replacing aging system components and the current utility rates do not meet this objective. She noted the City Engineer conducted an infrastructure assessment and maintenance evaluation as well as a utility rate study to determine and recommend utility rate increases for water, sewer, and storm water utilities. The recommendation was to implement an annual increase over five years of 9% for water rates, 4% for sewer rates, and 13% for storm water rates. The annual rate increases began July 1, 2014. She then referenced the recommended rate changes along with the 2016-2017 rates as follows:

Utility	2015-2016 Rates	Recommended 2016-2017 Rates
Water (1" meter)	\$17.63	\$19.22
Below North Union Canal	\$1.45 / kgal	\$1.58 / kgal
Base	\$19.07	TBD based on
Usage	\$1.54 / kgal	pumping costs
Above North Union Canal	\$27.47	TBD based on
Base	\$1.75 / kgal	pumping costs
Usage		
Upper Foothills		
Base		
Usage		
Sewer	\$17.65	\$18.69
Base	\$3.17 / kgal	\$3.30 / kgal
Usage		
Storm Water (per ESU)	\$6.18	\$6.98

2 Ms. Colson noted these changes are reflected in the Proposed Budget and the
revised Fee Schedule. At this time she asked if there were any questions or concerns.

4 Councilmember Lundberg commented that we need to be proactive and set a
pattern and an expectation to conserve because water is a finite resource and we need to
6 be good stewards of it. Councilmember Broderick stated educating residents is essential
for them to have an understanding of the importance of conservation.

8 Ms. Colson stated staff recommends approval of the increases in water, sewer and
storm water utility rates. She noted these changes are reflected in the Proposed Budget
10 and revised Fee Schedule.

12 Ms. Colson then referenced the differential fiscal impacts as follows:

Differential Fiscal Impact

14	Water Fund	\$115,330
	Sewer Fund	\$ 59,580
16	Storm Water Fund	\$ 72,130

18 She noted the monthly increase on a resident's utility bill (using 8,000 gallons below
North Union Canal) would be as follows:

20	Water	\$2.63
	Sewer	\$2.08
22	Storm	\$0.80
	add'l tax	<u>\$0.33</u>
24	Total	\$5.84

26 Lindon City's solid waste collection fees are set based on several components:

- Republic Services collection rate
- 28 • Republic Services Fuel Recovery Fee
- North Pointe Solid Waste Special Service District (NPSWSSD) Tipping Fee

30 Republic Services collection rate is a set rate (not variable) and will be increasing July 1,
32 2016 by 2.1%.

<u>Republic Services Collection Fees</u>	<u>2015-2016</u>	<u>2016-2017</u>
First Garbage Container	\$5.48	\$5.60
Each Additional Garbage Container	\$3.61	\$3.69
Each Recycling Container	\$3.36	\$3.43

34 Ms. Colson explained that Republic Services Fuel Recovery Fee is assessed on
36 the first garbage can and recycling cans and is a variable, depending on fuel price. When
Lindon City's garbage and recycling utility rates were last evaluated for the 2013-2014
38 fiscal year, diesel prices were hovering around \$4/gallon and anticipated to increase.
However, the diesel rates have been decreasing since the end of the 2014 calendar year.
40 The fuel recovery fee was estimated at \$0.60 per can, but is currently not being assessed.
She noted the NPSWSSD tipping fee is assessed on garbage weight and not recycling as
42 it is a variable, depending on the weight of garbage taken to landfill. She pointed out that
even though the number of garbage cans has increased in the last 3 years and the tipping

2 fee has increased, the average amount of garbage being taken to NPSWSSD each month
has decreased. The average tipping fee per can per month has decreased from \$3.42 in
4 2013 to \$3.26 in 2016.

6 Ms. Colson went on to say because these variable rates have decreased since the
garbage and recycling fees were last evaluated, the Solid Waste Collection Fund has built
up a reserve close to \$90,000. She stated that staff recommends that Lindon City not only
8 decrease the rates due to the revised calculations, but also use the accumulated fund
balance to further decrease the costs of the first garbage can and the recycling can. The
10 new rates would be effective July 1, 2016 and then re-evaluated next year when Republic
Services collection fee will increase again. At this time she asked if there were any
12 questions or concerns.

14 Councilmember Lundberg expressed her opinion that we should further
incentivize recycling and she would prefer giving a bigger incentive on a recycling can
and not reduce the charge of a second garbage can. She pointed out that some cities
16 charge more for the second can than what we are charging to proactively incentivize
recycling which will start good habits. Councilmember Bean stated he doesn't have a
18 problem with further incentivizing recycling if we want to look at another approach to it
as it is beneficial; he would be comfortable raising the second can 50 cents and dropping
20 the recycling can 50 cents for example. Councilmember Hoyt commented that he would
be fine with incentivizing but he does not want to be punitive either. Mr. Cowie stated
22 these numbers are dependent on gas prices and will have to be looked at again.
Councilmember Lundberg also mentioned that she would like to have further discussion
24 about offering a glass recycling service similar to what other cities are doing. Following
discussion the Council was in agreement to further incentivize recycling by dropping the
26 recycling can (\$3.25) fee and increasing the second can fee (\$7.50).

28 Ms. Colson then referenced the fee schedule changes stating the only changes
included are the garbage rates discussed.

30 ***CITY COUNCIL POLL: Straw poll will be done during the regular session.***

32 Mayor Acerson thanked Ms. Colson and Mr. Cowie for the presentation and for
their hard work on the budget. He called for any further discussion or comments.
34 Hearing none he concluded the work session at 7:00 p.m.

36 **REGULAR SESSION** – 7:00 P.M.

38 Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor
Pledge of Allegiance: Chief Cody Cullimore
40 Invocation: Matt Bean, Councilmember

42 **PRESENT** **ABSENT**

44 Jeff Acerson, Mayor
Matt Bean, Councilmember
Van Broderick, Councilmember
46 Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember
Jacob Hoyt, Councilmember

2 Adam Cowie, City Administrator
Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director
4 Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police
Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

- 6
1. **Call to Order/Roll Call** – The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.
 - 8
 2. **Presentations/Announcements** –
 - 10 a) **Mayor/Council Comments** – There were no announcements at this time.
 - 12 b) **Presentation:** The Lindon City Mayor and Council recognized outgoing
14 Councilmember Randi Powell for her exemplary service on the Lindon City
Council from January 2012 to April 2016 and presented her with a plaque in
recognition of her service to the city and its residents.
 - 16 3. **Approval of Minutes** – The minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council
meeting of May 3, 2016 were reviewed.

18
20 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES
OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 3, 2016 AS PRESENTED.
22 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

24 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
26 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
28 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE
30 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- 32
4. **Consent Agenda** – No items.
 5. **Open Session for Public Comment** – Mayor Acerson called for any public
comment not listed as an agenda item. There were no public comments.

34 **CURRENT BUSINESS**

- 36 6. **Major Subdivision — Lindon Self-Storage.** Susan Palmer of Ridgepoint
Management Group seeks subdivision approval for Lindon Self-Storage Plat
38 A, a forty-six (46) unit self-storage condominium project located at
approximately 860 West 200 South in the Light Industrial (LI) zone. The
40 Planning Commission recommended approval of the application.

42 Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director, led this discussion by giving a brief
background of this agenda item stating Dick Hansen is in attendance representing the
44 applicant who is seeking subdivision approval for Lindon Self-Storage Plat A, a forty-six
(46) unit self-storage condominium project located at approximately 860 West 200 South
46 in the Light Industrial (LI) zone. He noted the Planning Commission recommended
approval of the application.

2 Mr. Van Wagenen explained the minimum lot size in the LI zone is one acre, and
condominium units do not need to meet this requirement, but this site does as it is over
4 two acres. He noted this subdivision does not front on a public street but does have an
existing access easement through the neighboring property to 200 South, so there are no
6 public improvements required for this subdivision due to its location. Mr. Van Wagenen
stated staff has determined that the proposed subdivision complies, or will be able to
8 comply before final approval, with all remaining land use standards. He noted the City
Engineer is addressing engineering standards and all engineering issues will be resolved
10 before final approval is granted. He added this is a pretty straightforward subdivision
request and staff feels comfortable with approval of this application. He then turned the
12 time over to Mr. Hansen for comment.

14 Mr. Hansen followed up on Mr. Van Wagenen's comments stating this is a self-
storage condominium style project with a little different spin as these units will
eventually be owner occupied and sold individually (with actual title to the unit) as a
16 storage unit and they will not be rental units. The units are larger than average and more
for RV storage with a pull through drive on some of the units. He noted there are several
18 other facilities similar to this in the state with a "man cave" concept which is a little bit
unique from other storage facilities and will be all indoor storage.

20 Mr. Hansen explained the proposed site location including the easements involved.
He noted they plan to provide power to the units but no water service. There will also be
22 a "clubhouse" that will have a restroom and kitchen facilities. He explained there will be
an HOA fee and CC&R's in place to regulate use and restrictions of the units. There will
24 be an automated entry gate with a code/card/key used for entrance to the facility; there
will be no on site manager and it will not be staffed but they may outsource with a
26 property management company.

28 Mr. Van Wagenen pointed out there are 40 ft. offsets from the residential and a
retaining wall and pasture areas etc. so the neighbors will not be affected and it will meet
all code requirements. He added that notices were sent per code to the neighboring
30 properties and they have not had any feedback to date.

32 Councilmember Bean asked if there is a recorded easement on the J.C. Olsen
property on 200 South. Mr. Hansen confirmed that statement. Mr. Van Wagenen stated
the easement is called out on the plat. Councilmember Broderick asked how close the
34 easement is to the front of their building. Mr. Hansen stated it is 60 ft. and closer to the
parking area which is 5 to 10 ft. away from the building.

36 Councilmember Hoyt asked if this project will impede the Fazarri parking. Mr.
Hansen stated it will not impede the parking once they put the utilities through then they
38 will share the common drive back to the site. Councilmember Hoyt also asked if this
project will be land locking the Stouffer or Swenson properties to the North. Mr. Van
40 Wagenen stated for development purposes they have not looked at those properties but
this development will not affect the properties located to the north.

42 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.
Hearing none he called for a motion.

44
46 **COUNCILMEMBER BEAN MOVED TO APPROVE THE APPLICANT'S
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A 46 UNIT CONDOMINIUM PLAT TO BE
KNOWN AS LINDON SELF STORAGE WITH NO CONDITIONS.**

2 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

4 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

6 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

8 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

10 7. **Concept Review — Walker Senior Project.** Mr. Walker requests feedback on
12 a concept for 17 units built for individuals aged 55 and older on 3 acres located
at 70 North 400 East. This is not currently a permitted use. No action will be
taken.

14

Mr. Van Wagenen gave an overview of this item stating this is a request by Mr.
16 Larry Walker for feedback on a concept for 17 units built for individuals aged 55 and
older on 3 acres located at 70 North 400 East. He noted this is not currently a permitted
18 use. Mr. Walker requests feedback on a 55+ community that would be located at about
70 North 400 East. He pointed out that the current proposal would require a new
20 ordinance. He noted that no action will be taken as this item is for discussion only. He
noted the Planning Commission offered feedback at the last meeting which was pretty
22 positive. Mr. Van Wagenen then referenced an aerial photo a sketch of possible layouts
and a letter submitted by Mr. Walker. He then turned the time over to Mr. Walker for
24 comment.

Mr. Walker addressed the Council at this time. He explained he is asking for
26 support of a proposed housing development on his property located at 70 North 400 East
in Lindon. He and his wife are nearing retirement and they have found their current
28 home is much too large now. They own a 3 acre parcel of land that has been in their
family for over 60 years, the land means a lot to them and they would like to remain on
30 their land and in Lindon. Mr. Walker stated they are interested in making a 55 and older
community that would have 17 individual cottages designed specifically for this age
32 group.

Mr. Walker noted he feels this would not only help himself and his wife to adjust
34 to the next stage of their lives but it would provide 16 other small homes to others older
citizens who want to remain in Lindon. He feels this is something that Lindon needs that
36 having this option would benefit other Lindon residents who want to remain here but in a
smaller more manageable home without so much ground to take care of. He is looking to
38 help provide the community with a solution to this growing need and to enable those of
this age group to stay in Lindon. He noted he would be selling the units and then have
40 common ground with a HOA. Mr. Van Wagenen stated with something like this
proposed project they would have to re-write an ordinance to accommodate this type of
42 vision.

There was then some general discussion regarding the conceptual building
44 layouts and proposed site and possible scenarios including a spot zone, overlay options,
and re-writing the code to accommodate future in-fill concepts to bring some blends
46 within the city.

2 Councilmember Hoyt asked what the size of home he is proposing. Mr. Walker
3 stated it will be a 3, 000 square foot box. Councilmember Bean stated previous council's
4 would not have even considered this concept. Most of the growth in the city is on the
5 west side and it would be more typical for this location to be subdivided with 5 or 6 lots;
6 he has mixed feelings on this proposal but if there were a compelling need he would be
7 more in favor. Councilmember Lundberg expressed her concerns about setting a
8 precedent with many more of these types of applications coming through and she also has
9 concerns with no off street parking for the amount of residents. She clarified she would
10 need to have further discussion on street width to create additional openness.

Councilmember Hoyt stated he likes the concept idea of individual homes not sharing a
11 wall but he also worries about setting a precedent with the density in this section of town.

Councilmember Broderick stated he understands the need for this type of project
12 for 55+ residents but cannot justify the density in this location. Mr. Walker commented
13 that he appreciates the concerns voiced by the Council tonight but he wants to stay on the
14 East side of town on his family property but as far as setting a precedent he doesn't feel
15 that is an issue as there are not many in-fill areas left for something like this concept. He
16 also feels there are benefits to the city. He could reduce the number of units but they
17 would share a common wall.

Mayor Acerson directed Mr. Van Wagenen to check into how many areas are in
18 this general location for this type of proposal. Mr. Van Wagenen stated there are certainly
19 ways to preempt this here and there in the city and not open the "floodgate." He noted
20 they can do further research on this in-fill type concept if the Council is interested in
21 pursuing this and desires to facilitate it for Mr. Walker. Following discussion the majority
22 of the Council agreed this type of concept would not be appropriate for the proposed
23 location and are not interested in exploring this concept further.

Mayor Acerson called for any discussion from the Council. Hearing none he
24 moved on to the next agenda item.

- 25
- 26 **8. Public Hearing — FY 2017 Proposed Budget.** The City Council will accept
27 public comment as it reviews and considers its FY 2017 proposed budget. The
28 Council will direct staff on major budget issues including expenditure proposals
29 for the PARC tax and park impact fees, and the allocation of revenue from the
30 water, sewer, storm water, and other enterprise funds to the general fund,
31 consideration of utilities and garbage rate adjustments, and other budgetary
32 issues. Any recommended changes will be included in the final FY 2017 budget
33 to be adopted on June 21, 2016.

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING.
41 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
42 VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

43

44 Mr. Cowie led this discussion by referencing the materials from Ms. Colson that
45 were reviewed in the budget work session. He noted the public will have opportunity to
46 comment on this proposed budget prior to its final adoption on June 21, 2016. He noted
the budget issues discussed in the work session will be discussed briefly and the proposed
budget reviewed as necessary. He explained that aside from a few minor issues that will

2 be reviewed in this meeting, these documents reflect the final proposed budget numbers
 4 to be adopted in June. He added that in addition to the items being discussed tonight, the
 6 City is still awaiting the certified property tax rates from Utah County and will update the
 8 final projected revenues accordingly after receiving the new rate. He noted the final
 10 budget document that will be presented in June will include the final City and RDA
 12 Budgets, a summary of the Budget and Fund Balance Overview, updated Fee Schedule,
 14 Financial Policies, and the Elected & Appointed Officials and Employee Compensation
 16 Programs.

18 Mr. Cowie clarified per State Code the City is required to notify utility customers
 20 of any transfer of utility funds into other funds. The City sends notice of the proposed
 22 fund transfers in the June newsletter and by separate mailer. The City annually transfers
 24 revenues from the utility enterprise funds to the General Fund to cover administrative
 26 service fees as the City administers these utility services and provides such items as
 28 management, HR, finance, legal services, public safety services, etc. He noted these
 30 transfers are very common among municipalities in the State who operate and maintain
 32 utility systems. The transfers may be thought of as dividends from utility sales that are
 34 provided back to the City in order to deliver essential services in the City and keep other
 taxes and fees lower. The proposed transfers to the General Fund have been included in
 the Proposed Budget documents and are summarized as follows:

- Water: \$325,588*
- Sewer: \$293,004*
- Solid Waste: \$16,000*
- Storm Water: \$163,920*
- TeleComm: \$2,500*

Mr. Cowie also directed the Council to submit any final input and direction from
 the Council before finalizing the budget documents for adoption in June. He then turned
 the time over to Ms. Colson to present the 2016-2017 Major Budget Issues as follows:

Budget Issue #1:
Should Lindon City provide employees with a 0.3% Cost Of Living Allowance
(COLA) increase and provide for a merit step or 3.0% increase in January?

Ms. Colson explained the Consumer Price Index (CPI) had an average annual
 increase of 0.3% from March 2014 to February 2016 according to US Department of
 Labor. Performance evaluations are performed annually in January at which time merit
 increases would be available to those who meet a predetermined criteria. Historically,
 COLA and merit increases have provided somewhat consistent buying power for the
 employees and have kept salaries competitive and employee morale high. She then
 referenced the differential fiscal impacts as follows:

Differential Fiscal Impact:

	<u>COLA Only</u>	<u>Merit Only</u>	<u>COLA & Merit</u>
General Fund	\$10,012	\$52,235	\$62,316
Water Fund	\$609	\$3,703	\$4,323
Sewer Fund	\$444	\$973	\$1,420

Storm Water Fund	\$409	\$2,014	\$2,429
Recreation Fund	<u>\$583</u>	<u>\$5,153</u>	<u>\$5,750</u>
Citywide Totals	\$12,057	\$64,079	\$76,238

2

Ms. Colson explained the merit increase is budgeted based on the unreserved General Fund balance as a percentage of revenue. A 3.0% Merit Increase is budgeted for 2016FY, effective January 1, 2017 and the Merit Increase is awarded based on employee evaluation scores. She noted that both the COLA and Merit increases are reflected in the Proposed Budget. Ms. Colson asked if there were any further questions or concerns at this time.

Following some general discussion by the Council the straw poll vote regarding Budget Issue #1 was recorded as follows:

BUDGET ISSUE #1:
 THE CITY COUNCIL STRAW POLL VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

18

Budget Issue #2:
Should Lindon City change medical providers for employee insurance and change the employee participation?

22

Ms. Colson gave some background noting Lindon City provides health insurance benefits to the regular fulltime employees. Ms. Colson explained the city currently provides medical insurance through Select Health with two types of coverage offered, Traditional and High Deductible Health Plan (HDHP). The City takes the difference in premium between the Traditional and HDHP and deposits that amount into Health Savings Accounts (HSA) for employees on HDHP. She noted that Select Health's renewal rate for the 2017 fiscal year (FY) is a 28% increase. She further explained that staff worked with First West Benefits (FWB) and Magellan to try to find insurance coverage for employees at a lower premium. She noted there were some insurance companies that did not want to bid on Lindon City's insurance. She added that FWB was able to get a quote from PEHP with only a 22% increase above.

Ms. Colson went on to say that Lindon City has previously provided employee insurance through PEHP in the 2014 FY and 2015 FY. Select Health provided employee insurance for the 2016 FY at a 16.3% decrease from PEHP. She noted that Lindon City has switched insurance providers 3 times in the last 6 years. When the City switched from PEHP (with a plan year of July-June) to Select Health (with a plan year of January to December) on July 1, 2015, employees were able to get credit towards their deductibles, but not towards their out of pocket maximums. She explained if the City switches back to PEHP, employees will not receive credit toward their annual deductibles, nor their out of pocket maximums, because it will be the beginning of PEHP's plan year.

42

2 Ms. Colson noted that the employees participated in a survey in which 84%
4 responded that they would rather switch July 1, 2016 and lose their 6 months of spending
6 toward their deductibles and out of pocket maximum, rather than switching on January 1,
8 2017 and losing 6 months of their spending toward deductibles and out of pocket
10 maximum when PEHP's plan year reset on July 1, 2017. She noted that PEHP wants a 3
12 year commitment if the City switches to them. She then referenced a graph showing how
14 monthly medical insurance premiums have changed since the 2010 FY. She added that
16 the premiums are all calculated based on the same number of employees and coverage
18 types as we currently have in the 2016 FY so that there are not any personnel changes
20 affecting the graph.

22 Ms. Colson noted that staff assumes that the City will use PEHP in the 2017 FY.
24 Since the 2010 FY, there has been an annual average increase in medical insurance
26 premiums of 3%. Employee benefits were modified in January 2015 where some of the
28 changes were "tiered down" with the final tier effective July 1, 2016. The savings for the
30 City in the 2017 FY from this final change is \$60,052 and with this savings, the net
32 increase in employee insurance benefits is \$44,151 (7.1%). She mentioned with these
34 recent changes to employee benefits and employees having to reset their insurance
deductibles and out of pocket maximums after only 6 months, the employee participation
in premiums has not changed in the budget. Ms. Colson then referenced the Differential
Fiscal Impact as follows:

Differential Fiscal Impact:	
General Fund	\$53,221
Water Fund	\$1,225
Sewer Fund	\$7,448
Storm Water Fund	\$1,548
Recreation Fund	\$1,299
Citywide Totals	\$44,151

30 Ms. Colson noted that switching to PEHP effective July 1, 2016 and maintaining
32 the current level of employee participation is reflected in the Proposed Budget.

34 Following some general discussion by the Council the straw poll vote regarding
36 Budget Issue #2 was recorded as follows:

BUDGET ISSUE #2:

36 THE CITY COUNCIL STRAW POLL VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

42 **Budget Issue #3:**

44 **Should Lindon City hire a full-time Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer?**

46 Ms. Colson gave some background explaining the Chief Building Official has
requested that a full-time Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer be hired to assist
in the Protective Inspections Department. She pointed out that the growing economy and

subsequent construction boom has led to an increase in building permits and the need for more building inspections. She noted the work load for building inspections and code enforcement has exceeded the department personnel's ability to keep up. She added that code enforcement within the department all but ceased and personnel are working extra hours to maintain the level of service for timely building permit reviews and inspections so additional personnel is needed.

Ms. Colson stated the fiscal impact of the issue stating the salary and benefits for the Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer are \$74,328, without COLA and Merit increases for this position. COLA and Merit increase costs for this position are included with Budget Issue #1. She noted that this position is reflected in the Proposed Budget.

Following some general discussion by the Council the straw poll vote regarding Budget Issue #3 was recorded as follows:

BUDGET ISSUE #3:

THE CITY COUNCIL STRAW POLL VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

Budget Issue #4:

Should Lindon City increase Water, Sewer and Storm Water utility rates?

Ms. Colson gave some background of this budget issue stating utility rates should not only cover current operational expenses, but also allow reserves to be established over time in order to fund repairing and/or replacing aging system components and the current utility rates do not meet this objective. She noted the City Engineer conducted an infrastructure assessment and maintenance evaluation as well as a utility rate study to determine and recommend utility rate increases for water, sewer, and storm water utilities. The recommendation was to implement an annual increase over five years of 9% for water rates, 4% for sewer rates, and 13% for storm water rates. The annual rate increases began July 1, 2014. She then referenced the recommended rate changes along with the 2016-2017 rates as follows:

Utility	2015-2016 Rates	Recommended 2016-2017 Rates
Water (1" meter)	\$17.63	\$19.22
Below North Union Canal	\$1.45 / kgal	\$1.58 / kgal
Base	\$19.07	TBD based on
Usage	\$1.54 / kgal	pumping costs
Above North Union Canal	\$27.47	TBD based on
Base	\$1.75 / kgal	pumping costs
Usage		
Upper Foothills		
Base		
Usage		

Sewer Base Usage	\$17.65 \$3.17 / kgal	\$18.69 \$3.30 / kgal
Storm Water (per ESU)	\$6.18	\$6.98

2

Ms. Colson noted these changes are reflected in the Proposed Budget and the revised Fee Schedule. Ms. Colson stated staff recommends approval of the increases in water, sewer and storm water utility rates.

6

Ms. Colson then referenced the differential fiscal impacts as follows:

8

Differential Fiscal Impact

Water Fund	\$115,330
Sewer Fund	\$ 59,580
Storm Water Fund	\$ 72,130

10

12

She noted the monthly increase on a resident's utility bill (using 8,000 gallons below North Union Canal) would be as follows:

14

Water	\$2.63
Sewer	\$2.08
Storm	\$0.80
add'l tax	<u>\$0.33</u>
Total	\$5.84

16

18

20

Lindon City's solid waste collection fees are set based on several components as follows:

22

- Republic Services collection rate
- Republic Services Fuel Recovery Fee
- North Pointe Solid Waste Special Service District (NPSWSSD) Tipping Fee

24

26

She noted Republic Services collection rate is a set rate (not variable) and will be increasing July 1, 2016 by 2.1%.

<u>Republic Services Collection Fees</u>	<u>2015-2016</u>	<u>2016-2017</u>
First Garbage Container	\$5.48	\$5.60
Each Additional Garbage Container	\$3.61	\$3.69
Each Recycling Container	\$3.36	\$3.43

28

Ms. Colson stated that the Republic Services Fuel Recovery Fee is assessed on the first garbage can and recycling cans and is a variable, depending on fuel price. When Lindon City's garbage and recycling utility rates were last evaluated for the 2013-2014 fiscal year, diesel prices were hovering around \$4/gallon and anticipated to increase. However, the diesel rates have been decreasing since the end of the 2014 calendar year. The fuel recovery fee was estimated at \$0.60 per can, but is currently not being assessed. The NPSWSSD tipping fee is assessed on garbage weight and not recycling as it is a variable, depending on the weight of garbage taken to landfill. Even though the number of garbage cans has increased in the last 3 years and the tipping fee has increased, the

30

32

34

36

2 average amount of garbage being taken to NPSWSSD each month has decreased. The
3 average tipping fee per can per month has decreased from \$3.42 in 2013 to \$3.26 in 2016.

4 Ms. Colson stated because these variable rates have decreased since the garbage
5 and recycling fees were last evaluated, the Solid Waste Collection Fund has built up a
6 reserve close to \$90,000. Staff recommends that Lindon City not only decrease the rates
7 due to the revised calculations, but also use the accumulated fund balance to further
8 decrease the costs of the first garbage can and the recycling can. The new rates would be
9 effective July 1, 2016 and then re-evaluated next year when Republic Services collection
10 fee will increase again.

12 Following some general discussion by the Council the straw poll vote regarding
13 Budget Issue #4 was recorded as follows:

14 **BUDGET ISSUE #4:**

16 **THE CITY COUNCIL STRAW POLL VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:**

17 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
18 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
19 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
20 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

22 Ms. Colson then reviewed the proposed budget sheet referencing the citywide
23 revenues graph (including net of fund balances and transfers) and a graph showing the
24 citywide expenditures by fund, and citywide expenditures by object followed by
25 discussion. At this time Mayor Acerson stated he would like to see a comparison based
26 on a per resident ratio and based on what is expensed to be able to see a standard by
27 which we can measure ourselves (year to year) so as we grow we can keep some kind of
28 consistency. Mr. Cowie stated there are some indicators that can be looked at for
29 consistency.

30 Councilmember Hoyt asked for an update on reserve fund balance percentages as
31 he was absent at the last meeting. Ms. Colson stated it was targeted between 22% and
32 24%. Councilmember Hoyt commented if this was decreased to 23% would it free up
33 reserves to send to other areas (roads, payments, etc.) Mayor Acerson stated we do have
34 flexibility and the majority of the Council was in favor to the target amount but we can
35 decrease the percentage if needed. Councilmember Hoyt questioned if that is something
36 that could be done in this meeting. Mr. Cowie stated that decision is up to the Council but
37 he would recommend finalizing the road project report with JUB Engineers to see what is
38 needed and look at those projects and make that decision in the next month or two.
39 Following discussion the Council agreed to review the JUB road project report when
40 finalized and then make a decision on possibly reducing the percentage.

42 Ms. Colson then referenced Road Funding, Capital Expenditure Purchases, Parks
43 & Recreation and Other Services followed by discussion.

44 **Road Funding and Projects**

- 46 • \$25,000 for Crack Sealing from Road Fund
- \$300,000 for maintenance/reconstruction from Road Fund

- 2 ○ \$74,263 for reconstruction of 400 North from State to Locust (Road Fund
- portion)
- 4 ○ \$225,737 for additional maintenance projects per JUB maps (complete as
- many prioritized projects as money allows)
- 6 • \$200,000 for maintenance/reconstruction from RDA Fund
- \$41,773 for reconstruction of 400 North from State to Locust (RDA Fund
- 8 portion)
- \$46,538 for RDA portion of 200 North from State to City Center Park
- 10 parking lot
- \$111,689 for City Center, Community Center and City Center Park
- 12 parking lots

Capital Equipment Purchases

- 14 • General Fund
- \$500,000 for police fleet (lease)
- 16 ○ \$180,000 for dump truck/snow plow
- \$20,355 for Kubota (utility vehicle) for Parks
- 18 • Water Fund
- \$50,000 for ¾ ton utility truck
- 20 ○ \$54,000 for contribution to General Fund for dump truck
- \$164,000 contribution to Sewer Fund for vactor truck
- 22 • Sewer Fund
- \$18,000 for contribution to General Fund for dump truck
- 24 ○ \$410,000 for vactor truck

Parks and Recreation (Heath Bateman was in attendance to discuss these items)

- 26 • PARC Tax Allocation (graphs were referenced)
- PARC Tax Projects
- 28 ○ Aquatics Center: \$45,000 for greaseless fryer, ADA chair, and repairs as
- needed
- 30 ○ Community Center: \$15,000 to repair roof
- Parks: \$70,000 for water fountains, Citizenship Park pavilion, and
- 32 Creekside Park toy
- Park Impact Fee Projects
- 34 ○ \$50,000 for Hollow Park path lighting
- \$50,000 for Lindon View Park playground
- 36 ○ \$50,000 for Fryer Park pavilion

Other Services Lindon City Is Providing

- 38 • Reimbursement of 50% of cost of library card in another city in Utah County:
- \$16,000
- 40 • Education grants to local schools: \$1,000
- Miss Pleasant Grove sponsorship: \$2,000
- 42 • Little Miss Lindon and parade float sponsorship: \$5,750
- Punch passes to landfill: \$10,000
- 44 • Citywide dumpsters for Spring cleanup: \$4,500

46 There was then some general discussion regarding Parc Tax allocations.
 Following discussion Mr. Cowie asked the Council for a straw poll vote regarding Parc

2 Tax allocations and whether to reduce costs and go into contingency with the general
4 concept being to have the ability to move funds to other areas. Mr. Cowie stated these
can be changed at any time through a budget amendment.

6 THE CITY COUNCIL STRAW POLL VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
8 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
10 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

12 Mr. Cowie then presented some preliminary numbers based on a study from JUB
14 Engineers (for road funding) regarding a potential transportation utility fee followed by
discussion. He also referenced road impact fees and property tax increases. He also
mentioned that several other cities are considering adoption of a transportation utility fee.

16 Mr. Cowie stated with the direction received from the Council tonight they will
move forward with a couple of minor changes and staff will prepare the finalized budget
18 and bring it back before the Council for adoption.

20 Mayor Acerson called for any public comment. Hearing none he called for a
motion to close the public hearing.

22 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC
HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER BEAN SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
24 VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

26 Mayor Acerson called for any discussion or comments from the Council. Hearing
none he called for a motion.

28
30 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED
FISCAL YEAR 2017 BUDGET AND DIRECT STAFF TO PREPARE FINAL
BUDGET DOCUMENTS TO BE ADOPTED ON JUNE 21, 2016 WITH NO
32 CONDITIONS. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. THE
VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

34 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
36 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

38 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

40 9. **Recess to the Lindon City Redevelopment Agency Meeting (RDA).** The City
42 Council will recess their meeting and convene as the Lindon City RDA. After
the RDA meeting, the Council will then reconvene for review of the remainder
of their agenda items.

44
46 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO RECESS THE LINDON
CITY COUNCIL MEETING AT 8:52 PM AND CONVENE AS THE LINDON CITY

2 REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY BOARD. COUNCILMEMBER HOYT SECONDED
THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

4 BOARDMEMBER HOYT MADE A MOTION TO ADJOURN THE RDA
6 MEETING AT 8:56 PM AND RECONVENE THE LINDON CITY COUNCIL
8 MEETING. BOARDMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

10 **10. COUNCIL REPORTS:**

12 **Chief Cullimore** – Chief Cullimore reported that the Memorial Day Celebration will be
held on May 30th at 9:00 am at the City Cemetery.

14 **Councilmember Hoyt** – Councilmember Hoyt reported that he will not be here for the
16 July 5th meeting. Mr. Cowie noted impact fees will be the key item discussed at the
meeting.

18 **Councilmember Broderick** – Councilmember Broderick asked for an update on the
20 public safety building. Mr. Cowie stated it is on schedule and on track with pouring the
slab on the building and overall things are moving forward on schedule.

22 **Councilmember Bean** – Councilmember Bean had nothing to report at this time.

24 **Councilmember Lundberg** – Councilmember Lundberg reported that the Arbor Day
26 Celebration went great last Saturday with a big turnout. They planted a tree behind the
Community Center along with numerous other activities. She gave kudos to the Parks
28 Department for their hard work on the event.

30 **Mayor Acerson** – Mayor Acerson asked if the tithing house property has been sold. Mr.
Cowie confirmed it has been sold and it is now privately owned. Mr. Cowie commended
32 Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director for his work on selling the property. Mayor
Acerson also reported that he contacted Don and Marcia Peterson and they have accepted
34 the invitation to be the 2016 Lindon Days Grand Marshals.

36 **Administrator's Report:**

Mr. Cowie reported on the following items followed by discussion.

38 **Misc. Updates:**

- 40 • May newsletter:
- 42 • July newsletter article: Councilmember Broderick - Article due to Kathy Moosman
last week in June.
- 44 • Grand Marshal for Lindon Days
- 46 • Job vacancies (Summer Parks & Public Works laborers, FT/PT Police Dept
Secretaries, PT Senior Center/Recreation Coordinator, FT Public Works Director)
- Public Safety Bldg – on schedule to have parking lot and most sidewalks
completed by May 28th. Landscaping will be done in fall.

