

2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on **Tuesday, March 3,**
4 **2015, beginning with a Work Session at 6:00 p.m.** in the Lindon City Center, City
Council Chambers, 100 North State Street, Lindon, Utah.

6 **WORK SESSION** – 6:00 P.M.

8 Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor

- 10 1. **Tour of Orem City Fire Station Facilities:** *The City Council will tour the Orem*
12 *City Fire Station facilities located at 255 North 1200 West, Orem, Utah.*

14 The Mayor and City Council met for a work session at the Orem City Fire Station
for a tour of the facility located at 255 North 1200 West, Orem, Utah. Chief Scott
16 Gurney and his staff were in attendance to conduct the tour of the facility. Chief Gurney
directed the Council to proceed with the tour of the Fire Station and facilities explaining
the uses and activities of the different areas and some of the associated pros and cons.
18 Chief Gurney then discussed some of the items and uses in the building they may have
done differently and that may work more efficiently and facilitate the uses better when
20 developing a new facility. After touring the facilities, the Mayor and Council expressed
their appreciation to Chief Gurney and the Fire Department for the tour of the facility and
22 for the service they provide to the city.

24 *Following the adjournment of the work session tour at 7:15 pm the Council convened to*
26 *the City Building for the regular session of the City Council beginning at 7:30 pm.*

28 **REGULAR SESSION** – 7:30 P.M.

30 Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor

Pledge of Allegiance: Alex Stringham, Boy Scout

32 Invocation: Matt Bean, Councilmember

34 **PRESENT**

ABSENT

34 Jeff Acerson, Mayor

Jacob Hoyt, Councilmember

36 Randi Powell, Councilmember

Matt Bean, Councilmember

38 Van Broderick, Councilmember

Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember – arrived

40 Adam Cowie, City Administrator

Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director

42 Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police

Kathy Moosman, City Recorder

- 44 1. **Call to Order/Roll Call** – The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.

- 46 2. **Presentations/Announcements** – Little Miss Lindon Royalty

2

a) **Mayor/Council Comments** – There were no announcements at this time.

4

3. **Approval of Minutes** – The minutes of the regular meetings of the City Council of February 5, 2015 and March 3, 2015 were reviewed.

6

8

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2015 AS CORRECTED OR AMENDED. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

10

12

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE

14

COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

16

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH ONE ABSENT.

18

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MARCH 3, 2015 AS CORRECTED OR AMENDED. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

20

22

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE

24

COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

26

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH ONE ABSENT.

28

4. **Consent Agenda** – No items.

30

5. **Open Session for Public Comment** – Mayor Acerson called for any public comment not listed as an agenda item. There were no public comments.

32

CURRENT BUSINESS

34

6. **Review & Action** – *Funding Assistance for Miss Pleasant Grove Pageant.* The City Council will review and take action on a request by Terry Marchbanks to provide \$2,000 of annual funding assistance to the Miss Pleasant Grove pageant.

38

Adam Cowie, City Administrator, gave a brief overview of this agenda item explaining that the City Council was presented with this information in the open session from Terri Marchbanks at the February 17, 2015 City Council meeting. Mr. Cowie mentioned that tonight the Council can take action on the request since it has been noticed and properly advertised on a City Council agenda. He then referenced the attached letters and funding requests from Ms. Marchbanks and other Miss Pleasant Grove royalty and participants. Mr. Cowie noted if the Council chooses to help fund the pageant this fiscal year, an amendment to the budget will be made in June, and if the

40

42

44

46

2 Council chooses to help fund the pageant for next fiscal year (after July 1, 2015) the amount will be added to the 2015-16 budget.

4 Councilmember Broderick commented that he feels this is a great idea and would suggest participating in the funding. Councilmember Powell noted that she has had several inquiries if Lindon City would ever do their own pageant stating that she feels that is highly improbable; it seems like it has been a good experience to just let it be Miss Pleasant Grove pageant as the Lindon participants don't seem to mind. She noted that typically the participants are high school age or older with the youngest being seniors. Councilmember Powell added that she feels Pleasant Grove would be hard pressed to fill a good roster of girls, even on their own, without the Lindon cooperation because every year there are Lindon girls in the pageant.

12 Mayor Acerson agreed with that statement noting that sometimes Ms. Marchbanks is hard pressed to find enough participation, but that is not to say that Lindon couldn't do their own pageant but the high school has been the focus.

16 Councilmember Lundberg asked how the Council feels about the name of the pageant reflecting the high school which in turn gives the idea that it is a Pleasant Grove City pageant. Councilmember Powell voiced her opinion that unless Lindon wants to participate more financially then the name will stay the same because Pleasant Grove City participates with a large financial contribution; partly because it is affiliated with the Miss America Pageant with the involvement of franchise fees etc.; which is a great program.

22 Councilmember Broderick stated he would like to move forward with participation and see how they respond with recognition, which is not a huge issue in his opinion, but it would be a nice gesture. Councilmember Bean questioned if this contribution would increase the amount of scholarships given or go to defray other cost associated with the pageant. Councilmember Powell stated that she understood that the funds would be used to defray the scholarship costs.

28 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council. Hearing none he called for a motion.

32 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO APPROVE THE FUNDING
34 REQUEST FOR THE MISS PLEASANT GROVE PAGEANT IN THE AMOUNT OF
\$2,000 FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR AND DIRECT STAFF TO BUDGET FUNDS AND
36 PREPARE BUDGET AMENDMENTS ACCORDINGLY AND THIS SHOULD BE AN
ONGOING CONTRIBUTION TO THE MISS PLEASANT GROVE PAGEANT.
38 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

40 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
42 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH ONE ABSENT.

- 44
46 7. **Concept Review** – *Alan Cutler Twin Homes, approx. 520/530 South 400 West.*
Alan Cutler requests feedback on a proposal to adopt a PUD ordinance that

2 would allow construction of 2 twin homes (4 units total) at 520/230 South 400
4 West in the General Commercial (CG) zone.

6 Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director, gave a brief summary of this agenda item
8 explaining that the applicant, Mr. Alan Cutler, is requesting feedback from the Council
10 tonight on a proposal to adopt a PUD ordinance that would allow construction of 2 twin
12 homes (4 units total) at 520/230 South 400 West in the General Commercial (CG) zone.
14 He noted there are two legal non-conforming residential lots in question and are on 1/4
16 acre lots (approx. 12,000 sq. ft. each). He noted that while they are zoned general
18 commercial they are being marketed as residential lots. Mr. Van Wagenen further
20 explained that Lindon had a PUD ordinance in the past but does not currently have one so
22 staff has encouraged Mr. Cutler to come forward to the Planning Commission and City
24 Council with his concept review and explain why this makes sense from his standpoint;
rather than letting two commercial ventures or single family homes on the lots. He added
this discussion is for input only for Mr. Cutler to determine whether or not he wants to
pursue this further based on the feedback tonight. He noted that no motion is necessary.

18 Mr. Van Wagenen stated that a detailed description of the applicant's proposal
20 and a concept site plan are included in the packets. Mr. Van Wagenen added that the
22 Planning Commission reviewed Mr. Cutler's proposal and they were overall favorable to
24 the concept. Mr. Van Wagenen then referenced an aerial photo of the land involved in
the concept review with zoning, photos of the existing lots, and the applicant's proposal
and concept site plan. He then turned the time over to Mr. Cutler to comment on the
specifics.

26 Mr. Cutler mentioned that he submitted a written explanation with his application
28 explaining his background and proposal for the Council to review. He noted that the city
30 currently does not have a PUD ordinance in place and he would like to help construct an
ordinance to accommodate something like this proposed project that would be nice,
affordable housing. Mr. Cutler stated there is a ready market for affordable housing like
this in Lindon and this is a reasonable idea to consider.

32 Mr. Cutler noted that the topography of the land is really difficult to design
34 around because of the 6' elevation change to get from the street up to a building pad
level, and also because of the overall grade change of 24' from the front to back property
36 line. He added that the homes will be approximately 1,800 square feet and will be top of
38 the line housing in the price range of \$300,000. There will also be unobstructed beautiful
40 views both east and west. He added that the plan takes advantage of the lots topography
and views while not disturbing the grade change over the full two lots which will be to
the advantage of both properties. Mr. Cutler noted these will be prime units that that will
look very much like single family dwellings but with an attached wall; they also
anticipate that they will sell very quickly.

42 Councilmember Lundberg commented that these lots were originally acquired for
44 another entry for the Maeser Preparatory Academy but the regulations with the Sports
Association stated that the entry had to run north to south so that option was eliminated.
46 She agreed that these lots are an "orphan property" on a busy collector street and she does
not see those lots as ideal for single family homes. Councilmember Bean commented that
in the past PUD's have implied some kind of multiple land uses. There was then some
general discussion regarding the engineering, topography and layout of the property.

2 Councilmember Lundberg asked why the PUD ordinance was eliminated and
what were the pros and cons from past Councils. Mr. Van Wagenen stated he does not
4 know specifically as that was before his and Mr. Cowie's time.

6 Mayor Acerson commented on this question stating one of the challenges
previous Councils had with PUD's was the fact that ultimately they thought they would
8 become city streets, when in reality they are not typically built to city standards. The
level and feeling was when a PUD is implemented the roads would need to be built to
10 city standards so in the event it is taken over by the city it will be consistent with the
standard format. Councilmember Powell added that scenario wasn't happening so the
12 PUD's were repealed and replaced with the R2 Overlay, which may have possibly run its
course. Mayor Acerson agreed with that statement. Councilmember Bean commented
14 that the Planning Commission overall was comfortable with this proposal. Mayor
Acerson noted that he is not sure any single family homes will jump on this location
because of the challenges with the property.

16 Councilmember Lundberg questioned if it needs to be called a PUD in order to
use the shared drive. Mr. Cutler stated that he would prefer it not being called a PUD
18 because it represents administrative issues he would have to deal with as a developer.
Councilmember Bean mentioned that it could be called a condominium development and
20 would still have a homeowner association, but not a PUD; but structurally he is not sure
what the difference is and if that would work. Mr. Cowie stated there is a large amount of
22 information that has to be put into the plat and recorded at the county. He added that
something of this nature may fit within the current R2 Overlay with some minor tweaks
24 in order to accommodate the higher amount of density. Councilmember Bean mentioned
that he understood that an R2 Overlay could be put anywhere in the city. Mr. Cowie
26 confirmed that statement but noted it must have separation distance between them. He
went on to say if a PUD ordinance is created it may negate that separation distance by a
28 separate ordinance.

30 Councilmember Powell expressed her concerns that she is uncomfortable with the
possible "ripple effect" from creating a PUD ordinance just for this project. She would
rather find a creative way to make this potentially work without creating an overlay or
32 new ordinance that may pose future consequences. Councilmember Broderick stated with
the topography challenges as they are he feels this design would be an incredibly good
34 use for the property. Mr. Cutler stated that he would not develop one unit without the
other and he must do it as one project so the driveway can be built. Mr. Cutler noted there
36 are two issues to consider here: 1) whether the council likes the use of the land, the layout
and the engineering issues and 2) the administrative issues and what to call this.

38 Mr. Cutler noted that Jordan Cullimore, Associate Planner, suggested to construct
the PUD around this project and then it could be addressed on its own merit without
40 creating a precedent for the whole city. Mr. Van Wagenen pointed out that this can be
creatively constructed in a variety of ways, and even if an overlay is only applied
42 legislatively through a zone map change each project would have to stand on its own
merit and then use broad legislative discretion whether to grant it or not. Anything
44 drafted would not be applicable city wide by any means; that is not the intent. There was
then some general discussion regarding this issue.

46 Sharon Call, Planning Commission Chair, addressed the Council at this time.
Chairperson Call stated that there was a lot of discussion at the Planning Commission

2 meeting regarding this issue. She mentioned that Mr. Cutler made a comment at the
4 meeting that his desire was not only to build these two units but he would also like to
6 extend it further with a number of units along that block; she feels that was something the
8 Council should consider if that is the intent. She noted there was also some discussion of
tearing out several of the homes along the street and putting in more units (5 twin homes
-10 units total) with the intent of expanding. She noted that this is something Mr. Cutler
may want to address with the Council and something the Council may want to consider.

10 Mr. Cutler addressed this comment stating that he was expressing some “wishful
12 thinking” at the Planning Commission meeting. He noted that logically this strip is in
14 transition. He does not know what the owners of the older homes will be doing with their
16 properties as he has not approached them, and he most likely could not afford the amount
they would be asking. He added at some point if the owners want to develop they may
want to tie into the PUD so they can use the same egress. He pointed out that Orem City
intends to widen 1600 North and the whole strip is in transition and it is logical that the
homeowners may be thinking in terms of doing something like this. Mr. Cutler stated that
currently he is just interested in these two lots.

18 At this time Mr. Van Wagenen asked the Council if there is an interest in allowing
20 Mr. Cutler to move forward to work with staff to come up with something that works.
22 Following discussion the Council was in agreement that they are in favor of this
development and directed Mr. Cutler to move forward with staff. Mayor Acerson
commented that it appears to be the best use of the property. Mr. Cutler then thanked the
Council for their time and consideration in this matter.

24 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions. Hearing none he
26 moved on to the next agenda item.

- 28 8. **Public Hearing** – *Zone Map Amendment, approx. 15 N. to 10 S. State Street –*
Ordinance #2015-7-O. Lindon City requests approval of a Zone Map
30 Amendment from General Commercial A (CG-A) to General Commercial (CG)
on properties identified by Utah County Parcel ID numbers 14:069:0229,
14:069:0152, and 14:069:0241, located from approximately 15 North to 25 South
32 State Street. The Planning Commission recommended approval.

34 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC
36 HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

38 Mr. Van Wagenen opened the discussion by explaining that in reviewing the
40 recent Performance Motors rezone request at a previous City Council meeting they
42 identified a few lots that are zoned CG-A, but are not being used as used car lots. He
44 noted that members of the Council approved the Performance Motors rezone request and
directed staff to initiate a zone map amendment to reclassify the lots not being used as car
lots to CG to ensure the size of the CG-A does not grow too large. Mr. Van Wagenen
then noted the lots to be rezoned are outlined as follows:

- 46 • Subsection 17.04.090(2) of the Lindon City Code establishes the factors to review
when considering a request for a zone change. The subsection states that the

2 “planning commission shall recommend adoption of a proposed amendment only
where the following findings are made:

- 4 • The proposed amendment is in accord with the master plan of
Lindon City;
- 6 • Changed or changing conditions make the proposed amendment
reasonably necessary to carry out the purposes of the division.”
- 8 • The stated purpose of the General Commercial Zone is to “promote
commercial and service uses for general community shopping.”
10 Further, the “objective in establishing commercial zones is to
provide areas within the City where commercial and service uses
12 may be located.” Commercial zones include the CG, CG-A, CG-
A8, CG-S, PC-1, and PC-2 zones.

14 Mr. Van Wagenen explained that members of the Planning Commission agreed
that this rezone request is in the public’s best interest. He stated that a few of the
16 Commissioners questioned whether the 7-Eleven property should be rezoned since it
could reasonably convert into a car lot, or become an expansion of an adjacent car lot, at
18 some future point. He noted that after further discussion, the Commissioners agreed that
an applicant could request that the 7-Eleven property be rezoned back to CG-A when and
20 if that issue came up, but that presently it made sense to change the zoning to match the
existing uses. He stated that following discussion the Planning Commission unanimously
22 recommended approval of the amendment. He added that notices were sent to the
affected property owners with only one response coming back from someone who was
24 just inquiring about the notice and what the issue was but had no concerns. Mr. Van
Wagenen then referenced an aerial photo of the proposed area to be re-classified and also
26 the proposed ordinance followed by some general discussion.

28 Mayor Acerson called for any public comments. Hearing none he called for a
motion to close the public hearing.

30 **COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC
HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
32 PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.**

34 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council.
Hearing none he called for a motion.

36 **COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE
38 #2015-7-O TO CHANGE THE ZONING DESIGNATION OF THE SUBJECT LOTS
FROM GENERAL COMMERCIAL A (CG-A) TO GENERAL COMMERCIAL (CG)
40 WITH NO CONDITIONS. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE
MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:**

42 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE
44 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

46 **THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH ONE ABSENT.**

2 9. **Public Hearing** – *Ordinance Amendment, LCC 17.33 Plat Amendments & 17.34*
4 *PLA – Ordinance #2015-9-O*. Lindon City requests approval of an Ordinance
6 Amendment to LCC 17.33 Amending a Recorded Plat & 17.34 Property Line
 Adjustment to modify a lot line and parcel boundary adjustment rules and
 procedures. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

8 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC
HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
10 PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

12 Mr. Van Wagenen led this discussion by explaining that recent amendments to
14 State Law have changed how local governments review property line adjustments. He
 noted the proposed amendments have been recommended by Lindon City Attorney Brian
16 Haws. He explained that these amendments bring Lindon City’s rules into conformance
 with existing State Law. Mr. Van Wagenen added that the Planning Commissioners
18 agreed that Lindon’s ordinance should be updated to reflect the State requirements and
 unanimously recommended approval. Mr. Van Wagenen then referenced for discussion
 the proposed ordinance amendments followed by some general discussion.

20 Mayor Acerson called for any public comments. Hearing none he called for a
 motion to close the public hearing.

22 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC
24 HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
 PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

26 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council.
28 Hearing none he called for a motion.

30 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE
AMENDMENT #2015-8-O AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL
32 SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

34 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE

 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

36 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH ONE ABSENT.

38 10. **Public Hearing** – *Ordinance Amendment, LCC 17.38 Improvement*
40 *Completion Bonds – Ordinance #2015-9-O*. Lindon City requests approval of
 an Ordinance Amendment to LCC 17.38, Bonds for Completions of
42 Improvements to Real Property.

44 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC
HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
46 PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

2 Mr. Van Wagenen gave a brief summary explaining that the proposed amendment
4 that brings city code into compliance to reflect current State Code with regards to when a
6 bond is required, the bond amount, and the length of time the bond can be held. He noted
the ordinance addresses two types of bond circumstances, or Improvement Completion
Assurances. He stated that bonds can be posted by a developer with the City when:

- 8 1. required public improvements are not complete but the applicant would like their
plat recorded and
- 10 2. when required public or private improvements are not complete but the applicant
would like their certificate of occupancy.

12
14 Mr. Van Wagenen further explained that also addressed is the length and time of
the Improvement Warranty, which is a guarantee of workmanship on the public
16 improvements (public sewer, sidewalks, curb, gutter, waterlines, etc.). He noted the City
cannot ask for more than a 10% warranty and its duration is one year from acceptance of
18 the improvements by the City, with very few exceptions. Mr. Van Wagenen stated that
the Planning Commission recommended approval of the ordinance with a 5-0 vote.

20 Mr. Van Wagenen then referenced the redlined ordinance and the clean copy of
the ordinance followed by discussion. He concluded by stating this is really just a
22 housekeeping item to bring the ordinance into compliance and reflect state code and will
also change the language to match state language.

24 Mayor Acerson called for any public comments. Hearing none he called for a
motion to close the public hearing.

26 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.
28 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

30 Mayor Acerson called for any comments or questions from the Council. Hearing
none he called for a motion.

32
34 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE
AMENDMENT #2015-9-O AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK
SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

36 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
38 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

40 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH ONE ABSENT.

- 42 11. **Review & Action** – *2015 Street Rehabilitation Projects, Locust Avenue Bid*
44 *Award*. Lindon City received seven bids for reconstruction of Locust Ave.
between 400 North and 800 North, and recommends awarding the project to
46 Staker and Parson Companies with the low bid of \$198,277.00.

2 Mr. Cowie led this agenda item by explaining that Lindon City received seven
4 different bids for reconstruction of Locust Avenue between 400 North and 800 North. He
6 noted that staff recommends awarding the project to Staker and Parson Companies with
8 the low bid of \$198,277.00. He mentioned that the low bid is below the engineers
10 estimated cost to construct the project (approx. \$25,000 lower) and staff is recommending
12 to proceed with the low bidder. He added that the bid amount includes construction of 8'
14 wide shoulders along both sides of Locust Avenue wherever there is not existing
16 shoulders with curb and gutter already in place between 400 North and 800 North.

18 Mr. Cowie then referenced the letter, notice of award, and the bid documents from
20 JUB Engineers who also recommend award of the bid to Staker and Parson Companies.
22 Mr. Cowie commented that the bid is a very good price and noted there is also some
24 "wobble room" of a few thousand dollars (which is anticipated) and staff is
26 recommending approval.

28 Mayor Acerson called for any comments or questions from the Council. Hearing
30 none he called for a motion.

32 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE THE 2015
34 STREET REHABILITATION PROJECT TO RECONSTRUCT LOCUST AVENUE
36 AND AWARD THE BID TO STAKER AND PARSON COMPANIES WITH THE
38 LOW BID OF \$198,277.00. COUNCILMEMBER BEAN SECONDED THE
40 MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

42 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
44 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE
46 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
48 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH ONE ABSENT.

30 12. COUNCIL REPORTS:

32 **Councilmember Powell** – Councilmember Powell reminded the Council that the Little
34 Miss Lindon pageant will be held at 6:00 pm this Saturday at Oak Canyon Jr. High. She
36 noted that the Council can still reserve a seat adding that it is always a very fun event.
38 Councilmember Powell reported that the Community Center Advisory Board movie was
40 a great success with about 100 people in attendance. She noted they will also be doing the
42 movies again next year. Councilmember Powell also reported that she and Mayor
44 Acerson attended the North Utah County Outreach meeting where they spoke about
46 regulating e-cigarettes which was very informative. Councilmember Powell asked Chief
Cullimore how Lindon establishments are treating e-cigarettes noting she is aware that all
stores in the city were in compliance with the recent tobacco check. Chief Cullimore
confirmed that all establishments in the city checked were in compliance and noted they
do educate them on the rules and changing laws regarding e-cigarettes. He will keep the
Council informed and updated about e-cigarettes and they will pass on more information
as they get it. Councilmember Powell also gave kudos to the North Utah County Animal
Shelter for the way they handled her son's lost dog. She noted they were very kind and
helpful and they do such good work and service for the community.

48 **Councilmember Bean** – Councilmember Bean had nothing to report at this time.

2 **Chief Cullimore** – Chief Cullimore had nothing to report at this time.

4 **Councilmember Hoyt** – Councilmember Hoyt was absent from the meeting.

6 **Councilmember Broderick** – Councilmember Broderick reported that he attended the
8 Provo River Water Users meeting with the discussion indicating that the good news being
there was a wet fall so we are 5 ft. away from full and the soil moisture content is
adequate. He noted the bad news is that the amount of snow is melting very rapidly
10 which means the current trend is not good. The bottom line is we need a lot more
moisture and there are major concerns; the next 2 or 3 months will tell.

12 **Councilmember Lundberg** – Councilmember Lundberg reported that she attended the
14 Lindon Chamber Music Society concert which was very good. She noted they will be
having their final concert (TACO) at the end of March. Councilmember Lundberg
16 reminded the Council that the Easter Egg Hunt will be at Pheasant Brook Park this year
instead of the main City Park.

18 **Mayor Acerson** – Mayor Acerson reported that they have started the process of
20 interviewing for the Executive Director for the Utah Lake Commission with 41 applicants
for the position. Mayor Acerson also reported that he will be attending the UIA meeting
22 on Monday with Mr. Cowie. He noted at the recent MAG meeting a representative from
the “Fair Housing Act” gave a good presentation. The representative offered to come and
24 give the presentation to the City Council. Mayor Acerson noted he will get the contact
information to Mr. Cowie. Mayor Acerson also reported that he attended the recent
26 Legislative Policy Committee meetings.

28 **Administrator’s Report:**

Mr. Cowie reported on the following items followed by discussion.

30

Misc. Updates:

32

- February City newsletter.
- Everbridge Emergency Notification System: sign-up is available to the public with links provided on the city web site and in newsletter.
- Legislative Updates.
- Public Safety Building:
 - Thoughts from Fire Station tour.
 - Update on discussions with Orem regarding contract agreement.
 - Update on architectural estimates for a potential City Center remodel to accommodate Police Department expansion needs.
- 2015 Lindon Days Grand Marshal – Please be thinking of potential individuals or couples to serve as Grand Marshal.
- ULCT spring conference, April 8th – 10th in St. George.
- New Neos Play System in the City Center Park – funded through PARC taxes.
- Project Tracking List.
- Misc. Items.

34

36

38

40

42

44

46

2 **Upcoming Meetings & Events:**

- 4 • Newsletter Assignment: Councilmember Hoyt - March newsletter article. *Due by last week in February.*
- 6 • March 3rd at 6:00 pm – Orem Fire Station tour. 255 N. 1200 W., Orem. All Councilmembers will attend.
- 8 • March 7th at 6:00 pm – Little Miss Lindon Pageant at Oak Canyon Jr High.
- 10 • April 8th - 10th ULCT spring conference in St. George.
- 12 • April 13th at 12:30 pm – Budget Committee lunch meeting Mayor Acerson, Councilmember Bean and Councilmember Hoyt will attend.
- 14 • April 14th at Noon – Engineering Coordination meeting at Public Works Mayor Acerson and Councilmember Broderick will attend.
- 16 • April 24th through May 1st – City Wide Clean Up (dumpsters for public use).

14 **Future items:**

- 16 • Employee Policy Manual updates.

18 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.
Hearing none he called for a motion to adjourn.

20 **Adjourn** –

22 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT
24 9:20 PM. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
26 VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

28 Approved – March 17, 2015

30 _____
32 Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

34 _____
Jeff Acerson, Mayor