

2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on **Tuesday, January 20,**
4 **2015, at 7:00 p.m.** in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100 North State
Street, Lindon, Utah.

6 **REGULAR SESSION** – 7:00 P.M.

8 Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor
Pledge of Allegiance: Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director
10 Invocation: Randi Powell, Councilmember

12 **PRESENT** **ABSENT**

Jeff Acerson, Mayor
14 Randi Powell, Councilmember
Matt Bean, Councilmember
16 Van Broderick, Councilmember
Jacob Hoyt, Councilmember
18 Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember
Adam Cowie, City Administrator
20 Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director
Jordan Cullimore, Associate Planner
22 Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police
Kathy Moosman, City Recorder

- 24
1. **Call to Order/Roll Call** – The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.
 - 26
 2. **Presentations/Announcements** –
28
a) **Mayor/Council Comments** – There were no announcements at this time.
30
 3. **Approval of Minutes** – The minutes of the regular meetings of the City Council
32 of January 6, 2015 were reviewed.

34 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES
OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 6, 2015 AS
36 AMENDED. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. THE
VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

38 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE
40 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
42 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- 44
4. **Consent Agenda** – No items.
- 46

2 5. **Open Session for Public Comment** – Mayor Acerson called for any public
comment not listed as an agenda item. There were no public comments.

4

6 **CURRENT BUSINESS**

6

8 6. **Public Hearing:** *Ordinance Amendment, LCC 17.32.320 Flag Lots.* Rick
Chatwin requests approval of an amendment to LCC 17.32.320 Flag Lots. The
proposed amendment would modify flag lot setback requirements to reflect
10 typical setback requirements for standard lots in the R1 Single Family
Residential zone (front/rear) – 30 feet; side – 10 feet).

12

14 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING.
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

16

18 Jordan Cullimore, Associate Planner, gave a brief overview of this agenda item
stating this is a request by Rick Chatwin (who is in attendance) who is requesting
approval of an amendment to Lindon City Code 17.32.320 Flag Lots. He noted this
20 proposed amendment would modify flag lot setback requirements to reflect typical
setback requirements for standard lots in the R1 Single Family Residential zone
(front/rear) – 30 feet; side – 10 feet).

22

24 Mr. Cullimore noted the Planning Commission and City Council have authority to
approve flag lots when certain criteria, identified in LCC 17.32.320, are satisfied. Mr.
Cullimore explained that when the existing flag lot ordinance was passed, the City
26 Council and Planning Commission expressed concerns that dwellings on flag lots could
invade on the privacy of neighbors because flag lots are typically situated behind
28 standard lots. Mr. Cullimore further explained when administering the ordinance, staff
has observed that the more restrictive setback requirements do not appear to be necessary
30 to preserve a reasonable level of privacy on neighboring lots. He noted that typical
setbacks applied to flag lots would provide neighboring lots with the same space between
32 dwellings that standard lots have and it would also afford flag lot owners less restrictive
buildable areas. He went on to say that since the ordinance was passed staff has identified
34 two (2) flag lots that have been created and built on and it hasn't seemed to make too
much of a difference to have the more restrictive setbacks.

36

38 Mr. Cullimore stated that Mr. Chatwin would like to create a flag lot at
approximately 200 South and 400 West, but the more restrictive setbacks will make it
difficult for him to situate the house the way he would like to on the lot, so, he is
requesting that the setback requirements on flag lots be modified to reflect the setback
40 requirements on standard lots in the R1 Single Family Residential Zone. Mr. Cullimore
stated that staff feels the change would not have an adverse effect on the surrounding
42 properties.

44

44 Mr. Cullimore then referenced the current flag lot ordinance that has the following
setback requirements as follows:

46

Front: 30 feet

48

Rear: 50 feet

48

Side: 20 feet

2 Mr. Cullimore also referenced the Standard setback requirements in the R1 Single Family Residential Zone as follows:

- 4 Front: 30 feet
- Rear: 30 feet
- 6 Side: 10 feet

8 Mr. Cullimore then stated the Planning Commission recommended the following setbacks to the Council:

- 10 Front: 30 feet
- Rear: 30 feet
- 12 Side: 20 feet

14 Mr. Cullimore commented after presenting this information to the Planning Commission their discussion focused mostly on the side yard setback. He noted there was a concern that if the side yard were only the typical 10 feet, then an adjacent property owner with a large, deep lot would potentially not only have a home within 10 feet of the property line in the front, but also have a home within 10 feet of the property line overlooking their backyard also. Mr. Cullimore stated the Commission was not comfortable encroaching that closely on the back yard privacy of a neighbor and thought it was appropriate to keep the side yard setback requirement to 20 feet. Mr. Cullimore noted that modifying the rear yard setback from 50 feet to 30 feet was thought to have minimal effect on the adjacent property owners as a minimum distance of 60 feet between any primary dwellings would still be maintained as rear yards abut one another.

Mr. Cullimore also mentioned at that meeting staff recommended that the Commission and Council consider adjusting the height requirement on flag lots. Mr. Cullimore explained that the previous City Council, at the time the existing ordinance was passed, restricted the height of dwellings on flag lots to 25 feet. Mr. Cullimore further explained that the Commission and Council may consider adjusting this requirement to reflect the height requirement of dwellings (35 feet) on typical residential lots for reasons similar to those previously discussed (it likely will not adversely affect adjacent standard lots, and it will allow flag lot owners greater flexibility). He then showed an example of a two-story home on a flag lot that meets the 25 foot height limit and that shows an unusual roof pitch in order to satisfy the requirement.

Mr. Cullimore commented that the Planning Commission felt that the 25 foot height limit makes for odd looking roofs without substantially protecting the privacy of neighbors. Therefore, the Commission recommended changing the height limit to 35 feet on flag lots. Mr. Cullimore noted that staff feels there are no adverse effects with the proposed change and feels it is an appropriate adjustment. Mr. Cullimore asked if there were any questions at this time.

Councilmember Powell inquired if the parcel is already platted and purchased. Mr. Chatwin stated it is purchased but not platted. Mr. Cullimore made note that certain criteria must be met when considering a flag lot. Councilmember Hoyt asked Mr. Chatwin if he feels, in his opinion, if the 20 ft. side setbacks on the side yards will be sufficient to put the house at that location. Mr. Chatwin stated the lot is weird shaped (very north and south) and skinny (east to west) and optimally if he tilts it to face Mount Timpanogos it infringes into the 20 ft. setback, but if it were at 15 ft. it would fit perfectly;

2 then the backyard is deeper and it lays out better. He noted there will be a fence around the total perimeter.

4 Councilmember Lundberg pointed out that there will more of these types of applications as infill is inevitable in the city. Councilmember Hoyt agreed that with low density and large lots we will be seeing more requests for flag lots. Councilmember Hoyt asked for Councilmember Bean's opinion on the 20 ft. vs. the 10 ft. that the Commission discussed at the meeting. Councilmember Bean commented that he would be comfortable with a specific situation where the Planning Commission and City Council would have the discretion (on a case by case basis) to make an exception. He noted that the current ordinance has a statement about the discretion of the Council and Commission, but because there are so few flag lots in the city (with more in the future), he doesn't have any concerns if the Council chooses to go that direction. Councilmember Bean went on to say that the City Council looked at this issue about seven years ago where they reduced the height from 35 ft. to 25 ft. because there were concerns of privacy. He noted that one way to address this may be to give more discretion to the Council and Commission. Councilmember Lundberg commented that we have to be careful not to set one rule that we will constantly be making exceptions for and that may appear arbitrary and capricious.

20 Mr. Cullimore mentioned that the Commission talked about who would have the discretion to modify the setbacks on a case by case basis (City Council, Commission or staff). He noted that there would have to be some straightforward criteria established to produce consistent results which would have to be researched. He explained that after discussion the Commission agreed to stay away from that scenario and felt comfortable with the 20 ft. 20 ft. 30 ft. 30 ft. setbacks and to keep it consistent. Mr. Cullimore stated that staff can certainly look at other options to establish the criteria.

26 Mr. Van Wagenen commented that Mr. Chatwin has been a considerate applicant and is aware that this change may have an impact throughout the city, so he feels he can make the 20 ft. setback work although a 15 ft. setback would be preferable. Mr. Van Wagenen went on to say the Planning Commission thought the 20 ft. setback may work and they would also not be opening up a "can of worms." Mr. Van Wagenen mentioned that Mr. Cullimore made a good point that if there is some discretion built in, at some level, to base the evaluations on as to not appear arbitrary. He pointed out that there are always risks involved with discretionary calls in any ordinance amendment.

34 Councilmember Lundberg mentioned that the current side setback is 10 ft. and questioned what will be accomplished by doubling this to 20 ft. on these types of applications. Mr. Van Wagenen stated that the Commissioners agreed it is related to privacy issues and maintaining another 10 ft. of separation helps to preserve more privacy in the backyard. Councilmember Powell pointed out that typically when designing a home a lot of emphasis is not put towards looking out your side windows but emphasis is on the back windows.

42 At this time Mr. Van Wagenen asked the Council if anyone has an issue with the Planning Commission recommended rear yard change from 50 ft. to 30 ft. or the height limit change to 35 ft. or with the 20 ft. side setbacks remaining as is. Councilmember Powell stated she is comfortable with 15 ft. not the 10 ft. Councilmember Lundberg agreed that 10 ft. is small and noted that she has concerns about getting into situations of needing to make exceptions. Mr. Cullimore then referenced an example of setback comparisons, the Chatwin Preliminary Site Plan and the proposed amendment followed by discussion.

2 Mayor Acerson stated that anytime you set a structure in place on a case by case
basis there will always be potential exceptions; he would suggest to try not to be so firm as
4 to have some leeway. Councilmember Bean re-iterated that in the current ordinance
discretion already exists and the decision of putting more than one flag lot in a subdivision
6 is an issue. He would suggest the Council determine if the side setbacks are an issue worth
bearing discussion. He noted that it is interesting that back when the City Council looked at
8 this issue they reduced the height allowance down 10 ft. and now we are changing it again
which could possibly reduce the privacy to neighbors. Councilmember Powell stated,
10 rhetorically speaking, that the height may impede the neighbors view a little because the
only thing that is really changing is the pitch of the roof not the height of the window
12 looking down. Councilmember Bean stated that he is comfortable with the 15 ft. if we
don't go the discretionary route.

14 At this time, Mr. Cullimore asked what the Council's consensus is on the 30 ft., 30
ft., and 15 ft. 15 ft. setbacks. Councilmember Lundberg would suggest to structuring this
16 with the Planning Commission recommendations but to have something that allows the
Council to potentially consider them on case by case situations as to not have any issues or
18 problems. Councilmember Broderick and Councilmember Hoyt agreed they are
comfortable with the 15 ft. setback. Mr. Van Wagenen stated that it would be difficult to
20 implement these on a case by case basis because setbacks are created for minimum
distances so this may set a precedent. He noted staff's perspective is if the Council is
22 comfortable with the 15 ft. and then take out the opportunity for exceptions, because
implementation on a case by case basis is difficult. Mr. Cowie stated that from an
24 administrative standpoint it would be better to choose a number. Mayor Acerson observed
that the majority of the Council is comfortable with the 15 ft. setback.

26 Mayor Acerson called for any public comments questions. Hearing none he
called for a motion to close the public hearing.

28
30 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

32
34 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council.
Hearing none he called for a motion.

36 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE #2015-1-0
AMENDMENT TO 17.32.320 FLAG LOTS AS PROPOSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL
38 WITH THE REAR YARD SETBACKS AT 30 FEET, THE SIDE YARD SETBACKS
AT 15 FEET, AND THE HEIGHT LIMIT AT 35 FEET WITH GRAMMATICAL
40 CHANGES AS STATED. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE
MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

42 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE

44 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

46 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

2 7. **Public Hearing** – *Ordinance Amendment, Lindon City Standard Land Use*
4 *Table*. Lindon City requests approval of an amendment to the Lindon City
6 Standard Land Use table. The proposed amendment would establish legal
services as a permitted use in the research and business (R& B) zone.

8 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING.
10 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
12 VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

14 Mr. Cullimore gave a brief summary explaining a law firm recently applied for a
16 business license to operate in the Research and Business (R&B) zone, at which time staff
18 discovered that legal services is not a permitted use in the R&B zone. He noted that staff
has determined that designating legal services as a non-permitted use in the R&B zone
was an unintended oversight, as legal services appears to be a compatible use with other
permitted business and professional office uses in the R& B zone which makes sense to
allow for those types of uses. Mr. Cullimore noted the Vivint, Aquatherm, and the
Canopy buildings are all located in the R&B zone of which is the only area zoned as such
in the city.

20 Mr. Cullimore further explained that the stated purpose of the R&B zone is to
22 “provide an aesthetically attractive working environment exclusively for and conducive
to the development and protection of offices, research and development institutions, and
certain specialized assembling and packaging uses as a secondary use to the primary
24 function of the building.” Consequently, staff is recommending that legal services be
designated as a permitted use in the R&B zone. He noted the Planning Commission had
26 no concerns with the proposal and recommended approval with no conditions. Mr.
Cullimore then referenced the proposed amendment followed by discussion.

28 Mayor Acerson called for any public comments or questions. Hearing none he
called for a motion to close the public hearing.

30 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC
32 HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

34 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council.
36 Hearing none he called for a motion.

38 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED
ORDINANCE AMENDMENT TO THE LINDON CITY STANDARD LAND USE
40 TABLE AS PRESENTED BY STAFF. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED
THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

42 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE

44 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

46 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
26
28
30
32
34
36
38
40
42
44
46

8. **Review & Action:** *Rocky Mountain Power Service Contract & Easement.* The City Council will review and take action on a General Service Contract with Rocky Mountain Power to have them install permanent power to the sewer lift station located at approximately 2400 West 200 North for \$24,982.76. The Council will also review and consider granting an easement for the power to be installed through a portion of the 200 North roadway owned by the City.

Mr. Cowie explained this city initiated General Service Contract with Rocky Mountain Power to have them install permanent power to the sewer lift station located at approximately 2400 West 200 North for \$24,982.76. Mr. Cowie stated the City has budgeted for installation of a permanent power service to the sewer lift station located west of the Animal Shelter. He explained that the lift station currently does not have a permanent power source and is serviced every one to two days by Public Works employees who operate the pumps through an on-site generator.

Mr. Cowie went on to say this service contract will enable Rocky Mountain Power to install the power service to the lift station. He noted that within this agreement is an opportunity for the City to be reimbursed a portion of the funds if other service connections occur from this line within the next 10 years.

Mr. Cowie further explained in addition to the service contract is an easement staff recommends approving along the north 15’ of the property owned by the City which will allow the power cable to be installed on a portion of the city property (200 North roadway) which is necessary to connect to the lift station. He noted that the easement will be granted to Rocky Mountains parent company, PacifiCorp. He stated that they are not requesting a charge for the easement since the line is necessary to benefit Lindon City. There was then some general discussion regarding this agenda item.

Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council. Hearing none he called for a motion.

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO APPROVE THE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER SERVICE CONTRACT AND GRANT THE ASSOCIATED EASEMENT TO ITS PARENT COMPANY, PACIFICORP, WITH NO CONDITIONS. COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

- COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
- COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE
- COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
- COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
- COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

9. **Review & Action:** *Amendments to City Administrator Agreement.* The City Administrator requests City Council review and action on an amended City Administrator Agreement allowing reduction of the City’s 401k contribution

2 obligation to the Administrator in order to be consistent with recent changes to
employee compensation policies.

4 Mr. Cowie led the discussion by stating he is requesting City Council review and
action on an amended City Administrator Agreement allowing reduction of the City's
6 401k contribution obligation to the Administrator in order to be consistent with recent
changes to employee compensation policies.

8 Mr. Cowie noted that the City Administrator's current employment contract with
Lindon City prohibits the City from reducing the 401k contribution made to the City
10 Administrator below 4.5%. He explained he is requesting an amendment to the contract
agreement to allow his 401k contribution to be adjusted and/or reduced just as other
12 employees have experienced through the recent compensation policy changes. He then
referenced the attached amendment agreement outlining the specific section of the
14 contract and desired wording changes.

Mayor Acerson commended Mr. Cowie for being forthright in self-initiating this
16 issue and bringing the contract in line with other employees. Councilmember Lundberg
also commended Mr. Cowie for doing the honorable thing and for stepping up and bringing
18 this change to light. Councilmember Hoyt expressed his appreciation for Mr. Cowie's hard
work and diligence in preparing the benefit study and presenting it to the Council.

20 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council.
Hearing none he called for a motion.

22

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE THE AMENDMENT TO
24 THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR AGREEMENT BETWEEN LINDON CITY AND
ADAM M. COWIE, WITH NO CONDITIONS. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL
26 SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

28 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
30 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

32 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

34 10. **COUNCIL REPORTS:**

36 **Councilmember Powell** – Councilmember Powell reported on the upcoming
Community Center Advisory Board free movie night this Friday at 6:30 at the
38 Community Center; they will be showing the movie "Enchanted." She also reported that
Lindon Days will be held August 3rd through the 8th. The parade theme this year will be
40 based on "Back to the Future." Councilmember Powell reported that the Little Miss
Lindon Pageant will be held on March 7th at Oak Canyon Jr. High. She encouraged the
42 Council to attend as it is a fun evening and great opportunity to support the program.
Councilmember Powell mentioned that she had a discussion with Mayor Acerson and it
44 was agreed to add the Little Miss Lindon Program to Councilmember Powell's Council
Assignments and to move the Character Connection to the Mayor. The Council was in
46 agreement to the change in appointments and agreed it will be a beneficial change.

2 **Councilmember Bean** – Councilmember Bean reminded the Council of the vacancy on
4 the Planning Commission. He noted that they would like to see representation from the
middle or west side of town.

6 **Chief Cullimore** – Chief Cullimore had nothing to report at this time.

8 **Councilmember Hoyt** – Councilmember Hoyt reported that the Historic Preservation
10 Commission still needs a few more members. He also mentioned the Commission is
asking for any scouts who are willing to participate in their project of putting up plaques
at historic sites, and if the Council hears of any scouts that need a project to contact him.

12 **Councilmember Broderick** – Councilmember Broderick reported that there was a nice
14 article about Lindon written by Sharla Graff, Lindon resident, in the Utah Valley
Magazine. Mr. Cowie noted that the article has been posted to the Lindon Facebook page.
16 Councilmember Broderick also mentioned that there has been some recent discussion on
the gasoline tax increase noting there are some legislators who would like to see it done
18 county wide with the consensus coming from there. Councilmember Broderick would
suggest that the Council have some discussion to be prepared as to what will happen with
20 this issue. Councilmember Broderick also reported that the plans for the cemetery
building are in and he would like to have some discussion. He would also like to hear
22 from people who may be willing to participate with the building either by trade or by
donations. Mr. Cowie stated he will forward the resolution of approved donation gift
24 items to Councilmember Broderick.

26 **Councilmember Lundberg** – Councilmember Lundberg reported that January is a quiet
month for Parks and Recreation but February pick up will be in full swing by March with
28 the upcoming pool season with hiring and planning etc. Councilmember Lundberg also
mentioned they are wanting to get bids in for a play structure at Fryer Park and they will
be reaching out again to get the park committee together. Councilmember Lundberg
30 mentioned that she agrees with Councilmember Broderick’s statements that the gasoline
tax issue bears more discussion.

32 **Mayor Acerson** – Mayor Acerson reported that he attended the “State of the County”
34 today. He noted the County presented a recognition award to Stan Lockhart in honor of
Becky Lockhart, Speaker of the House, who recently passed which was very touching.
36 He noted that more honors will surely follow. Councilmember Powell expressed that
Speaker Lockhart’s presence will be missed at the legislature. Mayor Acerson noted that
38 Lindon was mentioned in the report as far as business related. He noted that the business
chosen for business of the year was Xactware, who have done some really great things.
40 Mayor Acerson also reported that he and Mr. Cowie attended the ribbon cutting of the
Burton Lumber solar panel addition.

42 Mayor Acerson also reported that the Walmart grant came in for the Thanksgiving
Dinner and noting we can reapply for a grant again next month. Mayor Acerson added
44 that Murdock Hyundai has also committed to donating to the Thanksgiving dinner next
year. Councilmember Powell mentioned that Murdock Hyundai indicated that they
46 would like their employees to participate and be more involved with city events.
Councilmember Lundberg would suggest that there are a lot of city events like the Arbor

2 Day Foundation “fun run” that they could participate and be involved in. Mayor Acerson
4 stated that he will follow up with Murdock Hyundai on this issue. Mayor Acerson asked
6 Mr. Cowie to compile a list of city events and dates to have on hand when visiting
8 businesses in the city. Mayor Acerson mentioned that he will be attending the Utah Lake
Commission meeting and the Outreach meeting this week. Mayor Acerson asked Mr.
Cowie to follow up with Heath Bateman regarding Commissioner Ellertson wife’s group
and use of the Community Center.

10 **Administrator’s Report:**

Mr. Cowie reported on the following items followed by discussion.

12 **Misc. Updates:**

- 14 • January City newsletter
- 16 • Everbridge Emergency Notification System: sign-up available to the public on
January 5th. Links will be provided on web site and in newsletter. Please
promote sign-ups.
- 18 • Schedule date for Budget Kick-Off meeting. Following discussion the council
20 agreed to hold the Budget Kick-Off meeting on Thursday, February 5th at 6:00
p.m.
- 22 • Misc. Item: Mr. Van Wagenen will review Ivory development amenities

24 **Upcoming Meetings & Events:**

- 24 • Newsletter Assignment: Councilmember Hoyt - March newsletter article. *Due by*
last week in February.
- 26 • January 19th – City Offices Closed for Martin Luther King Jr. Day
- 28 • February 5th at 6:00 p.m. – Budget Kick Off Meeting Thursday. There will be a
dinner work session meeting in the Council chambers.
- 30 • February 10th – Engineering Coordination Meeting at Noon at Public Works:
Mayor Acerson and Councilmember Broderick will attend.
- 32 • February 16th – City Offices Closed for Presidents Day
- 34 • March 7th at 6:00 p.m. – Little Miss Lindon Pageant at Oak Canyon Jr. High
School
- April 24th through May 1st – City Wide Clean Up (dumpsters for public use)

36 **Future items:**

- 38 • Employee Policy Manual updates

40 At this time Mr. Van Wagenen, Planning Director, sent the Council a survey link
regarding the proposed Ivory Development followed by some lengthy discussion.

42 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.
Hearing none he called for a motion to adjourn.

44 **Adjourn –**

2 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT
8:30 PM. COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
4 PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

6 Approved – February 3, 2015

8
10

Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

12
14

Jeff Acerson, Mayor