

2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on **Tuesday, July 7, 2015,**  
4 **at 7:00 p.m.** in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100 North State Street,  
Lindon, Utah.

6 **REGULAR SESSION** – 7:00 P.M.

8 Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor  
Pledge of Allegiance: Dustin Sweeten  
10 Invocation: Van Broderick, Councilmember

12 **PRESENT** **ABSENT**

Jeff Acerson, Mayor  
14 Randi Powell, Councilmember  
Matt Bean, Councilmember  
16 Van Broderick, Councilmember  
Jacob Hoyt, Councilmember  
18 Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember  
Adam Cowie, City Administrator  
20 Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director  
Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police  
22 Kathy Moosman, City Recorder

- 24 1. **Call to Order/Roll Call** – The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.
- 26 2. **Presentations/Announcements** –  
a) Mayor/Council Comments – There were no comments at this time.
- 28
- 30 3. **Approval of Minutes** – The minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council  
of June 16, 2015 and the Joint Work session of February 10, 2015 were  
reviewed.

32 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF  
34 THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 16, 2015 AS AMENDED  
AND THE JOINT WORK SESSION OF FEBRUARY 10, 2015 AS PRESENTED.  
36 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS  
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

38 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE  
40 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE  
42 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE  
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- 44 4. **Consent Agenda** – No items.
- 46

2           5. **Open Session for Public Comment** – Mayor Acerson called for any public  
comment not listed as an agenda item.

4

Lindon City resident, Roy Shepherd, addressed the Council at this time. He  
6 mentioned the weed issues and maintenance on the Murdock Canal Trail and asked who  
is required to groom the trail. Mr. Shepherd also mentioned an incident that occurred with  
8 the Police on the trail that was just a misunderstanding and he expressed his apologies.  
Mr. Shepherd also brought up Utopia pointing out that he felt it wasn't a good  
10 appropriation of taxpayer dollars from the beginning. Mr. Cowie noted that he gave Mr.  
Shepherd a brief summary/status of Utopia prior to the meeting. Mr. Shepherd also  
12 mentioned the paving of Center Street over the canal noting he felt it should have been  
straightened out 40 years ago when it was just farmland and it wouldn't have the issues  
14 with the narrowing that poses a problem. Mayor Acerson then thanked Mr. Shepherd for  
his comments. He called for any further public comment. Hearing none he moved on to  
16 the next agenda item.

18 **CURRENT BUSINESS**

20           6. **Public Hearing** – *Ordinance Amendment LCC 17.57 Hillside Protection*  
*District*. Brandon Jones requests approval of an Ordinance Amendment to LCC  
22 17.57 Hillside Protection District to allow lots meeting certain conditions to be  
exempted from the requirements of the Hillside Protection District. The  
24 Planning Commission recommends approval.

26           COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING.  
COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT  
28 VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

30           Jordan Cullimore, Associate Planner, opened the discussion by giving a brief  
summary of this agenda item. He stated the two purposes of the Hillside Protection  
32 District is to promote health, safety, and the general public welfare by establishing  
standards for development of certain hillsides to minimize soil and slope instability,  
34 erosion, downstream siltation, and the other purpose is to preserve the character of  
hillsides in Lindon. He noted the requirements of the Hillside Protection District apply to  
36 lots located the area designated as R1-12-H on the Zone Map (includes any developable  
land above the Salt Lake aqueduct). The requirements also apply to any residential  
38 building lot in the city with an average slope exceeding 20%.

Mr. Cullimore explained where the Hillside Protection district applies, certain  
40 plans must be submitted, and geotechnical studies must be performed on the lot.  
Additionally, any structure built on the lot must conform to specific building site  
42 requirements that include, among other things, more restrictive setbacks. He noted the lot  
is also subject to safety considerations involving grading and filling with consideration  
44 also given to the preservation of the hillside character when determining the building  
footprint and this ordinance would allow for this type of request.

46           Mr. Cullimore stated the applicant, Brandon Jones, owns a lot that is not in the  
designated Hillside Protection area, but has an average slope that exceeds 20%.

2 Consequently, when the lot was platted, the requirements of the Hillside Protection  
District were applied. Mr. Cullimore explained that Mr. Jones approached City staff to  
4 find out whether he could modify the building footprint as long as the safety of the area is  
preserved. Staff discussed the requests (with input from the City Engineer) and  
6 determined that there are likely instances in which exceptions to the Hillside District  
requirements are appropriate as long as the general intent related to safety and the  
8 character of the hillside are preserved. Mr. Cullimore noted that currently, the existing  
ordinance does not allow for exemptions. This proposed amendment would allow for  
10 certain exemptions from the Hillside Protection requirements where professional analysis  
of the lot indicates that doing so will not be detrimental to the purposes of the Hillside  
12 Protection District. He further explained that in reviewing the proposal the City Engineer  
feels comfortable that these provisions will still meet the intent of the ordinance by  
14 providing for the requirements related to the purpose while at the same time with specific  
lots grant some relief of them (essentially a variance) for specific situations.

16 Mr. Cullimore stated the Planning Commission considered the amendment and  
determined that the proposed exemption process will be an appropriate tool to allow  
18 flexibility while still fulfilling the purposes of the Hillside Overlay District in certain  
circumstances and the Commission recommends approval of the ordinance amendment.

20 Mr. Cullimore then referenced for discussion the Lindon City Zone Map, the  
proposed amendment to LCC 17.57 Hillside Protection District, Lot 7 of Meadows at  
22 Bald Mountain Plat A and Photos of the lot and of another lot located on Dry Canyon  
Drive in Lindon that could benefit from the proposed amendment. Mr. Cullimore then  
24 called for any questions or comments.

Mr. Cullimore went on to say that Mark Christensen, City Engineer, did a lot of  
26 research to come up with a proposed process for exempting lots. Essentially what the  
proposed ordinance states is if someone requests an exemption or relief the applicant may  
28 submit a request to exempt a lot or parcel from specific provisions. The applicant would  
also provide a statement with the proposal and explanation of the unique conditions to the  
30 lot and provide proficient cause for the city to grant exemption. The applicant would  
need to provide a site plan and also a report prepared by a geotechnical engineer licensed  
32 in the State of Utah. Then the Planning Commission may grant the request if the City  
Engineer reviews the geotechnical report and takes no exception to it and the if the  
34 Commission finds the exemption will not be injurious to the health, safety or welfare to  
the general public or nearby residents and also preserve the hillside character. Mr.  
36 Cullimore re-iterated that this ordinance would allow for these types of requests on lots  
that have unique circumstances and it makes sense because all of the considerations of  
38 the hillside overlay ordinance would still be met. Mr. Cullimore then called for any  
questions or comments.

40 Councilmember Lundberg asked about the costs to administer these exemptions  
and if they will have a separate fee from the regular site plan and if so would it be  
42 appropriate. Mr. Cullimore stated staff has discussed how to handle this issue. He noted  
there is a miscellaneous application fee (\$150.00 processing fee) and they already charge  
44 the processing fee and the City Engineer review times would be directly charged to the  
applicant. Councilmember Hoyt asked what the requirements are for those who perform  
46 the geotechnical test and if they are certified or must maintain a degree. Mr. Cullimore  
replied it is included in the ordinance that the geotechnical engineer must be licensed in

2 the State of Utah. Councilmember Lundberg asked about increasing the liability issues to  
4 the city and if there is any type of signed waiver in place. Mr. Cullimore stated that issue  
6 was discussed at the Planning Commission meeting and they felt comfortable using it as  
8 an appropriate tool. He noted that Commissioner Wily, who is an attorney, stated  
anytime we allow anything we are incurring liability. He added that the City Engineer  
tried to leave the liability with the geotechnical engineer. He mentioned that the general  
feeling by the Commission was that it wouldn't increase the liability to the city. Mr.  
Cowie pointed out that the subdivision lots are already approved.

10 Mr. Cullimore then referenced section 3a of the ordinance. Mr. Cullimore stated  
12 when looking at the standard they will not consider large areas, and the intent is to  
14 contemplate exemptions on specific lots where it is arguable that the ordinance wasn't  
16 even written to address these situations. Mayor Acerson pointed out that it is a general  
18 ordinance that blankets that area and there may be exemptions that are appropriate.  
Councilmember Powell asked if this exemption stays within the city's jurisdiction as far  
as holding on to the exemption, or will it follow the title and if this would help protect the  
city in the event of any future issues. Mr. Cullimore stated that a notice could be filed or  
recorded on the deed on the house (as a policy matter).

20 Councilmember Broderick mentioned his concerns with the geotechnical engineer  
22 determining if the proposal meets the health and general welfare of the general public and  
questioned if they have expertise in that area. Mr. Cullimore stated that is a general term  
and the City Engineer will be looking for those issues. There was then some general  
discussion by the Council regarding this issue.

24 Mayor Acerson called for any public comment. Hearing none he called for a  
26 motion to close the public hearing.

28 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.  
COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED  
IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

30 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council.  
32 Hearing none he called for a motion.

34 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE  
36 AMENDMENT 2015-10-O AS PRESENTED WITH THE EXCEPTION THAT A  
NUMBER FIVE IS ADDED THAT STATES A NOTICE OF ANY EXEMPTION  
GRANTED SHALL BE RECORDED ON THE DEED AT THE COUNTY.

38 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS  
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

40 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
42 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
44 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

2           7. **Public Hearing – Lindon City Bicycle and Pedestrian Mater Plan (Resolution**  
4           **#2015-5-R).** Lindon City requests approval and adoption of the Lindon City  
6           Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan by Resolution #2015-5-R. The Planning  
8           Commission and Staff recommend approval of the plan.

10           COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC  
12           HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL  
14           PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

16           Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director, led the discussion by welcoming Mary  
18           Cliff (member of the steering committee) and Maria Dias (representing Fehr & Peers).  
20           Mr. Van Wagenen then gave a brief background explaining in the spring of 2014, Lindon  
22           City and Mountainland Association of Governments hired Fehr & Peers to create a  
24           Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan for the City. He noted a bicycle committee  
26           consisting of City Staff, elected and appointed officials, and concerned citizens, was  
28           created to provide feedback and guidance to the consultant in creation of the plan (he  
30           listed the committee members). Mr. Van Wagenen stated this was a joint effort with  
32           MAG (through a grant) and noted Jim Price was tremendously helpful in the process and  
34           he is grateful for their expertise.

36           Mr. Van Wagenen stated the plan itself consists of maps and cross sections,  
38           among their sections, for the development of both bicycle and pedestrian facilities within  
40           Lindon. He mentioned that several goals were outlined in the plan, including the  
42           promotion of alternative forms of transportation and recommendations for priorities. He  
44           noted that the public was engaged and input was received through open houses and online  
46           surveys which were very beneficial. Mr. Van Wagenen referenced the 5 goals outlined in  
the plan, including promotion of alternative forms of transportation infrastructure  
(bicycle and pedestrian treatments) the priority list (by fund) and maps (that tie into other  
cities).

Mr. Van Wagenen commented that there will be different types of amenities and  
fixtures that will be implemented, i.e., canopies, bike racks, street lighting, signage,  
benches, drinking fountains etc. Mr. Van Wagenen noted the steering committee went on  
two field trips, one to Salt Lake City and the other to Boulder, Colorado, to tour other  
urban cycling areas. Mr. Van Wagenen then presented his PowerPoint presentation  
followed by some general discussion.

Mr. Van Wagenen mentioned the recommended biking code (required short term  
parking) is in the appendices of the plan itself. He added if this plan is adopted tonight by  
resolution the suggested code would not be immediately adopted but will be brought back  
at a later date and be adjusted if needed (the actual parking ordinance). Basically, it is a  
little more detailed and comprehensive than what is currently in place and differentiates  
between short term (required) and long term parking (suggested).

Councilmember Hoyt asked what the current code is for parking. Mr. Van  
Wagenen explained that in the industrial zones, there are certain criteria that trigger  
parking compliance with existing code requirements (minimum of 2 stalls up to 50  
parking stalls). He noted it is pretty minimal in the light industrial zone but there is a  
minimum of 2 stalls. In other commercial zones it is a minimum of 2 stalls and a ratio of  
8% of the total vehicular parking stalls up to a maximum of 16 stalls.

2 Councilmember Hoyt commented as he was studying this issue he was  
approached by a resident who is building in the industrial area who voiced his concerns  
4 about the bicycle rack requirement noting it is highly unlikely an employee will ride a  
bike to his business. Councilmember Hoyt added that he likes this master plan and  
6 supports it, but asked the Council how they feel about the government's role of  
recommending vs. requiring a bike rack when it comes to something that is a lifestyle.  
8 He went on to say he would like to see a business friendly culture in the city and this  
seems to be just another requirement and for some businesses it makes sense for others it  
10 doesn't. He would suggest implementing the bike rack as a recommendation rather than a  
requirement and asked the Council if they have strong feelings about using a requirement  
12 vs. recommendation position.

Councilmember Lundberg pointed out if a city wants to create a culture of a  
14 bikable, walkable community that brings all the benefits that Mr. Van Wagenen just  
presented then we have to look at the long term vision of what our "end game" is. She  
16 pointed out that in Boulder, CO, some of those initiatives they started many years ago  
weren't popular but now they are seeing the fruits of it and it is amazing and it brings in  
18 many intangible benefits as well as actual measureable benefits. She stated the Council  
needs to decide what our "end game" is and if we want to sponsor and engender a  
20 bikable, walkable community culture in Lindon and envision what this will look like in  
10 or 15 years.

Councilmember Powell stated that she sees Councilmember Hoyt's point as far as  
22 requiring something that is perhaps beyond the scope of what the Council is supposed to  
be doing; it does feel reaching. She noted at the same time she feels if the bike racks are  
24 provided, the bikes will come. She mentioned that a business owner may be more  
inclined to comply if it is suggested or encouraged, rather than required, as there may be  
26 long term associated benefits for their business, i.e., cleaner streets, maintained streets,  
striped streets, as to improve the area the business resides in. She pointed out that having  
28 bike lanes may draw a better clientele and better neighbors (even in the industrial areas).

Ms. Cliff suggested requiring it but adding a clause that it can be reviewed on a  
30 case by case basis; she believes that 99% of the people will put the bike rack in but the  
1% can apply for a variance. Councilmember Hoyt commented that he likes that idea but  
32 questioned how the criteria would be set. Mr. Van Wagenen pointed out that he has  
personally seen a lot of bikers in the industrial areas and believes it gets used more than it  
34 appears, especially for those individuals, for one reason or another, who aren't able to  
drive or who don't have a vehicle; a bike is the next best thing. Councilmember Hoyt  
36 asked what the cost of a bike rack is. Mr. Van Wagenen stated they are around \$300 -  
38 \$400.

Mr. Cowie mentioned the bicycle standards have been in place for over 5 years,  
40 so it is not new with this plan. Councilmember Hoyt commented that putting in a bike  
rack doesn't incorporate a safety or aesthetically pleasing factor; he feels requiring an  
42 owner to put in a bike rack is making the business endorse a lifestyle. Councilmember  
Powell commented that riding a bike is a mode of transportation and there are people  
44 (employees in industrial areas) where their only purpose for riding a bike to work is to  
make their living, not just for recreation or lifestyle purposes.

Councilmember Lundberg pointed out what the commuter looks like over the next  
46 10 or 15 years will be quite different. Councilmember Hoyt stated he realizes that but he

2 worries that the Council may be overreaching. Mr. Cowie pointed out it is a minimal  
4 requirement in the industrial zone. Councilmember Hoyt expressed his appreciation to  
6 the Council for the discussion on this issue and would suggest in moving forward as a  
8 Council to deliberate what is required vs. what is recommended. Councilmember  
10 Broderick commented that he is leaning more to a recommendation rather than a  
12 requirement. Mayor Acerson commented that we want to be ahead of the curve but not  
overreach and to lead out in a good direction in not forcing but providing an  
understanding of the benefits. Councilmember Hoyt stated he would like to have further  
discussion at a future meeting regarding this issue. He also expressed his appreciation to  
staff for their work on this plan. Councilmember Lundberg also expressed her thanks to  
staff for this great plan and for their hard work.

14 Councilmember Hoyt asked where the additional funding will come from. Mr.  
16 Van Wagenen stated MAG has a specific funds for these plans (transportation alternative)  
and UDOT also has funding options for these projects and additional federal grants. He  
then referenced the plan (pages 63-64) showing funding sources and funding  
opportunities.

18 Following some additional discussion the Council was in agreement to have  
20 further discussion regarding an opt-out/variance bike parking option in the industrial  
22 areas. Mr. Van Wagenen stated this is a guiding document and there is always room for  
24 flexibility and the plan can be tweaked as it will be incorporated as part of the general  
plan to use as a guide. Mr. Van Wagenen stated that ultimately they feel this plan will  
expand the opportunities for Lindon residents to get around and recreate in different ways  
in a safe environment throughout the city. He added that it will also tie in with our  
neighboring cities and counties.

26 Mayor Acerson called for any public comment. Hearing none he called for a  
28 motion to close the public hearing.

30 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.  
32 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED  
IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

34 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council.  
Hearing none he called for a motion.

36 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION  
38 2015-5-R, ADOPTING THE LINDON CITY BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN MASTER  
PLAN. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE  
WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

40 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
42 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
44 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

46

2           8. **Review and Action** – *Rejection of 200 South Water Line Replacement Bids.*  
4           Lindon City advertised and received five bids for the 200 South Water Line  
6           Replacement Project. The low bid of \$294,315.000 was 19% higher than the  
8           engineer’s estimate for the project (\$247,673.10). After engineering and staff  
10          review, it is believed that the City can get better prices by making a few  
12          adjustments to the plans and re-advertising this project in the fall for  
14          winter/spring construction work. Staff recommends the City reject all bids and  
16          not award the contract.

18           Mr. Cowie led this discussion by explaining Lindon City advertised and received  
20          five bids for the 200 South Water Line Replacement Project. The low bid of  
22          \$294,315.000 was 19% higher than the engineer’s estimate for the project (\$247,673.10).  
24          He explained after engineering and staff review, it is believed that the City can get better  
26          prices by making a few adjustments to the plans and re-advertising this project in the fall  
28          for winter/spring construction work. He noted that staff recommends the City reject all  
30          bids and not award the contract.

32           Mr. Cowie then referenced a letter from JUB Engineers recommending rejection  
34          of all bids due to the bids exceeding the engineers estimate. He noted the letter outlines  
36          several of the issues that may have led to higher than anticipated pricing; also included  
38          are bid tabulations from the contractors bid proposals. He explained the engineers will  
40          work to modify a few items on the plans and the City will re-bid the project later this fall  
42          in hopes of getting better pricing on the work.

44           Mayor Acerson called for any comments or questions from the Council. Hearing  
46          none he called for a motion.

          COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO REJECT ALL BIDS THAT HAVE  
          BEEN RECEIVED FOR THE 200 SOUTH WATER LINE REPLACEMENT PROJECT  
          AND RECOMMEND THAT THE CITY NOT AWARD THE CONTRACT TO ANY  
          BIDDER. COUNCILMEMBER BEAN SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE  
          WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

32	COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
34	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
36	COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
38	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
40	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

42           Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council.  
44          Hearing none he moved on to the next agenda item.

46           9. **COUNCIL REPORTS:**

**Councilmember Powell** – Councilmember Powell expressed her thanks to the Council  
          for their help on the employee appreciation party. She also gave an update on Lindon  
          Days and noted she will cover the details at the next meeting. She mentioned they are  
          looking for volunteers from the stakes and will give out assignments at a volunteer

2 orientation. She asked the Council how they feel about reaching out to the Stake  
Presidents (for next year) to ask them not to hold any ecclesiastical meetings during the  
4 Lindon Days week and instead promote “family week.” Mayor Acerson said he would  
be happy to contact them. Councilmember Bean commented that he would be hesitant to  
6 ask for additional help as they already help out on the safety drill each year, but he will  
give it some more thought. There was then some general discussion regarding Lindon  
8 Days including the Night out Against Crime and other events. Councilmember Powell  
reported the Community Center Advisory Board held a pine wood derby on the June 27<sup>th</sup>  
10 that had minimal success this year; this event has been removed from Lindon Days.  
Councilmember Powell also mentioned the intersection at 600 South and Lindon Park Dr.  
12 noting the cross walks go east to west but not north to south. She commented that this  
poses issues with citizens not being able to cross safely. Mr. Cowie stated he will check  
14 with UDOT about this issue. Councilmember Powell also reported that she attended the  
County Commission meeting last week with Mr. Cowie regarding the transportation tax  
16 which was an interesting meeting. There was then some general discussion regarding the  
Commission meeting. She also asked about the status of TPM (property management)  
18 and the condition of the rental houses. Mr. Cowie stated he talked to the maintenance  
supervisor and he is aware of the situation and he will follow up.

20

**Councilmember Bean** – Councilmember Bean expressed his thanks to city personnel for  
22 keeping them informed on the issues before the Council. He also expressed his thanks to  
Councilmember Powell and Mr. Cowie for attending the County Commission meeting.

24

**Chief Cullimore** – Chief Cullimore reported the Police Department has gotten involved  
26 with FEMA and they are working with Orem City as well. He also reported he attended  
the National Emergency Management Institute in Maryland along with Office Curtis  
28 Campbell (through a FEMA grant). H noted it was very beneficial training and they will  
be working with the school district to implement more plans geared to our schools and  
30 our needs (1-2 year project). He noted to watch for the emergency plans to come out.

32 **Councilmember Hoyt** – Councilmember Hoyt reported that Tonya Lemone has stepped  
down as chairman of the Historic Preservation Commission and Ted Lott will serve as  
34 chairman and Connie Lamoreaux will act as co-chair. He also reported that he attended  
the Ivory Open house along with Councilmember Powell and Councilmember Lundberg.  
36 He noted there was a pretty good turnout and he mentioned some of the comments from  
attendees (pro and con). He also gave kudos to Councilmember Powell on the great job  
38 she did at the employee appreciation party.

40 **Councilmember Broderick** – Councilmember Broderick reported on the recent water  
line break noting it was located in his neighborhood. He complemented the city road  
42 crews on repairing the line as they were very professional and thorough. He also  
complemented the city on how they handled disseminating the information to the public.

44

**Councilmember Lundberg** – Councilmember Lundberg mentioned the flag that a  
46 citizen hung above the canyon in Pleasant Grove over the 4<sup>th</sup> of July that was on the  
news. She also asked about the preservation overlay that was discussed tonight. She

2 inquired about a fissure they have been monitoring. Mr. Cowie stated every two years  
4 they re-survey the area and there are a series of survey points that are monitored. He  
6 noted there is no detectable movement. He is waiting for the JUB report before notifying  
8 residents within the proximity of the area. Councilmember Lundberg also expressed her  
thanks to Councilmember Powell for her work on the employee party noting it turned out  
very nice and the employees seemed to enjoy themselves.

8  
**Mayor Acerson** – Mayor Acerson had nothing to report at this time.

10  
**Administrator’s Report:**

12 Mr. Cowie reported on the following items followed by discussion.

14 **Misc. Updates:**

- 16 • Thanks to the Council for the help and service to the employees at summer the party.
- 18 • July City newsletter
- 20 • Project Tracking List
- 22 • Transportation funding update – Utah County Commission
- 24 • Storm flooding update
- 26 • Architectural RFQ’s for Fire Station and City Center/Police Department remodel
- 28 • UTOPIA/UIA update: Additional \$24M bond was approved by majority of UIA Board
- 30 • Received 60 North cell tower lease cancellation from one carrier - effective October 1<sup>st</sup> (\$8,764.38 reduction in annual lease revenues)
- 32 • Review of personnel costs as a percentage of total budget
- 34 • Fireworks Restriction: Reminder that fireworks restrictions are in place. Maps found on City web.
- 36 • Misc. Items

38 **Upcoming Meetings & Events:**

- 32 • Newsletter Assignment: Randi Powell – September newsletter article. *Due by last week in August.*
- 34 • August 3<sup>rd</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup> – Lindon Days celebration All Council members will attend
- 36 • October 22<sup>nd</sup> at 7:00 pm - Meet the Candidates Night at the Community Center
- 38 • November 3<sup>rd</sup> – Election night

40 **Future items:**

- 42 • Employee Policy Manual updates

44 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.  
Hearing none he called for a motion to adjourn.

**Adjourn** –

2 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING  
AT 9:45 PM. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL  
4 PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

6 Approved – July 21, 2015

8  
10 

---

Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

12  
14 

---

Jeff Acerson, Mayor