

2 The Lindon City Council held a **Budget Works Session on Monday, May 24, 2010**,  
beginning at 7:00 a.m. in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100 North  
4 State Street, Lindon, Utah

6 Conducting: James A. Dain, Mayor  
Pledge of Allegiance: James Dain  
8 Invocation: Ott Dameron

10 **PRESENT**

**ABSENT**

12 James A. Dain, Mayor  
Lindsey Bayless, Councilmember  
14 Bret Frampton, Councilmember  
Jerald I. Hatch, Councilmember  
Mark L. Walker, Councilmember  
16 Ott H. Dameron, City Administrator  
Jamie Bennee, Finance Director  
18 Debra Cullimore, City Recorder

Bruce Carpenter, Councilmember

20 **MINUTES** – No minutes were reviewed at this meeting.

22 **OPEN SESSION** – There was no public comment at this meeting.

24 **MAYOR’S COMMENTS/REPORT** – Mayor Dain gave no report at this meeting.

26 **CONSENT AGENDA** – No items.

28 **WORK SESSION** – The Mayor and City Council met in a Budget Work Session to  
discuss issues for fiscal year 2010/2011.

30  
32 City Engineer, David Thurgood, was present to discuss utility enterprise funds  
with the Council. Mr. Thurgood reviewed revenues generated by culinary and secondary  
34 water impact fees. He noted that fees collected by the secondary water fund fluctuate due  
to periodic collection of fees paid in lieu of water shares. Mr. Dameron explained that  
36 fees in lieu of water shares are used to help fund the City’s financial obligation for  
Jordanelle water shares. Mr. Thurgood noted that the pressurized irrigation bond was  
paid in full in 2007. He noted that the water fund generates stable revenue, and that the  
38 fund balance is a combination of pressurized irrigation and culinary water funds. Mr.  
Dameron observed that the annual CPI increase for water service implemented by the  
40 Council several years ago has helped keep the fund healthy. Mr. Thurgood stated that a  
2.2% CPI increase to water fees is being recommended for the coming fiscal year.

42 Mr. Thurgood went on to discuss pending debts associated with the sewer fund.  
Upcoming expenditures include the sewer lift station project and the expansion of the  
44 water reclamation facility. Mayor Dain suggested that the Council consider incremental  
increases to sewer rates to fund the increased expenses over the coming years rather than  
46 a larger increase in 2013 when the expense is realized by the City. Mr. Thurgood agreed

2 that a small increase above the proposed CPI increase would be a reasonable approach to  
prepare for the coming expenses.

4 Mr. Thurgood stated that in order to comply with federal regulations, it will be  
necessary to fill the vacant position in the storm water department this year. He  
6 explained that maintenance on the storm water system could fall behind if the position is  
left vacant for the coming year. Mayor Dain clarified that the Public Works Inspector  
8 position which will be vacated when Dorsey Hooley retires will be left vacant, and the  
storm water position will be filled in July.

10 The Council discussed overall utility rate increases, which are expected to total  
approximately \$2 per month per household. The Council noted that proposed increases  
allow the City to keep pace with inflation without undue burden to residents.

12 Ms. Bennee then presented specific budget issues for discussion by the Council.  
Budget issues were discussed as follows:

- 14 • **Should the City continue to fund the 4.5% 401k contribution regardless of**  
16 **employee contributions?** Mayor Dain observed that during previous discussion  
regarding this budget issue, there was a perception that implementation of an  
18 employee match requirement would cause relatively little impact. Upon further  
review, it has become apparent that this action may adversely affect a number of  
20 employees. Mayor Dain noted that employees have had two years with no pay  
increases, and that this action may be seen as unfairly penalizing employees.  
22 Following further discussion, it was the general feeling of the Council that 401k  
contributions without an employee match should be continued for the coming year,  
with further review and discussion during the next budget cycle. A final decision  
24 will be made prior to adoption of the final budget.
- 26 • **Should the City fund health insurance/dental insurance benefits for City**  
**Council Members?** The Council discussed the budget impact of providing health  
28 insurance benefits for City Council members, as well as the merits of providing an  
attractive benefits package which may encourage citizens to become involved and  
run for office. Other Councilmembers felt that residents should not assume public  
30 office for the benefits, but should do so to serve the community. The Council also  
discussed other potential uses of revenue which is currently being used to fund  
32 health insurance benefits for City Council members. Following lengthy discussion,  
the Council did not come to a consensus regarding appropriate action on this matter.  
34 This issue will be discussed further during upcoming Budget Public Hearings.

36 **COUNCIL REPORTS** – The Council gave no reports at this meeting.

38 **ADMINISTRATOR’S REPORT** – Mr. Dameron gave no report at this meeting.

40 **ADJOURN** –

42 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT  
8:10 A.M. COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL  
44 PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

46 Approved – June 1, 2010

2

4

6

8

10

---

Debra Cullimore, City Recorder

---

James A. Dain, Mayor