

2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on **Tuesday, August 19,**  
3 **2014 at 7:00 p.m.** in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100 North State  
4 Street, Lindon, Utah.

6 **REGULAR SESSION** – 7:00 P.M.

7 Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor  
8 Pledge of Allegiance: Mayor Acerson  
9 Invocation: Van Broderick, Councilmember

10 **PRESENT**

**ABSENT**

11 Jeff Acerson, Mayor  
12 Randi Powell, Councilmember  
13 Matt Bean, Councilmember  
14 Van Broderick, Councilmember  
15 Jacob Hoyt, Councilmember  
16 Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember – arrived 7:05  
17 Adam Cowie, City Administrator  
18 Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police  
19 Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director  
20 Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

- 21
- 22 1. **Call to Order/Roll Call** – The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.
- 23
- 24 2. **Presentations/Announcements** –
- 25
- 26 a) **Mayor/Council Comments** – There were no comments at this time.
- 27
- 28 3. **Approval of Minutes** – The minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council of  
29 July 15, 2014 were reviewed.
- 30

31

32 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE  
33 MEETINGS OF JULY 15, 2014 AS WRITTEN. COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK  
34 SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

35 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE  
36 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE  
37 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE  
38 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE  
39 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- 40
- 41 4. **Consent Agenda** – No items.
- 42
- 43 5. **Open Session for Public Comment** – Mayor Acerson called for any public comment  
44 not listed as an agenda item.
- 45
- 46

2 Nyal Richins, Lindon resident, addressed the Council at this time. Mr. Richins  
mentioned the excessive speeds on Center Street since the road was opened two years ago  
4 and inquired when the issue of controlling the speeds will be addressed. He noted in the  
covenant that Mayor Dain signed there was a provision included that there would be a  
6 speed study done and the speeds would be monitored and patrolled by the police. He  
stated that he has not seen any of this happening to date. Mr. Richins stated that he  
8 realizes a police presence cannot be there at all times but something needs to be done to  
control the excessive speeds or there will eventually be an accident. He feels the city  
needs to be a little more responsible and review the signed covenant.

10 Mayor Acerson asked City Administrator, Adam Cowie, for an update. Mr.  
Cowie gave some background of this issue stating the city did actually have a speed study  
12 done with a completed report from City Engineer, Mark Christensen, which he presented  
to the Council. The findings of the study reported that the average speeds had not  
14 increase significantly from the previous study. Several different options were presented to  
the City Council and it was determined not to implement any options other than updating  
16 the crosswalk; no other changes were approved at that time. Mr. Cowie stated that he  
would get a copy of the study for Mr. Richins to review.

18 Chief Cullimore stated they are aware of the problem and stated they have the  
speed trailer there periodically and they do patrol and do enforcement in the area as much  
20 as possible. Mr. Richins voiced his opinion stating more traffic calming options need to  
be implemented i.e., speed bumps, etc. as the street narrows and it is very dangerous.

22 Mayor Acerson commented that one thing that is being looked at is raising the  
speed limit on 200 South which may funnel more traffic from Center Street. He realizes  
24 that the speeds can be excessive at times but that can happen anywhere throughout the  
city. Mayor Acerson stated that it may be appropriate to speak with former Mayor Dain  
26 to see if all of the commitments made were followed through and bring it back to the  
Council for more discussion. Mr. Cowie stated that it was a formal agreement and as far  
28 as he is aware the requirements have been met.

30 Councilmember Bean commented that he had concerns of the inability at the time  
to widen the street to what it ought to be. He stated after review of the street width by the  
Council (on-site also) it was determined to move ahead based on recommendations from  
32 the traffic engineer at the time. He went on to say that the Council and Police Department  
are aware of the problems where the road narrows and it is a difficult situation to get  
34 people to slow down. Mayor Acerson asked if traffic studies have been done in other  
areas of the city where speed humps have been implemented and if those studies are  
36 available as to have some sort of reference point for comparison. Mr. Cowie replied if  
those studies were done then there would be records and he will check on that issue.

38 Mayor Acerson called for any further public comments or questions in the open  
session. Hearing none he moved on to the next agenda item.

## 40 **CURRENT BUSINESS**

- 42
- 44 6. **Review and Action**– *7-Eleven/Crump Enterprises, Inc., Beer License Application.*  
Nathan Crump with Crump Enterprises requests City Council approval for a Lindon  
City Beer License at 795 N. State Street. Mr. Crump is a new franchise owner for the  
46 existing 7-Elelven store which has been previously authorized for a beer license. City

2 code prohibits transfer of a beer license and therefore a change in ownership requires  
new approval for continued beer sales.

4 Adam Cowie, City Administrator, gave a brief summary stating Nathan Crump  
with Crump Enterprises (who is in attendance) is requesting City Council approval for a  
6 Lindon City Beer License at 795 N. State Street. He noted that Mr. Crump is a new  
franchise owner for the existing 7-Eleven store which has been previously authorized for  
8 a beer license. Mr. Cowie stated that City code prohibits transfer of a beer license and  
therefore a change in ownership requires new approval for continued beer sales.

10 Mr. Cowie noted that for the past several years the 7-Eleven (previously  
Walker's) location above has been approved by the City for beer sales. However, a new  
12 franchise owner has taken over the store and is seeking to continue beer sales and  
therefore needs City Council approval of a new beer license. He noted the application  
14 appears to meet requirements for approval and is pretty straightforward. He went on to  
say the City is not aware of any outstanding violations or concerns on current beer sales  
16 occurring at the location. Mayor Acerson called for any comments or questions from the  
Council. Hearing none he called for a motion.

18  
20 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO APPROVE THE REQUEST BY  
CRUMP ENTERPRISES, INC. FOR A RETAIL BEER LICENSE AT 795 NORTH  
STATE STREET WITH THE CONDITION THAT THE APPLICANT OBTAIN ANY  
22 REQUIRED STATE LICENSE (S) FROM THE UTAH DEPARTMENT OF  
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL AND PROVIDE COPIES TO LINDON CITY.  
24 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS  
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

26 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL            AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER BEAN            AYE  
28 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK       AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT            AYE  
30 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG       AYE  
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

32  
34 7. **Compatibility Determination** – *O'Neal Aquatics, 31 S 1550 W.* JoD and Rob Jones  
of O'Neal Aquatics requests review of an unlisted land use category (swim lessons)  
36 to determine if the use is compatible with uses permitted in the Light Industrial (LI)  
zone. The applicant proposes to operate a business conducting swim lessons in  
warehousing space located at 31 South 1550 West in the Light Industrial (LI) zone.  
38 The Planning Commission recommended on a vote of 6:0 with one abstention, the  
Planning Commission recommended approval of the application as a conditionally  
40 permitted use with conditions.

42 Mr. Cowie informed the Council at this time that the applicant has withdrawn this  
application because of state requirements that cannot be met or complied with.

44  
46 8. **Presentation and Discussion:** *Republic Services Recycling Program Options.*  
Reece DeMille and Alissa Dailey from Republic Services will present information  
and pricing for opt-out recycling in Lindon. The Council will receive the proposal,

2 discuss options for moving forward and provide direction to staff. No official motions will be made.

4 Mr. Cowie led this discussion by stating Reece DeMille from Republic Services is in attendance tonight to present information and pricing for opt-out recycling in Lindon. He noted the Council will receive the proposal, discuss options for moving forward and provide direction to staff. He added that no official motions will be made for this discussion item.

10 Mr. Cowie explained that the Council has expressed previous interest in starting an opt-out recycling program to increase the level of recycling participants in Lindon. The city currently has about 32% recycling participation with 819 recycling accounts out of about 2,500 total residential addresses. Most opt-out programs see over 60% participation. Greater participation in recycling will lower the tipping fee expense to the city, save space in the landfill, and assist in other environmental benefits. In an opt-out program every residence would be automatically signed up and billed for a recycling can unless they opt-out within a certain time frame. If a residence fails to opt-out within the set time frame, they will receive a recycling can and be billed for the can whether they choose to use it or not.

20 Mr. Cowie further explained that opting out would most likely require submittal of some sort of signed form that is turned into the City utilities clerk and kept on file. Although higher numbers of users resulting from opt-out or mandatory programs typically lowers the cost per recycling can, Republic Services has informed us that, even with increased participation, the current recycling can rate is already a very competitive price that they can't lower. Republic charges the City \$3.68 per can, with the city adding an additional amount to cover fuel surcharges and administrative processing. So, the total current rate of \$4.13 per recycling can will be the same regardless of how many new addresses participate in recycling.

28 Mr. Cowie then referenced possible implementation questions to consider as follows:

- 30 • What kind of additional public notice and length of time does the Council want before starting the opt-out period?
- 32 • How long should the opt-out period be? (60 days, 90 days, before Jan. 1st etc.)
- 34 • Should there be a disincentive (fee or charge) for subscribers to discontinue the service opt-out period ends? If so, how much?
- 36 • Should new utility customers coming to the city after the opt-out period ends be automatically signed up (required to participate)? If so, *eventually* all residences in Lindon will be participating in the recycling program. If not, participation rates will most likely decrease over time, thus decreasing the overall savings to the city through higher tipping fees.

40 Mr. Cowie stated that after getting feedback from the Council, Staff will proceed with the necessary steps to implement the desired program and administrative process. He noted that formal action from the Council will be in the form of Resolution adopting the opt-out program policies with administrative details and timeframes. Since no change to the fee is anticipated the fee schedule will not need to be amended. Adoption of the resolution could be done as part of a public hearing at an upcoming Council meeting.

2 Mr. DeMille addressed the Council at this time to give his presentation to the  
Council. He referenced examples of opt-out recycling done in neighboring cities. He  
4 noted that American Fork City made the switch from opt-in to opt-out recycling in 2009  
with a 60 day opt-out period. Following the opt-out period, residents are charged a  
\$50.00 dollar opt-out fee. Pleasant Grove began their opt-out recycling program in 2010  
6 after the council approved the program in August of 2009 with a 6 month opt-out period  
following the opt-out period, residents are charged a \$50.00 dollar opt-out fee. Provo City  
8 made the switch to opt-out recycling at the end of 2011. Provo residents can opt-out of  
the program any time without any sort of penalty. Provo has lost 45 participants a month  
10 since implementing their opt-out program.

12 Mr. DeMille pointed out the unique benefits offered with the Opt-Out recycling programs  
as follows:

- 14 • Higher participation rate
- It's easier for residents to get their can and start using it
- 16 • Keeps the choice to participate with the residents.

18 Mr. DeMille also mentioned items to look at if considering using the program as follows:

- 20 • 60 day period to communicate program details out to residents
  - Communication methods
- 22 • 45-60 day opt-out period for residents
  - How do residents opt-out - Email, phone calls
- 24 • Approximately 60 days for Republic to deliver containers to residents and begin  
curbside service.
  - 26 •New containers will be delivered with an every other week recycling  
schedule and list of recyclable items.

28 There was then some lengthy discussion following Mr. DeMille's presentation.  
Mr. DeMille commented that the program can be structured any way the Council likes  
30 depending on communication details, time frames etc. Mr. Cowie stated that it appears  
the Council has some interest and noted there has been periodic pushes in the newsletter,  
32 website etc. in the past year and there has been a 10% increase from those notifications.  
Mr. Cowie stated the potential savings is \$10,000 a year. Mr. Cowie asked the Council  
34 for feedback and direction at this time. Mayor Acerson commented that he is sensing  
from the Council that there is some support for opting out.

36 Councilmember Broderick stated that he would be in favor of implementing a  
marketing campaign and see what the response is. He believes ongoing education is  
38 important to have residents become more aware; he also suggested having the recycle  
option on the form when people move in to the city.

40 Councilmember Lundberg stated that she would like to see us step up a little  
more to the plate and expressed that this would be good for the environment and also  
42 saves money. She stated if this is implemented in a friendly, proactive, awareness way it  
will see a lot of positive results. She suggested making it easy to opt-out with no penalty  
44 so people are not offended. She noted that there are different levels of how to encourage  
this. She also mentioned that glass recycling is also an option down the road.

2 Councilmember Lundberg also suggested using fliers, postcards, magnets, eagle projects,  
door hangers, website, newsletter, etc. to get the information out there.

4 Councilmember Bean commented that he is in favor but questioned to what  
extend does a municipality push social objectives. He agreed that the savings is the main  
driving force and may justify pushing the agenda that in the past we have been unwilling  
6 to push; but this is a conservative city in a lot of ways, which is a concern.

8 Councilmember Hoyt commented that he agrees with Councilmember Bean. He is  
on the fence as he is a big proponent of recycling but questioned if it is our job as a  
municipality to push this agenda; he is not sure it is.

10 Councilmember Powell commented that perception is what is going to matter.  
She stated that that Council may be in favor of a really mild, easy to work with, opt-out  
12 program but at the same time the perception will be that the city is making the residents  
participate, and there will be some residents who are not in favor; but there is a wide  
14 variety of residents in the city. She did echo the comments of Councilmember Bean and  
Councilmember Hoyt. She added that she would also like to see this implemented in  
16 phases.

18 Mayor Acerson commented that he would prefer that residents make a choice,  
because they are proactive and educated and have the information going forward rather  
than being included then having to make the decision to get out.

20 Mr. Cowie stated that a concerted effort will be made to get the word out and  
they will target the two or three can households. He suggested looking at implementing  
22 postcards, door hangers, group email list, utility billing, newsletter, facebook, twitter,  
website, marquee, senior center and newsletter and open houses with the Mayor to target  
24 this issue and to educate the residents. Mr. DeMille suggested a "recycle corner" be  
included in the city newsletter. He noted that curbside recycling is not a money maker  
26 for them; they do it because they are trying to be good environmental stewards. Mr.  
DeMille stated that he appreciates the partnership with the city and Republic Services is  
28 happy to do whatever the Council suggests.

30 Mayor Acerson thanked Mr. DeMille for the presentation. He called for any  
further comments or questions from the Council. Hearing none he moved on to the next  
agenda item.

32  
34 9. **Discussion Item:** *Community Center Rentals for Commercial Activities.* At the  
request of the Mayor this item is being brought forward for discussion. Heath  
Bateman, Parks & Recreation Director, has prepared two options for consideration to  
36 allow commercial activities in the Community Center and is seeking direction on how  
to proceed. No official motions will be made.

38  
40 Mr. Cowie presented an overview stating at the request of the Mayor this item is  
being brought forward for discussion. He commented that Heath Bateman, Parks &  
Recreation Director, has prepared two options for consideration to allow commercial  
42 activities in the Community Center and staff is seeking direction on how to proceed. He  
noted that no official motions will be made on this agenda item as it is for discussion  
44 only.

46 Mr. Bateman addressed the Council at this time. He commented that recently  
there has been some interest from businesses to rent the community center for

commercial events (specifically Terri Marchbanks with the Holy Cow Boutique). He noted this idea has been re-opened hence he is providing two options for adding commercial and non-resident rentals at the Community Center. One option shows commercial being a percentage of the total revenue earned. The other option shows a flat cost for commercial rentals research shows that it is about 75% to 25% for other businesses; he noted that he and his colleagues side with the flat cost increase for rentals. He explained the amount of increase between resident, non-resident and commercial on the flat rate increase model varies widely he doubled the rental rate for non-residents and doubled it again for commercial.

Mr. Bateman pointed out some of the challenges he foresees if rentals are made more available to more people as follows:

- Availability: (there are a lot of programs and gym time is somewhat difficult to get during sports leagues.)
- Saturday rentals and late night rentals will make staffing needs go up. (currently, weekend rentals are scarce and staff goes home during the evening at 7:00 pm)

Mr. Bateman continued by explaining there are positives and negatives to both models. He noted his personal recommendation is the percentage model because if a show or event doesn't go well, it would give flexibility in the cost back to the city so people won't lose money. He did noted if the rate is inexpensive people will come and rent the building as there has been enough interest. He further explained this will take more "babysitting" by staff to make sure the actual amounts of gate receipts or sales receipts are accurate. He noted that in both situations non-profit rates have been removed. Mr. Bateman stated if they still want to have nonprofit rates, it can be added in as a percentage off of the resident and nonresident rate. He noted the Council can change the percent taken or raise or lower the rental rate on the other form if needed. There was then some general discussion by the Council regarding this issue.

Mr. Cowie stated that staff will give this a hard look on measuring costs and bring the numbers back. Following discussion the Council was in agreement to have Mr. Bateman put some numbers together and have a discussion with Ms. Marchbanks and open the building for her use (as a "pilot program") to help determine what the actual and future costs will be on the use of the building. Mr. Bateman then gave a recap of Lindon Days, stating the events were well attended with a lot of community support, and he believes it was all within budget. Mr. Bateman stated he will present an official report on Lindon Days and the Aquatics Center at a later meeting. The Mayor and Council thanked Mr. Bateman and his staff for their exemplary work in making Lindon Days such a success this year.

Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council. Hearing none he moved on to the next agenda item.

10. **Public Hearing:** *General Plan Map Amendment, Approx. 750 N 2800 W.* Lindon City requests a General Plan map amendment to change the General Plan designation of Utah County Parcel #13:063:0057 (located at approximately 750 North 2800 West) from Mixed Commercial to Commercial (**Ordinance #2014-13-O**). The Planning Commission recommends approval.

2 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING.  
3 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT  
4 VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

6 Mr. Van Wagenen opened the discussion by stating on July 1, 2014, the City  
7 Council approved a General Plan designation change on the subject property, Utah  
8 County Parcel #13:063:0057 (located at approximately 750 North 2800 West) from  
9 Commercial to Mixed Commercial. He noted that members of the Council indicated that  
10 they were willing to change the General Plan designation of the property because they  
11 believed that the specific office/warehouse project presented by the applicant was in the  
12 public interest. Mr. Van Wagenen stated that after approval was granted the project fell  
13 through (National Packaging Innovations) and this is an action to revert the General Plan  
14 designation to Commercial. He noted that after review the Planning Commission  
15 recommended approval.

16 Mr. Van Wagenen stated the next item will be on the zoning map amendment.  
17 Following some additional discussion, Mayor Acerson called for any public comments or  
18 further questions from the Council. Hearing none he called for a motion.

20 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE  
21 #2014-13-O, THE APPLICANT'S REQUEST TO CHANGE THE GENERAL PLAN  
22 DESIGNATION OF THE LOT IDENTIFIED BY UTAH COUNTY PARCEL  
23 #13:063:0057 FROM MIXED COMMERCIAL TO COMMERCIAL.

24 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS  
25 RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

26 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
27 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
28 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
29 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
30 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

31 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

32 11. **Public Hearing:** *Zone Map Amendment, approx. 750 North 2800 West.* Lindon City  
33 requests a Zone Map amendment to change the zoning designation of Utah County  
34 Parcel #13:063:0057 (located at approximately 750 North 2800 West) from Mixed  
35 Commercial to Commercial (**Ordinance #2014-14-O**). The Planning Commission  
36 recommends approval.

38 Mr. Van Wagenen opened the discussion by stating the City Council approved a  
39 Zone Map Amendment that changed the zoning of the subject property Utah County  
40 Parcel #13:063:0057 (located at approximately 750 North 2800 West) from Commercial  
41 A8 (CG-A8) to Mixed Commercial (MC). Members of the Council indicated that they  
42 were willing to change the zoning of the property because they believed that the specific  
43 office/warehouse project (National Packaging Innovations) presented by the applicant  
44 was in the public interest. He noted that after approval was granted, the project fell  
45 through. This is an action to revert the zoning to Commercial A8 (CG-A8) and basically  
46 just a clean-up item. He noted that after review by the Planning Commission they

2 recommended approval. Following discussion, Mayor Acerson called for any public  
3 comments. Hearing none he called for a motion to close the public hearing.

4 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.  
5 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT  
6 VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

8 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council.  
9 Hearing none he called for a motion.

10 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE  
11 #2014-14-O, THE APPLICANT’S REQUEST TO CHANGE THE ZONING  
12 DESIGNATION OF THE LOT IDENTIFIED BY UTAH COUNTY PARCEL  
13 #13:063:0057 FROM MIXED COMMERCIAL (MC) TO GENERAL COMMERCIAL  
14 A8 (CG-A8). COUNCILMEMBER HOYT SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE  
15 WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

16 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
17 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
18 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
19 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
20 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

21 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

22  
23 12. **Review and Action**– *Resolution to re-name Center Street.* This is a City initiated  
24 action item for the Council to review and consider approval of **Resolution #2014-8-R**  
25 to re-name Center Street to Lindon Center Street.

26  
27 Mr. Cowie led the discussion by stating this is a City initiated action item for the  
28 Council to review and consider approval to re-name Center Street to *Lindon Center*  
29 *Street*. Mr. Cowie explained that UDOT has informed the City that it plans to install a  
30 traffic signal at the intersection of State and Center Street. He explained that in an effort  
31 to differentiate our Center Street from other cities along State Street, Staff recommends  
32 renaming the road to *Lindon Center Street* so that the signs in the intersection will  
33 identify Lindon more clearly. He stated that Orem City did a similar change with “Orem  
34 Center Street”. He noted that no immediate changes to other street signage or any  
35 substantial expense to implement this change are anticipated at this time. He also noted  
36 the city does not anticipate any addressing/mail delivery concerns since the road will  
37 remain as Center Street. He stated at the next available opportunity, maps and other  
38 documents will be updated as needed. There was then some general discussion regarding  
39 this issue.

40 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions. Hearing none he  
41 called for a motion.

42  
43 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION #2014-8-  
44 R, EFFECTIVELY CHANGING THE NAME FROM CENTER STREET TO LINDON

CENTER STREET. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION.

2 THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE

4 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

6 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

8 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

10 13. **Discussion Item**– *Closed Session to Discuss Pending or Reasonably Imminent*  
12 *Litigation per UCA 52-4-205.* City Attorney, Brian Haws, and City Administrator,  
Adam Cowie, will be present to discuss several items with the Council.

14 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO CLOSE THE REGULAR MEETING  
16 OF THE CITY COUNCIL AND GO INTO A CLOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION TO  
DISCUSS PENDING OR REASONABLY IMMINENT LITIGATION.  
18 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT  
VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

20 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO CLOSE THE EXECUTIVE  
22 CLOSED SESSION AND RE-CONVENE THE REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING OF  
THE CITY COUNCIL. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION.  
24 ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

26 14. **COUNCIL REPORTS:**

28 **Councilmember Powell** – Councilmember Powell reported that the Lindon Days wrap  
up meeting will be held tomorrow. She noted she is grateful for staff that did such a great  
30 job with Lindon Days. She also asked the Council to email her any suggestions or  
comments regarding Lindon Days. She also reported she will be attending the upcoming  
Community Center Advisory Board and IHC Outreach meetings.

32 **Councilmember Bean** – Councilmember Bean commented that he appreciated  
34 Councilmember Lundberg’s email regarding her meeting and discussion with the  
Transportation Commission Board. Councilmember Bean suggested to the Council to  
36 think about an intern to help the Planning Director focus on the 700 North Corridor. He  
also appreciated Mr. Cowie sending out Dave Shaw’s opinion’s regarding the Utopia  
38 dissolution and UIA OpEx.

40 **Chief Cullimore** – Chief Cullimore reported that an honor student pulled the fire alarm  
42 on the first day of school which required the evacuation of Oak Canyon Jr. High. He also  
mentioned the upcoming training meeting for the Drill Down for Safety to be held on  
September 15<sup>th</sup>. Mr. Cowie noted that in the past they had another official (emergency  
44 manager) come to provide some of the training for the drill. After discussion it was  
determined to hold the training on Thursday, September 4<sup>th</sup> at 6:00 pm in the city  
46 building council chambers.

2 **Councilmember Hoyt** – Councilmember Hoyt mentioned that they are still searching for  
4 a new member to serve on the Historic Preservation Commission and to contact him with  
any suggestions of those who may be willing to serve.

6 **Councilmember Broderick** – Councilmember Broderick reported that he attended the  
8 engineering meeting. He noted that JUB Engineers are working on a management plan  
and the Council will have some discussion in the last quarter of this year which will be  
10 beneficial regarding utilities etc. He also mentioned the Locust Avenue street repairs and  
costs and commented that he hopes this will be a fall project. Councilmember Broderick  
12 asked Chief Cullimore about an incident on the Murdock Trail on 400 North last week.  
Chief Cullimore stated that he will get more information on the incident and get back to  
14 him. Councilmember Broderick also mentioned the proposed Cemetery storage/office  
building and passed out a proposed drawing. He inquired if there are any funds budgeted  
16 for materials for the building. Mr. Cowie replied that funds are not currently allocated but  
they could be done if the Council approves it. He noted that he spoke with Hayden Dain  
18 who is willing to design the building. He suggested doing the building a piece at a time  
which would require little expense with city trades, contractors and volunteers etc.  
20 Councilmember Broderick stated that he appreciates the ideas Mr. Cowie brought to the  
table. Mr. Cowie suggested bringing this issue forward in the October meeting.

22 **Councilmember Lundberg** – Councilmember Lundberg reported that she attended the  
transportation meeting (the discussion details were previously reported). She noted that  
24 she is excited about Councilmember Broderick’s ideas about getting the cemetery  
building together.

26 **Mayor Acerson** – Mayor Acerson nothing to report at this time.

28 **Administrator’s Report:**

Mr. Cowie reported on the following items:

30 **Misc. Updates:**

- 32 • August City newsletter
- 34 • Project Tracking List
- 36 • Business cards (examples to be presented. \$40/B&W, \$90/color. 500 count)
- 38 • 200 South speed limit discussion – Orem City Engineer will come to future  
September 16<sup>th</sup> meeting
- 40 • Training: ULCT September 10<sup>th</sup>-12<sup>th</sup> conference
  - 42 ○ Councilmembers Bean and Lundberg prefer St. George conference
  - 44 ○ Will need to amend/increase budget line item.
- 46 • Desire for annual work sessions with Alpine School District Board. Legislative  
report from State elected representatives (September 16<sup>th</sup> at 6 p.m.)
- Road funding: Will be exploring costs of studies and implementation process for  
possible Transportation Utility fee.
- Locust Avenue – road reconstruction options.
- FYI: City is hiring for new water tech position & management intern; Mindi  
Zobell is leaving the Police Department.

- Employee Benefits Committee – first meeting completed
- 2 • Emergency Drill training w/Provo City Emergency Manager: Thursday, September 4<sup>th</sup> at 6:00 pm
- 4 • Misc. Items:

6 **Upcoming Meetings & Events:**

- Newsletter Assignment: Councilmember Powell - September newsletter article.  
8 *Due by last week in August.*
- August 26<sup>th</sup> at 6:00 pm – Joint PC/CC meeting. 700 North planning. All Council  
10 members will attend
- September 5<sup>th</sup> at Dusk – Last summer movie in the park. Citizenship Park (500 N  
12 800 E)
- September 12<sup>th</sup> – Utah League of Cities & Towns fall conference in SLC
- 14 • September 9<sup>th</sup> – Bike tour of Boulder, CO: Mayor Acerson and Councilmember  
Lundberg will attend
- 16 • September 15<sup>th</sup> – “Drill Down for Safety”, 6:00 pm Monday evening Community  
Emergency Preparedness exercise. All Council members should report to block  
18 captains, then come to City Center.
- September 23<sup>rd</sup>, 1:00 pm to 3:00 pm – Utah County Health Department,  
20 Vaccination Clinic at Lindon City Offices (available to all public)
- October 7<sup>th</sup> – 6:00 work session w/Police and Community Development Dept.
- 22 • October 14<sup>th</sup> at Noon – Engineering Coordination meeting at Public Works. Mayor  
Acerson and Councilmember Broderick will attend.
- 24 • November 18<sup>th</sup> – 6:00 pm work session w/Public Works and Parks Dept.

26 **Future items:**

- Employee Policy Manual updates
- 28 • Performance evaluations, compensation, and benefit studies
- Impact Fee and Utilities rate studies
- 30 • Economic Development plan/policies

32 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.  
Hearing none he called for a motion to adjourn.

34

**Adjourn** –

36 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING  
AT 11:20 PM COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL  
38 PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

40

Approved – September 2, 2014

42

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

44

46 \_\_\_\_\_  
Jeff Acerson, Mayor