# PERRY CITY COUNCIL MEETING PERRY CITY OFFICES April 28, 2016

l 28, 2016 7:08 PM

OFFICIALS PRESENT: Mayor Karen Cronin presided and conducted the meeting. Nathan

Tueller, Toby Wright, Esther Montgomery, Brady Lewis, James

Taylor (arrived at 7:41pm)

CITY STAFF PRESENT: Greg Westfall, City Administrator

Shanna Johnson, Chief Deputy Recorder

OTHERS PRESENT: Blake Ostler, Devin Miles, Shara Holt

#### ITEM 1: CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Cronin called the City Council meeting to order.

#### A. INVOCATION

Mayor Cronin offered the invocation.

#### **B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Shanna Johnson led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

# C. REVIEW AND ADOPT THE AGENDA

**MOTION:** Council Member Tueller made a motion to approve the agenda. Council Member Lewis seconded the motion.

**ROLL CALL:** Council Member Lewis, Yes Council Member Tueller, Yes

Council Member Wright, Yes Council Member Montgomery, Yes

**Motion Approved.** 4 Yes, 0 No.

#### **ITEM 2: PROCEDURAL ISSUES**

#### A. CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATION

None.

# B. PASS OUT WARRANTS TO COUNCIL MEMBERS (AND POSSIBLE DISCUSSION)

Shanna Johnson passed out the warrants.

#### C. APPOINTMENTS

None.

# D. BUSINESS LICENSE(S)

None.

#### **ITEM 3: PRESENTATIONS**

#### A. CITY HIGHLIGHTS

Mayor Cronin reported that the City received a check from the Friends of the NRA in the amount of \$7,845 which is part of grant that the City received. The NRA is also sending the City some equipment and the total grant received was \$12,500. She said the grant will be used to put in a trap venue at the gun range.

Mayor Cronin advised that Public Works employee, Jay Bywater, took the Sewer Treatment Grade II Certification test, which is a very hard test to pass. She explained that most people take the test 2-3 times before passing it. She said Jay's objective in taking the test this time was just to see what the test would be like in an effort to know what he should study. She recognized that not only did Jay pass the test, but out of the 17 individuals that took the test only 5 passed, and Jay received the highest score. She indicated that his test results were very exciting.

# ITEM 4: PUBLIC HEARINGS AND/OR PUBLIC COMMENTS A. PUBLIC COMMENTS

No comments were made.

#### **ITEM 5: ACTION ITEMS**

#### A. APPROVAL OF THE WARRANTS

**MOTION:** Council Member Lewis made a motion to approve the warrants. Council Member Montgomery seconded the motion.

**ROLL CALL:** Council Member Lewis, Yes Council Member Tueller, Yes

Council Member Wright, Yes Council Member Montgomery, Yes

**Motion Approved.** 4 Yes, 0 No.

#### **B. ORDINANCE 16-B LARGE ANIMAL ORDINANCE**

Mayor Cronin said this is a proposed amendment to the current large animal ordinance. She said that Planning Commission has worked to put together some recommended changes to the ordinance. She turned the time over to Greg Westfall who has been working very closely with the Planning Commission on the proposed amendments. Greg explained that some clerical changes were made and he reviewed the main changes explaining that the Agricultural (A) and Agricultural Limited (AL) zones were combined; it was clarified where large animals can be, what defined a large animal, and added language regarding small and medium sized animals. He said that the Planning commission decided small and medium animals should be located in the Residential 1 Acre (R1A) and the Rural Residential  $\frac{1}{2}$  Acre (RE  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) zones. Greg said residents can have large animals in the A and AL zones as follows:

- 1 large animal for a 40,000 square foot parcel; and
- 1 additional large animal for each additional 20,000 square feet on the same parcel.

He explained small and midsize animals are allowed as follows:

- 1 small/midsize animal for a 20,000 square foot parcel; and
- 1 additional small/midsize animal for each additional 20,000 square feet on the same parcel.

Greg said that this came to the City Council before and was sent back to the Planning Commission. He said that he wrote the draft code which did not include the small/midsize animals, the Planning Commission added this.

Mayor Cronin advised to ensure whatever ordinance is passed can be enforced. She asked the Council to keep this in mind as they consider this action item. She said if this recommendation is passed research will need to be done to find which parcels currently have large animals on the property and hold record of this so if at any time the use changes for more than a year the allowed non-conforming use expires.

Council Member Tueller asked what happens to those who are currently not in a zone outlined in this Ordinance, but have large animals. Greg Westfall said that he spoke with David Church regarding this and he stated that if the individual is currently allowed this use the City can continue to allow the use. Mayor Cronin said that is where the city will need to go out and document the

non-conforming uses. Council Member Tueller asked how many people require a non-conforming use. Greg Westfall said he is not sure.

Mayor Cronin said that the proposed amendment spurred from an issue that came up a couple of years ago. She said that there was a developer who put in a subdivision of about 100 plus homes. The idea was that he had another large parcel next to this subdivision he was going to develop into another subdivision. Mayor Cronin said that people who bought the first 100 homes purchased their homes with the perception that the land adjacent to the subdivision was going to be developed into another 100 homes. The developer decided not to do this and wanted to use the land for an agricultural purpose so he could get taxed at a lower rate. She advised he wanted to put cows and horses on the land. The people living there were not in favor of having cows and horses. She said that the idea of this Ordinance is not to take away rights, but to protect homeowners in residential zones or at least give them a true picture of what they can expect when building or buying a home.

Greg explained that there are two other zones in the city that this will not impact the Agricultural zone and the Limited Agricultural zones.

Council Member Lewis asked if small animals were allowed in R1/3. Greg said no, small animals are only allowed in the RE  $\frac{1}{2}$  and the R1A. The R1/3 zone will only allow chickens or domesticated animals.

Mayor Cronin said that she has had people come to her wanting midsize animals allowed only where large animals are allowed and small/midsize animals limited to the back lots only. Planning Commission Chair, Blake Ostler advised that this limitation is already addressed in the Ordinance as it requires that the animals be kept with the set back requirements, which is typically 30 feet. Mayor Cronin said that is typical but not always the case. It was discussed that the ordinance requires a 30 foot setback if there is no building and it states that if there is a building that the animals are kept 16 feet to the rear of the building. The Council felt this addressed this concern.

Commissioner Ostler explained that Commissioner Vicki Call felt that allowing small and midsize animals in the RE  $\frac{1}{2}$  and R1A zones was important for the 4-H participants within the City that may not have the acreage but have at least a  $\frac{1}{2}$  acre lot to accommodate small to midsize animals.

Council Member Montgomery recommended that if approved these provisions be updated throughout the Municipal Code. She said she would like to be able to look at a R1 zone and know exactly what is and what is not allowed. Mayor Cronin and Greg Westfall indicated that the City is working toward this.

Mayor Cronin said during the Legislative Session they discussed whether land use code should be left at the City level or taken over to the State level. Cities lobbied long and hard to keep this at the City level, however at the most recent Utah League of Cities and Towns Conference cities were strongly encouraged to go through their land use codes and get rid of language that has conditional uses (it's either allowable or not), to make sure the codes are enforceable, and to take criminal penalties and make them civil penalties. She said she has assigned the review and update of our code to Greg Westfall and he will be working on this every Wednesday morning. Mayor Cronin said the goal is to make the Municipal Code clear, defensible, and easily enforced.

Council Member Lewis clarified that if he lives in an R1 zone and he has a ½ acre he can't have a rabbit. Greg Westfall stated in his opinion there is the law and then the intent of the law. He said his questions would be:

• Are you selling the rabbit?

- Are you harvesting the rabbit?
- Are you breeding the rabbit?

Greg said if you answer no to these questions then you are telling him that you have a domesticated rabbit or a pet that he would not consider in violation of this ordinance. He said he would also have to consider the number of rabbits and if the animal was a nuisance.

Council Member Montgomery pointed out that the way that the Ordinance is written indicates that the rabbit would not be allowed. Mayor Cronin said that the City can look at writing language to make this clearer. Council Member Montgomery encouraged this. Council Member Lewis said that he would also look at adding ducks and pigeons under this provision. He said that he believes these animals can be used for preparedness just like chickens. Greg cautioned that this is where we want to be careful because the word preparedness is very close to harvesting; whereas a domesticated pet in most cases would not fall under this category. Mayor Cronin stated that we have to protect the rights of all. Greg said to keep in mind that if you buy in a R1 zone what are your general expectations of that zone. Council Member Tueller agreed stating he lives in a R1 zone because although he likes the rural feel of horses on the west side that is not what he wants by his home. He said he likes the Ordinance and thinks it is great the way it is written. Council Member Montgomery asked if he thought we needed to clarify domesticated animals in the R1 zone. Council Member Wright said that it will come down to if and when a complaint is made regarding the animal and then the specific situation would be reviewed. Greg said we need to keep in mind the intent and purpose of the zone. Commissioner Ostler reviewed the purpose and intent of low density residential zones as stated in the ordinance under R1/3:

The purpose of the low density residential zone is to provide appropriate locations where low density residential neighborhoods may be established, maintained, and protected. The regulations are intended to prohibit those uses which would be intrusive to activities and uses usually associated with single-family neighborhoods.

Council Member Tueller again stated that he likes the way Ordinance is currently written.

**MOTION:** Council Member Wright made a motion to approve Ordinance 16-B Large Animal Ordinance as presented. Council Member Tueller seconded the motion.

**ROLL CALL:** Council Member Lewis, Yes Council Member Tueller, Yes Council Member Wright, Yes Council Member Montgomery, Yes **Motion Approved.** 4 Yes, 0 No.

Mayor Cronin thanked the Planning Commission for all their work on the Large Animal Ordinance.

Council Member Taylor arrived at 7:41pm.

#### C. RESOLUTION 16-05 PERFORMANCE REVIEW POLICIES AND PROCESS

Mayor Cronin said the City is looking at making changes to the Performance Review process. This is not yet ready to bring forth to the Council for action. She explained that currently in our policies there are 4 levels of performance:

- 1. Needs Improvement
- 2. Meets
- 3. Doing Well and took on added duties
- 4. Doing Well and actually saving the city money

Mayor Cronin said that it was hard for people to get any corrective feedback when receiving a rating of 1. She said this was demoralizing to employees, so we are proposing to add in another level between 1 and 2, with ratings indicating the following:

- 1. Strongly Needs Improvement
- 2. There are some concerns

- 3. Meets
- 4. Exceeds
- 5. Performance with Cost Savings to City

Mayor Cronin said we are also looking at opening up some pay ranges. In an effort to remain competitive we need to do another wage comparison. She said he has asked Shanna to conduct a pay survey of which she has started. Mayor Cronin said that we will be reviewing this information and will bring this to the Council when this comes back for action.

#### D. APPROVAL OF BID FOR EVANS CANYON STORM DRAIN AND DETENTION BASIN PROJECT

Greg Westfall explained that this is the project creating the new detention basin on Highway 89 which will replace 3-4 other smaller detention basins. He said the budget was approved for the project and the project was put out for bid. Greg advised that the lowest qualifying bid received was for \$154,338.89. He stated that this has been vetted by the City Engineer and the City Attorney. He said the project will be awarded to Wilk-N-Son Construction. This action item will formalize the award and contract. Mayor Cronin said that bringing this to the Council as an action item is a bit of overkill. She said that after talking with the attorney, because this was a budgeted item that was approved it really did not have to come back to the Council for approval. She said because it was already placed as an action item, we do ask that the Council will take action to approve the item. Council Member Taylor asked if impact fees will be covering this item. Mayor Cronin confirmed that impact fees will cover the entire cost of the project.

**MOTION:** Council Member Montgomery made a motion to accept the bid from Wilk-N-Son Construction for the Evans Canyon Storm Drain and Detention Basin Project. Council Member Wright seconded the motion.

**ROLL CALL:** Council Member Lewis, Yes Council Member Tueller, Yes

Council Member Wright, Yes Council Member Montgomery, Yes

Council Member Taylor, Yes **Motion Approved.** 5 Yes, 0 No.

# **ITEM 6: DISCUSSION ITEMS**

#### A. GREEN WASTE

Mayor Cronin said Brigham Fire has burned the pile of garbage that was at the Green Waste. It is at a level of which this can be reopened to the public, but there are some issues that have occurred in the past. She said in the past people have brought large trees over 3 feet in diameter, which is way beyond what the intent is of this facility. She said that people have also brought non-green waste items i.e. old TVs, Lazy Boy recliners, couches, etc. She said that these items could not be burned; therefore the City had to take them to the County dump and pay for the items to be disposed of. Mayor Cronin explained that she and staff have brainstormed ways to remedy this, for example by having a key rental and have users sign something stating that they would respect the intent of the area and only dump green waste. She said that the City needs to understand that if someone takes paint or other chemicals to the green waste area it then becomes a hazardous waste site and then the City would be required to abide by all of the parameters of remediating a hazardous waste site, which gets to be expensive. She stated she wanted this all out on the table as the Council discusses what to do with the green waste area.

Greg Westfall advised that we had very similar issues with the City clean up. It was going well, but we had to bring in a whole other dumpster because when the dumpsters filled up people just threw out their items all over the ground surrounding the dumpster. He said that the Green Waste is a great service, but he has concerns of abuse.

Mayor Cronin said that she has been contacted multiple times by people asking when the Green Waste area would be opened back up. She said that there are all different sides to the issue.

Council Member Tueller asked if we can pay someone to be down there to monitor the area while it is open. Mayor Cronin said that this could result in overtime payments to an employee. She said we do have an employee that we could have do this on Wednesday mornings.

Council Member Tueller asked about the key rental and if those who pay for the key would keep the key the whole summer. Mayor Cronin said yes. She said that the nominal fee may help with any costs incurred.

Council Member Lewis asked what kind of signage is on the site. Mayor Cronin said there is a sign that states that the area is for green waste only and violators will be prosecuted. Council Member Lewis said he feels that we have a good honest community and if we have really good signage with good guidelines there should not be an issue. Mayor Cronin agreed that 99% of our residents are good to follow the rules, but there are a few that are causing an issue.

Mayor Cronin said that Council Member Taylor suggested opening the area during set hours when it could be monitored by an employee similar to the Deseret Industries drop off locations. Council Member Taylor said this could be on a week day when they would be paid their regular salary. Mayor Cronin stated this could be on Fridays from 10am to 5pm. Council Member Taylor said it is a great thing to be green and to show our community and other communities that we are working to be green. He said it should not cost much, but he does not see that allowing after hours dumping as effective he said that Deseret Industries also had to stop because of the costs they incurred due to having to take items to the dumps. Council Member Tueller recommended locking it up when not open. Greg said this is still abused; they dump items on the outside of the fence. Mayor Cronin advised of a situation when the fire department went down one day to assess the area, the next day when they went back somebody had take the fence off of the hinges and dumped a swing set at the location, then just laid the fence back on the ground and did not even put it back on the hinges.

Council Member Lewis suggested some cameras. Mayor Cronin said that key rental could help pay for something like this. Shanna said that key rental would also help pay for dumping fees when needed. Council Member Tueller said that Ogden City issues a card that people must pay for in order to dump.

Mayor Cronin reviewed what she understands as the direction the Council would like to go:

- Look at opening the green waste when someone is there to monitor it.
- Look at fee for those who use it.

Council Member Montgomery said it is not likely that residents will be able to utilize the green waste during the week. Council Member Tueller said it would be best to have someone there on Saturdays. Council Member Lewis suggested getting some cameras. Greg said that you can use trail cameras but you have to buy the more expensive ones (\$1500 to \$3000) that uploads to a satellite. He said this will also take daily monitoring. He said the city would also need to be willing to enforce penalties if anything is found. Council Member Tueller said another option is to open and close it during the week and on Saturdays and not monitor it, but have a camera.

Mayor Cronin asked each Council Member what they would like to see done with the green waste:

- **Council Member Montgomery:** said she would like to see someone there on Saturdays if feasible and key rental during the week.
- **Council Member Tueller:** said he would like designate times for the green waste to be open during the week and would also like to see a card or key rental. He was open to all options but would like to see it open.

- **Council Member Taylor:** said it would be ideal for someone to be there during the week and maybe one Saturday a month. He said that he thinks someone needs to be there he does not trust the key rental.
- **Council Member Wright:** agreed with Council Member Taylor. He would like to see it open up a couple days a month.
- **Council Member Lewis:** recommended overly bearing signage with what is and is not allowed and some dummy or real cameras. He also said he would like this be closed at night. He did not like the idea of manning it if we are not able to open it when people want and need it.

Mayor said they will research these options and bring something back at our next meeting. She said it will remain closed until we come up with plan for opening it.

#### **B. WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT**

Mayor Cronin said that it has been mentioned in a previous meeting that the Director of the Division of Water Quality wanted to come and speak with the City Council. She reported that she received a call from Walt Baker, Director of Division of Water Quality, who said that he heard that this is what was told to Perry City and told Mayor Cronin that he never expressed a desire to come speak at a Council meeting nor did he think he needed to. She said that it was not that the City denied this opportunity, but that Walt Baker called and said it was not his intent. Mayor Cronin reported that as she talked with Mr. Baker over the phone and they did set up a meeting to discuss a few items. She said that Gary Crane (Attorney working on the Wastewater Dispute), Craig Hall (City Attorney), Shanna Johnson, Greg Westfall, Walt Baker, and herself did meet and during this meeting Mr. Baker said he reviewed the inter-local agreement and felt it was very straight forward. She reported that Mr. Baker interpreted that there are two entities who signed an inter-local agreement; that is what is on the books and in the rear view mirror. She reported that Mr. Baker indicated that the State does not want to get in the middle of the issue as that is not their place and as he read the agreement it is clear that the Sewer Board is an advisory board only, and that the entities with the controlling authority are the two cities who entered into the agreement.

#### **ITEM 7: MINUTES & COUNCIL/MAYOR REPORTS**

#### A. APPROVAL OF CONSENT ITEMS

• March 24, 2016 City Council Meeting Minutes:

**MOTION:** Council Member Taylor made a motion to approve the March 24, 2016 City Council Meeting Minutes. Council Member Montgomery seconded the motion.

**ROLL CALL:** Council Member Lewis, Yes Council Member Tueller, Yes

Council Member Wright, Yes Council Member Montgomery, Yes

Council Member Taylor, Yes

**Motion Approved.** 5 Yes, 0 No.

- April 14, 2016 Work Session Meeting Minutes Tabled
- April 14, 2016 City Council Meeting Minutes Tabled
- **B. MAYOR'S REPORT:** reported that the Fourth of July is just around the corner. She said there are still a few events that could use volunteers:
  - Parade needs a Chairman
  - Award Dinner needs a Chairman

Mayor Cronin asked the Council Members to get with her if they can volunteer or help with these events. Council Member Tueller said he would be gone the week of July 4<sup>th</sup> at Scout camp. Council Member Montgomery asked if we have gotten a Council Member to volunteer to judge Perry's Got Talent yet. Mayor Cronin said she would let Shanna take care of this.

Mayor Cronin reported that there are two remaining budgets to review prior to Tentative Budget Approval and proposed that these are reviewed in a work session prior to the next meeting. Shanna advised that in compliance with State law she will present a Tentative Budget to the Council in the first meeting in May. She explained that the Council can adopt the Tentative Budget in that meeting or at the following meeting. She said the budget can still change up to final approval. Council Member Taylor asked when the budget must go out for public review. Mayor Cronin advised upon passing the Tentative Budget. She said her goal is to have a public hearing the second meeting of May so that if there are any comments the City has time to take those comments into consideration prior to final approval.

Mayor Cronin reported that Utopia is now available for all residents on the East side and currently the City has a 15% take rate.

Mayor Cronin advised that the City will be applying dust guard this year. She explained that this is an oil based product that is applied to dirt roads to keep dust down. She said it typically lasts 6 weeks or until the first big rain storm. She said the staff is proposing this be the last year that this is applied. Mayor Cronin said it costs about \$7,000 to apply to about 5 roads. She stated that it has been suggested that if we do not apply dust guard we do an extra mid-summer road grading. She said there is some citizens that pay the city to have this applied to their private streets. Greg advised that if we do not apply this product to City streets this would also no longer be available for private roads.

Mayor Cronin announced that on May 24, 2016 the City will be conducting an active shooter training with the C.E.R.T. Team, which the Council is invited to participate in.

**C. COUNCIL REPORTS:** Nothing reported.

#### D. STAFF COMMENTS:

None.

#### E. ITEMS FOR NEXT NEWSLETTER

Mayor Cronin stated that the current newsletter includes some emergency preparedness information regarding shelter in place and also generators. She said that during the month of June the City will encourage families to conduct shelter in place drills, those that participate will be given a treat, most likely a Perry Ice coupon.

Mayor Cronin advised that the current newsletter also includes some information regarding Water Conservation. She said that if the City does not take conservative measures regarding culinary water, the State will put in place mandates. She reported that asked Greg Westfall to put together some water costs and came up with some ways to encourage conservation.

Greg Westfall reported that he surveyed 6 cities (Brigham, Tremonton, Ogden, Farmington, Kaysville, Perry) to see what they do to ensure conservation of water. He reviewed the following information:

City	Base Rate	Base Gallons Allowed
Tremonton	\$13.70	12,800
Brigham	\$9.68	7,000
Perry	\$15.00	10,000

Greg said he has taken all the information and calculated what the Base Rate would be if each of the cities offered 10,000 base gallons of water. He also surveyed what the water overage rate is for every 1,000 gallons over. See table below:

City	Base Rate (@10,000 Gallons)	Overage Rate (per 1,000 Gallons Over Base)
Perry	\$15.00	\$0.95
Tremonton	\$10.71	\$1.13
Brigham	\$13.76	\$1.36
Ogden	\$40.00	\$2.61
Farmington	\$49.00	\$3.00
Kaysville	\$28.00	\$4.00

Greg said this gives an idea of what can be done with overage rates in an effort to encourage conservation. He indicated that there are a significant number of residents that use between 60,000 to 90,000 gallons of water per month. He said that 10,000 gallons is more than adequate for the average home, for example his family of 5 uses 4,000 gallons a month. He said that home size, number of bathrooms, whether or not there is a pool will impact this. He said that we need to encourage residents to conserve water. He recommended allowing adequate gallons in the base rate and increasing the overage rate for those exceeding the base gallons. Council Member Taylor said he totally agrees with this. He stated water is a precious resource and we do not put enough sting on those who are not conserving. Council Member Lewis asked if there is any pattern for those who are using over 10,000 gallons. Mayor Cronin noted that Perry City is fortunate to have a large number of subdivisions that have access to secondary water sources. She said yet there are people who access that are not hooking up to the system because it is cheaper to use culinary water. Council Member Taylor said that is not conservation and it is not green.

Mayor Cronin said that at the last meeting we talked about our culinary water wells. A year ago they were pumping at a much higher level than they currently are. She said that the City is going to do some refurbishments to the wells to see if we can get the water levels back to where they were, but if we can't we are at a point that we do not want people to use our well water to water lawns if they have access to secondary water. Mayor Cronin said currently the City allows 10,000 of water to those with secondary and 15,000 gallons to those without access to secondary water per month. She said if we look at doing something where we charge \$0.95 per gallon over base up to an additional 10,000 gallons, then step up to double the overage rate thereafter it may encourage more conservation. Greg said this step system would still be very affordable for people who are not going the 10,000 base by that much. He stated that he discussed the water overage fee with Public Works Director Greg Braegger and they talked about only taking the next level of overage fee to just \$2.00 but after seeing these numbers he is not sure that is enough. He said that when he worked in Monticello they allowed 25,000 gallons to residents to use for culinary and for watering lawns. He said this is very generous as you should be able to water a ¼ acre lawn with 18,000 gallons.

Mayor Cronin said that the other thought is that we receive our water from wells and we do not know how much water is in the aquifers like so if we want to protect the water we need to start thinking along the way of conservation. This is not a way to make additional revenue, this is purely conservation based. Council Member Tueller said that is great because he has been part of meetings for other organizations on this topic when this was not the case. He said we need to do things like develop technology, remediate wells, not just charge additional fees. Mayor Cronin said if we do not conserve and if we are unable to refurbish the wells we will be looking at a bond and that would be increasing the base rate, so we are trying to be proactive and preventative.

Mayor Cronin asked the Council for their input on increasing the water overage rate:

**Council Member Tueller:** said he is for looking at increasing the water overage rate as long as the City is also looking to develop new technology to help in conservation efforts.

**Council Member Montgomery:** indicated that she was in support of looking to increase the water overage rate.

**Council Member Taylor:** also agreed that he would be in support of increasing the water overage rate and would like to see a notice sent to any resident using over 30,000 gallons per month.

**Council Member Wright:** said he thought we should move forward with looking into increasing the water overage rate.

**Council Member Lewis:** expressed his support of continuing to look at increasing the water overage rate.

No other newsletter items were discussed.

#### **ITEM 8: EXECUTIVE SESSION**

**MOTION:** Council Member Montgomery moved to close the Public Meeting and open an Executive Session to discuss strategy regarding pending or imminent litigation. Council Member Wright seconded the motion.

**ROLL CALL:** Council Member Lewis, Yes Council Member Tueller, Yes

Council Member Wright, Yes Council Member Montgomery, Yes

Council Member Taylor, Yes **Motion Approved.** 5 Yes, 0 No.

The Regular Public Meeting closed at approximately 8:34pm.

**MOTION:** Council Member Tueller moved to close the Executive Session and open the Public Meeting. Council Member Wright seconded the motion.

**ROLL CALL:** Council Member Lewis, Yes Council Member Tueller, Yes

Council Member Wright, Yes Council Member Montgomery, Yes

Council Member Taylor, Yes **Motion Approved.** 5 Yes, 0 No.

The Regular Public meeting reopened at approximately 9:32pm.

# **ITEM 9: ADJOURNMENT**

**MOTION:** Council Member Montgomery made a motion to adjourn the council meeting. Council Member Taylor seconded the motion.

**Motion Approved.** All Council Members were in favor.

The meeting adjourned at 9:33pm.		
Susan Obray, City Recorder	Karen Cronin, Mayor	
Shanna Johnson, Chief Deputy Recorder		