

2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting beginning with a Work
Session at 6:00 p.m. on **Tuesday, August 21, 2007**, in the Lindon City Center, City
Council Chambers, 100 North State Street, Lindon, Utah.

4 Conducting: James A. Dain, Mayor

6 **PRESENT**

ABSENT

8 James A. Dain, Mayor
10 H. Toby Bath, Councilmember
Bruce Carpenter, Councilmember
12 Jerald I. Hatch, Councilmember
Ott H. Dameron, City Administrator
14 Debra Cullimore, City Recorder

Eric Anthony, Councilmember
Lindsey Bayless, Councilmember

16 **WORK SESSION** – 6:00

18 The meeting was called to order at 6:07 p.m.

20 **Discussion** – *County Commissioner, Gary Anderson, will meet with the Mayor and City
Council to introduce himself and discuss items of common interest.*

22
24 Mayor Dain welcomed all present. He invited Commissioner Gary Anderson to
address the Council. Commissioner Anderson explained that Utah County government
wants to be more responsive to the needs of cities, and to be instrumental in solving
26 challenges cities face.

28 Councilmember Carpenter asked Commissioner Anderson to comment on the
dramatic increase in property tax assessments this year. Commissioner Anderson
explained that a portion of the property tax process was sub-contracted out this year, and
30 that the result was not desirable. He noted that the Board of Equalizations will consider
six times more requests this year than in any previous year for a ten year period. He
32 recommended that citizens with questions regarding property tax assessments contact the
County and schedule an appointment with the Board of Equalization.

34 Councilmember Carpenter noted that the property tax increase had come at an
inopportune time, as the City was considering placing a question on the November ballot
36 regarding a General Obligation Bond to fund construction of a community center in
Lindon. As a result of the increase, the City Council determined that it may not be in the
38 best interest of the community to burden tax payers further. Although the Council has
not taken official action on this issue, the question will likely be left off the ballot. The
40 Council discussed allocation of property tax revenues. Commissioner Anderson noted
that school districts receive the majority of property tax revenues, with cities receiving
42 approximately 17%, and the county receiving approximately 11%.

44 The Council went on to discuss tourism tax funds which are available through the
County for recreation and tourism projects. Commissioner Anderson explained that any
projects which may be considered would have to open to residents throughout the
46 County, and that projects which promote tourism will receive consideration as well. The

2 Council discussed potential recreation and tourism projects, such as projects in the area
around the future convention center in Pleasant Grove, and property owned by the City
adjacent to the countywide trail system.

4 Councilmember Carpenter inquired as to plans to increase the level of
rehabilitation services in the county. Commissioner Anderson stated that increasing
6 rehabilitation services is a high priority, and that he anticipates that funds will be
allocated to increase programs at the county jail, as well as other programs which will
8 address the needs of county residents who are addicted to drugs or alcohol.

10 The Council then discussed a potential county library system, as well as
consolidated dispatch and fire services. Commissioner Anderson noted that it is difficult
to get cities to work cooperatively to create consolidated services, but that the County is
12 willing to facilitate discussions.

14 Commissioner Anderson commented that it is an exciting time to be involved
with government in Utah. He thanked the Council for their time and willingness to work
cooperatively with the County Commission. Mayor Dain thanked Commissioner
16 Anderson for taking the time to meet with the Council to discuss issues.

18 **REGULAR SESSION** – 7:00 p.m.

20 Conducting: James A. Dain, Mayor
Pledge of Allegiance: James Dain
22 Invocation: Bruce Carpenter

24 **PRESENT**

ABSENT

26 James A. Dain, Mayor
H. Toby Bath, Councilmember
28 Bruce Carpenter, Councilmember
Jerald I. Hatch, Councilmember
30 Ott H. Dameron, City Administrator
Adam Cowie, Planning Director
32 Debra Cullimore, City Recorder

Eric Anthony, Councilmember
Lindsey Bayless, Councilmember

34 The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.

36 **MINUTES** – The minutes of the Special Meeting of July 13, 2007, the Regular Meeting
of July 17, 2007, and the Special Meeting of July 30, 2007 were reviewed.

38
40 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF
THE JULY 13, 2007 MEETING. COUNCILMEMBER BATH SECONDED THE
MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

42
44 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES
OF THE JULY 17, 2007 MEETING. COUNCILMEMBER BATH SECONDED THE
MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

2 COUNCILMEMBER BATH MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE
JULY 30, 2007 MEETING. COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER SECONDED THE
MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

4
6 **OPEN SESSION** –

8 Mayor Dain called for comments from any resident present who wished to
address an issue not listed as an agenda item. Lindon resident, Doug Christensen,
approached the Council. Mr. Christensen explained that during previous discussion
10 regarding the extension of 400 North west of State Street, the Council had asked residents
to present any alternatives for the road which they felt may be viable. Mr. Christensen
12 presented documents to the Council which outlined possible alternate routes for an
east/west corridor through the City. The Council thanked Mr. Christensen for his input.

14 Delane Donithorne then approached the Council. Mr. Donithorne explained that
the secondary water system does not seem to have adequate pressure to allow him to
16 water his property during allowable watering times. Mayor Dain commented that he has
discussed this issue with other residents in the neighborhood. He directed staff to have
18 the City Engineer look into the problem. Mr. Dameron will meet with property owners in
the area to determine the extent of the problem, and will discuss the issue with the City
20 Engineer and Public Works Director.

22 **MAYOR'S COMMENTS/REPORT** –

24 Mayor Dain reported on his activities since being appointed Mayor. He has
attended meetings with the Utah Lake Commission, UDOT, The Lindon Heritage Trail
26 Committee, Mountainland Association of Governments, and Utah County Council of
Governments. Mayor Dain also commented on the groundbreaking ceremony he
28 attended for the Pleasant Grove recreation center, as well as a ribbon cutting for Agility
Physical Fitness in Lindon. He noted that he met with Chief Tom Paul of the Police
30 Department to discuss public safety matters, including traffic enforcement. Mayor Dain
and Mr. Dameron also met with representatives of Wal-Mart to discuss the role Wal-Mart
32 can play in the community.

34 **CONSENT AGENDA** –

36 No items.

38 **CURRENT BUSINESS** –

- 40 1. **Concept Review** – *Carter Property Line*. This is a request by Mike and Dorothy
Carter for a concept review of a proposed lot line adjustment to a non-conforming
42 lot in the R1-20 zone. The Planning Commission also reviewed this item, and felt
that the proposed change would likely be allowable.

44
46 Mike and Dorothy Carter were present as representatives for this item. Mr.
Cowie stated that this is a request for a concept review of a property line adjustment. The

2 Carters propose moving the common boundary line two feet to the east, creating two
4 additional feet on their property, which will be received from the neighboring property
6 owners, the Wilkinson's. Mr. Cowie explained that both lots are currently non-
8 conforming lots, and that this boundary adjustment would be alteration of non-
10 conforming use, which requires City Council and Planning Commission approval. The
12 Planning Commission previously reviewed this item, and did not express any concerns
14 regarding the proposed property line adjustment. The owners of both parcels have
16 discussed this proposal, and are in agreement that the adjustment would be beneficial.
18 Mr. Cowie explained that the proposed adjustment would bring the Carter property more
into conformance regarding frontage and side yard set backs, but that both lots will still
be non-conforming. He presented photographs of the subject property. Mr. Cowie noted
that the City Engineer recommended that if allowed, this adjustment be completed
through a fence line agreement between the property owners. Following discussion, the
Council did not feel that the proposed adjustment would be detrimental to the
community, and that official approval would be likely following submission of
appropriate applications and fees. Mr. and Mrs. Carter thanked the Council for their
time.

2. **Review and Action** – *Site Plan – Beesmark Office Building*. This s a request by
BMA Construction for approval of a multi-unit, 2 story, office complex in the CG
zone located at 195 North 290 West, within the Old Station Square Commercial
Subdivision. The Planning Commission recommended approval with conditions.

Blake Draper of BMA Construction was present as the representative for this
application. Mr. Cowie explained that this application has been reviewed and
recommended for approval by the Planning Commission. Mr. Cowie stated that this is a
request for approval of a commercial office building in the CG zone in the Old Station
Square development. This proposed project borders the residential zone on the west and
south boundary, and is subject to applicable fencing requirements. The project consists
of one main building and individual office units located behind the main building, which
are identified on the site plan as “shingles buildings.” The site plan also shows concept
drawings of landscaping elements, including a water feature.

Proposed elevations on the main building consist of stone and stucco elements
with timber accents and metal roofing. Proposed elevations on the “shingles buildings”
will consist of a stucco wainscoting with cement fiber board siding, and metal roofing.
Mr. Cowie presented a sample of the cement fiber board which the applicant provided for
review by the Planning Commission. Mayor Dain commented that he is familiar with the
cement fiber board product, and that it is a substantial elevation material.

Mr. Cowie explained that the applicant submitted photographs of sample fencing
materials. He noted that City Code requires a seven foot masonry fence between the CG
zone and the Residential zone. However, due to the location of the Lindon Heritage Trail
adjacent to this development, the developer will be required to pay a cash amount to the
City for required fencing. The City will install uniform fencing along the length of the
trail in the development when construction of the trail is completed. Mr. Draper noted
that the proposed fencing materials are not available in a seven foot height, and that bids
which were submitted for fencing are for an eight foot fence.

2 Mr. Cowie stated that the Planning Commission recommended approval of this
application with the following conditions:

- 4 1. That the applicant pay a cash amount to the City for installation of an
eight foot stamped concrete fence along west and south property line to
be installed by the City at a later date.
- 6 2. That the applicant consider the addition of a stone wainscot similar to
the main building on the front of the “shingles buildings.”

8 Councilmember Carpenter asked Mr. Draper if he had any concerns regarding the
recommendations of the Planning Commission. Mr. Draper stated that the developer is in
10 agreement with the recommendations, and that they are willing to include a stone
wainscot on the “shingles buildings.”

12 Councilmember Bath expressed concern that the cash payment for fencing may
not cover the actual cost of fencing when it is installed. Mr. Dameron explained that
14 interest earned on the cash payment should be adequate to cover cost increases for future
installation of fencing.

16 Mayor Dain called for further comments or discussion. Hearing none, he called
for a motion.

18 COUNCILMEMBER BATH MOVED TO APPROVE THE BEESMARK
20 OFFICE BUILDING SITE PLAN WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

- 22 1. THAT HE APPLICANT PAY A CASH AMOUNT TO THE CITY FOR
INSTALLATION OF AN EIGHT FOOT STAMPED CONCRETE FENCE
ALONG THE WEST AND SOUTH PROPERTY LINE TO BE INSTALLED
24 BY THE CITY AT A LATER DATE.
- 26 2. THAT THE APPLICANT INCLUDE A STONE WAINSCOT SIMILAR TO
THE MAIN BUILDING ON THE FRONT OF THE “SHINGLES BUILDINGS.”

28 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| 30 COUNCILMEMBER BATH | AYE |
| COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER | AYE |
| COUNCILMEMBER HATCH | AYE |

32 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH TWO ABSENT.

- 34 3. **Public Hearing** – *Ordinance Amending Chapter 17.70 (Group Homes) and*
36 *Chapter 17.72 (Care Facilities) of the Lindon City Code (Ordinance #2007-11).*
The City Council will hear public comment and possibly act to approve an
ordinance amending Chapter 17.70 titled “Group Homes” and Title 17.72 titled
38 “Care Facilities Overlay”. The Planning Commission recommended approval.

40 COUNCILMEMBER BATH MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING TO
CONSIDER ORDINANCE #2007-11. COUNCILMEMBER HATCH SECONDED
42 THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

44 City Attorney, Brian Haws, was present to discuss proposed ordinance
amendments with the Council. He noted that this item has been discussed by the Council

on two previous occasions, and the Planning Commission has also reviewed proposed changes.

Mr. Haws noted that as a permitted use, state and federal laws limit requirements for residential type group home facilities to the same requirements that apply to other residential homes in the zone. He noted that the ordinance specifies criteria for a residential type group homes as opposed to a commercial care facility. Additional requirements above requirements specific to the zone can not be imposed on residential group home facilities. He noted that the City has some authority to restrict certain facilities within 500 feet of a school if residents at the facility have a history of drug or alcohol abuse, a history of violence or sex offenses.

Mr. Haws also reviewed criteria in the ordinance intended to determine whether residents of a facility are appropriately placed. The care provider will be required to complete an evaluation of each resident and provide documentation to the City showing that the facility is the appropriate placement for each resident. He explained that it will not be necessary for the provider to violate confidentiality standards, and that a general report stating that all residents in the facility are appropriately placed will be adequate. He noted that this requirement should not create an undue burden for providers, as each resident should have a documented case history which can be used in completing the evaluation.

The Council went on discuss a 500 separation distance for certain facilities from schools, as well as a ¾ mile separation distance between facilities to avoid clustering. He noted that separation distance between facilities in the proposed ordinance is the same as in the existing ordinance.

Councilmember Bath inquired as to whether a separation distance from daycare facilities would be appropriate. Mr. Cowie observed that there may be a number of day care facilities that the City is not aware of. He explained that up to four unrelated children can be cared for at a home based day care facility without any licensing requirements. If five or more children are cared for at the site, both local and state licensing are required. Councilmember Bath requested that Mr. Cowie investigate the possibility of including a 500 foot separation distance from day care facilities if the separation would not be too restrictive in allowing group homes to locate in the City. Mr. Cowie stated that he will map day care facilities and include of separation distance if it is not excessively prohibitive.

The Council discussed the ADA designation of individuals recovering from addiction as disabled. Mr. Haws clarified that individuals who are currently abusing any substance do not meet the criteria for placement in a group home for individuals with a disability, and would not be appropriately placed in a residential zone. He noted that such individuals could be removed from a group home facility, but that it would not be appropriate to close the facility unless there is an established pattern of incorrect placement of individuals.

Mr. Haws pointed out that property owners within 750 feet of a proposed facility will be noticed regarding initial approval of any facility. Property owners within 300 feet will be noticed regarding annual review of each facility.

Mayor Dain inquired as to the number of facilities currently in the City. Mr. Cowie stated that one juvenile group home, one elderly home, and one home for disabled residents are currently located in the City. He stated that each of the existing facilities

2 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE
#2007-11, CARE FACILITIES, WITH AMENDMENTS AS PRESENTED.
4 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:
6 COUNCILMEMBER BATH AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE
8 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH TWO ABSENT

10 4. **Public Hearing** – *Revocation of Conditional Use Permit and Business License –*
12 *Indian Oil Company.* This is a staff initiated request for the Council’s
14 consideration of the revocation of the Conditional Use Permit and Business
License for Indian Oil Company, located in LI zone at 1155 West 135 South. The
16 City has received information regarding safety hazards at the facility, and the City
of Orem has recently held proceedings with the business regarding illegal
18 discharges into the sewer system. These items are in violation of City standards.
Therefore, staff recommends revocation of the CUP and Business License. The
Planning Commission recommended approval of the revocation.

20 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC
HEARING TO CONSIDER REVOCATION OF THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT
22 AND THE BUSINESS LICENSE FOR INDIAN OIL COMPANY.
COUNCILMEMBER BATH SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN
24 FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

26 Mr. Cowie explained that this is a hearing to consider revocation of the
Conditional Use Permit and business license for Indian Oil Company. He explained that
28 the hearing was initiated by the City following discharge of unacceptable material into
the sewer system. The company has not yet paid fines assessed by Orem City for
30 violations of the discharge agreement. Mr. Cowie noted that the City has received a letter
from the Board of Directors of Indian Oil outlining possible safety hazards at the site. He
32 observed that there is no indication that concerns have been addressed in any manner.

34 Mr. Cowie noted that prior to Planning Commission review of this issue, the
applicant for the Conditional Use Permit was sent a copy of the agenda and the staff
report for the meeting by certified mail, and that no representative for Indian Oil was
36 present during Planning Commission review. He noted that the applicant was also
notified that the City Council would discuss the issue tonight, and that this meeting
38 would have provided an additional opportunity for the company to present any testimony
or evidence that the business is operating in a safe manner and is operating in compliance
40 with the requirements of the Conditional Use Permit. However, no representative was
present at the meeting. Mr. Cowie stated that staff recommends revocation of the
42 Conditional Use Permit and business license for Indian Oil Company.

44 Councilmember Carpenter inquired as to whether inspections were completed on
the property. Mr. Cowie stated that inspections were conducted on the site, but that
equipment used in the manufacturing process was not standard manufacturing equipment,
46 and was beyond the area of expertise of City staff. Councilmember Carpenter asked if it

2 survey to determine the level of support for a General Obligation Bond to fund
3 construction of both facilities. The survey indicated that 57% of residents surveyed
4 definitely or probably would support a General Obligation bond for construction of the
5 facilities.

6 Mr. Dameron noted that Mayor Dain, Councilmember Anthony and
7 Councilmember Carpenter met recently with Jason Burningham, the City's financial
8 advisor, as well Brent Tippets, the architect hired by the City to complete the feasibility
9 study. During that meeting, the recent increase in property tax assessments was
10 discussed, and the Mayor and Councilmembers involved in the meeting felt it would be
11 appropriate to reconsider the decision to place the bond question on the ballot, as it may
12 create an additional burden on tax payers, particularly those on a fixed or limited income.
13 Mr. Dameron stated that it appears that one facility can be built with tax revenues. He
14 stated that staff recommends that the City move forward with construction of the aquatics
15 facility at this time, and that construction of a recreation center be delayed until an
16 appropriate funding source is available.

17 Mr. Dameron explained that Mr. Tippets was asked to provide two options for
18 completion of the aquatics facility. Option A would be for an aquatics facility and
19 associated offices and changing rooms. Option B would include a small exercise area.
20 The estimated cost of the aquatics facility only is \$7,993,979.89. The estimated cost
21 increased to \$10,616,638.14 if a small fitness room is added to the project. Mr. Dameron
22 stated that Mr. Burningham reported to him that the City can comfortably bond for
23 approximately \$9.5 million dollars based on anticipated tax revenues. Mr. Dameron
24 noted that if the project included an exercise room, the facility would exceed the
25 recommended cost.

26 Councilmember Carpenter noted that it is important to consider the impact of a
27 tax increase on all citizens if the majority voted in favor of the bond. He observed that
28 the City receives only a small portion of property tax revenues, and that none of the
29 recent increase in revenues will be directed to the City. He noted that the question is not
30 whether the bond would be approved, but whether it would be fair to citizens to ask for a
31 bond at this time.

32 Mayor Dain observed that the proposed aquatics facility would be a "first class"
33 facility, and that in surveys, residents indicated that an aquatics facility would be a higher
34 priority than a recreation center.

35 Mr. Dameron noted that sales tax revenues are expected to increase over the next
36 five to ten years as the 700 North commercial corridor develops. He suggested that it
37 may be possible to complete a recreation center using only sales tax revenues at that time.

38 Lindon resident, Doug Christensen, expressed concern regarding funding for the
39 aquatics facility. He noted that similar facilities are available in neighboring
40 communities, and suggested that funds would be better used in other areas.

41 Councilmember Bath explained that opportunities for Lindon residents to participate in
42 aquatics programs in neighboring cities are being threatened as those facilities reach
43 capacity. Mayor Dain explained that construction of the aquatics facility will not affect
44 the City's ability to complete other projects. He noted that revenue for roads and other
45 City facilities come from other funding sources, and are not affected by sales tax
46 revenues.

2 Mr. Dameron explained that the feasibility study is not yet complete. He stated
that a public meeting will be held to take public comments and input on amenities and
4 design of the pool. He clarified that prior to final design, the feasibility study will
determine whether the City has the means to construct, maintain and operate the facility.

6 Mayor Dain called for further comments or discussion. Hearing none, he called
for a motion.

8 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER MOVED TO NOT PLACE A QUESTION
REGARDING A GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A
10 RECREATION CENTER ON THE BALLOT IN THE NOVEMBER ELECTION, AND
THAT THE FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR AN AQUATICS FACILITY BE
12 COMPLETED BASED ON OPTION "A" AS PRESENTED BY THE ARCHITECT.
COUNCILMEMBER BATH SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
14 RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER BATH AYE

16 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE

COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE

18 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH TWO ABSENT.

- 20 6. **Review and Action** – *Cooperative Agreement – Modification 2 – UDOT and*
22 *Lindon City for Design Engineering Reimbursement on the Lindon Heritage*
Trail. This is a request by staff for the City Council's ratification of the above
24 referenced agreement. The agreement increases the City match by \$3,295.13,
which is within the budget allocation for the trail.

26 Mr. Dameron explained that this cooperative agreement between UDOT and
Lindon City for design engineering will allow federal grant funds to pay for the cost
28 increases. He noted that the City match for the increase is \$3,295.13. Mayor Dain called
for further comments or discussion. Hearing none, he called for a motion.

30 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER MOVED TO APPROVE THE
32 COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT BETWEEN LINDON CITY AND UDOT FOR
DESIGN ENGINEERING REIMBURSEMENT ON THE LINDON HERITAGE TRAIL
34 AND THE CITY MATCH OF \$3,295.13. COUNCILMEMBER HATCH SECONDED
THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

36 COUNCILMEMBER BATH AYE

COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE

38 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH TWO ABSENT.

- 40 7. **Review and Action** – *Letter of Support – City of Orem*. This s a request by the
42 City of Orem for a letter of support for a change from a minor arterial to a
principal arterial designation along Lindon's 600 South and Orem's 1600 North
44 from Geneva Road to 400 West (Orem's 1200 West). Staff recommends
46 approving the letter of support.

2 Mr. Dameron explained that this letter of support is for a change in the street
4 designation on the common boundary of Orem 1600 North and Lindon 600 South. The
6 street designation is proposed to be changed from a minor arterial to a principal arterial
8 with 7 lanes up to 400 West. The City Engineers have reviewed the plans and
determined that there is adequate right of way on the Lindon side to accommodate the
change with only re-striping. Mayor Dain called for further comments or discussion.
Hearing none, he called for a motion.

10 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER MOVED TO APPROVE THE LETTER OF
12 SUPPORT TO THE CITY OF OREM TO DESIGNATE OREM 1600 NORTH,
14 LINDON 600 SOUTH FROM A MINOR ARTERIAL TO A PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL
AND AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS.
COUNCILMEMBER BATH SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

16 COUNCILMEMBER BATH AYE
18 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH TWO ABSENT.

- 20 8. **Review and Action** – *Revised Public Meeting Schedule – 2007*. This s a request
22 by staff for the City Council to consider adding September 4, 2007 back onto the
24 meeting schedule. This date was removed on May 15, 2007 in anticipation of the
Primary Election being scheduled for that day. However, the Primary Election
date is September 11, 2007 and will not interfere with the Council’s normal
meeting schedule.

26 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH MOVED TO PLACE THE REGULARLY
28 SCHEDULED MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 4, 2007 BACK ON THE ANNUAL
MEETING SCHEDULE. COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER SECONDED THE
30 MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

32 COUNCILMEMBER BATH AYE
34 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH TWO ABSENT.

36 **COUNCIL REPORTS** –

38 **WATER, SEWER, SOLID WASTE, HOUSING CONSORTIUM** –

40 Councilmember Hatch discussed concerns regarding contract services for garbage
42 removal. He explained that there has been some conflict with Allied Waste attempting to
increase business in the Wasatch Front area.

44 Councilmember Hatch also expressed concern regarding the proliferation of
check cashing type businesses in the valley. He suggested that action be taken to limit
the number of check cashing businesses which would be allowed to locate in the City.

2 Mr. Dameron stated that the City Council took action to restrict the number of check
3 cashing businesses in the City during a previous meeting.

4 **TRAILS, PLANNING, ZONING, BD OF ADJ., ADMINISTRATION** –

6 Councilmember Bayless was not present at the meeting.

8 **PUBLIC SAFETY, COURT, BUILDING INSPECTIONS** –

10 Councilmember Bath observed that traffic enforcement in the City seems to be
11 increasing, following a decline in enforcement due to a misunderstanding between the
12 Police Department and the City regarding traffic school funds.

14 Mayor Dain commented that in a recent meeting he had with Chief Tom Paul,
15 Chief Paul asked to go on the record as requesting a two year transition period prior to
16 termination of the contract between the cities for police services. The matter will be
discussed further at a Special Meeting on Tuesday, August 28, 2007.

18 **PARKS, RECREATION, ENGINEERING, LINDON FAIR, NEWSLETTER** –

20 Councilmember Anthony was not present at the meeting.

22 **GENERAL PLAN, STREETS & SIDEWALKS, PUBLIC BUILDINGS** –

24 Councilmember Carpenter reported that street projects are being completed as
25 planned. Mayor Dain read a letter from resident Roy Jacklin expressing appreciation for
26 the actions and attitude of City staff during street projects near his home.

28 Councilmember Carpenter noted that most residents associated with street projects have
been gracious, and that the City is appreciative of the cooperative efforts of residents in
completing necessary projects.

30 **ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT** –

32 Mr. Dameron reported on the following items:

- 34 1. A Special City Council Meeting will be held Tuesday, August 28, 2007 at 7:00
p.m. at the City Center to discuss public safety matters.
- 36 2. The Council reviewed the Project Tracking List.
- 38 3. Mr. Dameron recently attended a meeting with UDOT officials to discuss the
40 Vineyard Connector road west of Lindon. He noted that the future roadway is
conceptual at this point. Environmental Impact Studies will be conducted prior to
final design of the roadway.
- 42 4. Matthew Bean withdrew his name as a candidate for City Council in the
November election.
- 44 5. Judge Brent Bullock will report to the Council on September 18, 2007 regarding
recertification of the Lindon City Justice Court. Recertification is required by law
every four years.

