

2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on **Tuesday, January 5,**
4 **2016, beginning at 7:00 p.m.** in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100
North State Street, Lindon, Utah.

6 **REGULAR SESSION** – 7:00 P.M.

8 Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor
Pledge of Allegiance: Doug Eastman
10 Invocation: Mayor Acerson

12 **PRESENT** **ABSENT**

12 Jeff Acerson, Mayor
14 Jacob Hoyt, Councilmember
Randi Powell, Councilmember
16 Matt Bean, Councilmember
Van Broderick, Councilmember
18 Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember
Adam Cowie, City Administrator
20 Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police
Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

22

- 24 1. **Call to Order/Roll Call** – The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.
- 26 2. **Presentations/Announcements** –
 - 26 a) **Mayor/Council Comments** – There were no announcements at this time.
 - 28 b) **Oath of Office Ceremony** – The Honorable W. Brent Bullock, Lindon City
Municipal Justice Court Judge conducted the Oath of Office ceremony for the
30 newly elected Councilmembers Matt Bean, Carolyn Lundberg, and Randi
Powell who were sworn in for a four year terms each as Lindon City Council
members.
 - 32 c) **Swearing in of Appointed Officers** – With the advice and consent of the City
Council, Mayor Jeff Acerson conducted the swearing-in of Kathy Moosman
34 as City Recorder and Dona Haacke as City Treasurer. These positions are
required to be appointed by the Mayor after each municipal election.
 - 36 d) **Recognition:** Lindon Police Sergeant Doug Eastman was recognized by the
Mayor and Council for successfully graduating from the prestigious FBI
38 National Academy in Quantico, Virginia. The FBI National Academy is a
professional development course for U.S. and international law enforcement
40 leaders. It serves to improve the administration of justice in police
departments and agencies at home and abroad and to raise law enforcement
42 standards, knowledge, and cooperation worldwide.
 - 44 e) **Recognition:** Introduction of new Police Officer, Derek Clegg – Chief
Cullimore introduced newly hired Police Officer, Derek Clegg who was
46 recognized and welcomed by the Mayor and Council to the Lindon City
Police Department noting he will be a great addition to the Lindon City Police
Department.

2 3. **Approval of Minutes** – The minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council
4 meeting of December 1, 2015 and December 15, 2015 were reviewed. Also
reviewed were the Joint Work Session Meetings from 12/8/15 and 5/26/15.

6 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES
7 OF THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 1, 2015 AND DECEMBER 15,
8 2015 AND THE JOINT WORK SESSIONS DATED 12/8/15, AND 5/26/15 AS
9 AMENDED. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. THE
10 VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

11 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE
12 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
13 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
14 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
15 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE
16 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

18 4. **Consent Agenda** – No items.

20 5. **Open Session for Public Comment** – Mayor Acerson called for any public
21 comment not listed as an agenda item. There were no public comments.

22 **CURRENT BUSINESS**

24 6. **Public Hearing** – **Disposal of Real Property: 107 N. Main and 119 N.**
25 **Main.** The City Council will receive public comment on possible disposal or
26 real property at 107 North Main and 119 North Main. Lindon City owns
27 these two residential properties and uses a property management company to
28 manage rental of the homes. The Council will determine if the properties are
29 surplus properties and if they should be sold. Public notice of the disposal
30 has been advertised.

32 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC
33 HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
34 PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

36 Mr. Cowie opened the discussion by explaining that based on direction from the
37 City Council to go through the process of holding a public hearing for input on possible
38 disposal (sale) of the properties. Tonight they will receive public comment on the
39 possible disposal of real property located at 107 North Main and 119 North Main in
40 Lindon. He further explained the City owns these two residential properties and uses a
41 property management company to manage rental of the homes. He noted tonight the
42 Council will determine if the properties are surplus properties and if they should be sold.
43 He added that public notice of the disposal has been advertised. He went on to say the
44 City has inventoried its real property assets and these two properties have been identified
45 as possible surplus property that could be sold in order to use the revenues for other city
46 projects.

2 Mr. Cowie then mentioned the following items for consideration:

- 4 1. Both properties are currently zoned PF (Public Facilities). The existing residential
6 uses on the properties would be allowed to continue under the PF zoning, but a
8 change in the zoning to residential may be beneficial for some buyers and their
10 lenders. If the City follows similar commercial zoning in its future development
12 and building setbacks of its remaining property around the homes, a 40' building
14 setback may apply on property surrounding the two residential uses.
- 16 2. The City will need to survey and retain a small portion of property (or an
18 easement) on the SW corner of 107 North Main property where the Lindon
20 Heritage Trail cuts across the corner of the lot.
- 22 3. The City identified the properties in the 2008 Lindon City Parks, Trails, and
24 Recreation Master Plan for possible use as parking areas adjacent to a
26 conceptualized recreation center. This plan was adopted prior to the current
28 Aquatics Center design and construction. Although the concept plan is part of the
30 Parks Master Plan document, the City has not made any final determinations for
32 what these properties would be used for in the future. It appears they were
34 purchased with the intent of securing the land adjacent to other City property in
36 order to control all the property within the block near the City Center campus.
- 38 4. The City has rented the properties for many years and has had to make significant
40 investments in the sewer laterals and some plumbing in the aging homes. The City
42 does annually profit from the rentals even after making repairs and improvements.
44 See attached financial data over the last few years. The City does forecast that
46 improvements and upgrades in the homes will be needed in the near future if the
City intends to keep the homes as rental units into the future.

Mr. Cowie noted the City has obtained appraisals for both properties. If the Council determines the properties should be disposed of, the listing prices for the properties are suggested as follows:

107 N. Main: Listing price of \$247,250

119 N. Main: Listing price of \$234,600

Mr. Cowie then presented photos of the properties in question and called for any questions or comments from the Council. Mayor Acerson commented that the Council has the option to accept or reject any offers that may come in. Mr. Cowie confirmed that statement and noted staff has recommended that be included as part of the motion.

Councilmember Hoyt asked if any research has been done about the city not being able to sell under market value. Mr. Cowie stated he spoke with the City Attorney, Brian Haws, who indicated it has to be clearly identified and clearly established that a trade or compensation is occurring to justify why the properties would be sold under appraised value and also how it would benefit the public in order for it to be justified. Mr. Cowie stated Mr. Haws suggested taking at least the minimum of appraised value. Mayor Acerson called for any public comment at this time.

Commissioner Larry Ellertson addressed the Council. Commissioner Ellertson began by stating Mr. Cowie's comments are correct as when the property was purchased they did not know what the future held; the purpose was for a city center block, as it were, that was the purpose. The Council recognized at that time that it wasn't necessarily

2 an investment in terms of making money, although it has been bringing in revenue. His
concern tonight is to encourage the Council, before disposing of the property, to be aware
4 if they sell the properties they will have no control anymore and he would advise them,
unless the money is really needed to not sell. He is aware that the city has obligations,
6 but Lindon City is doing well, better than most cities, but he is assuming there is not an
intended purpose for those resources at this point in time.

8 Mayor Acerson stated the funds received would be utilized towards the bonding
effort for the fire station. Commissioner Ellertson suggested if there is the ability to bond
10 but to still maintain the properties it may be a benefit in the future. He noted the purchase
was an investment decision made back in time and they were looking towards the future
12 as to give the city some flexibility. Commissioner Ellertson re-iterated that he would
advise the Council to keep the property.

14 Councilmember Hoyt stated he has concerns about the amount of debt going
towards the fire station. He also asked Commissioner Ellertson what he would foresee
16 going into that specific area. Commissioner Ellertson replied it could be utilized for
possible parking or possibly a trailhead. It could also provide better access to the city
18 campus or utilized for expansion of existing buildings. He would suggest asking the
residents in a public forum how they feel about it. He concluded by encouraging the
20 Council to give some thought to the potential use of the properties and to remember once
it is sold the city will have no control and someone else will determine what happens
22 there.

24 Mayor Acerson called for any further public comments. Hearing none he called
for a motion to close the public hearing.

26 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
28 VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

30 Councilmember Broderick stated he sees the value of keeping the ground and the
city center campus feel, but also sees the potential for revenue; he sees the pros and cons of
32 the issue. Councilmember Powell agreed the debt needs to be monitored, however, she will
maintain her stand that we can't get it back if it is sold and she likes the idea of a campus
34 feel; she would suggest keeping the options open. Councilmember Hoyt stated his stance
hasn't changed and he appreciates Commissioner Ellertson's advice, however, in
36 weighing the pros and cons he still sees the merits of paying down the debt.

38 Councilmember Lundberg expressed that she has been very mixed regarding this
issue all along. She feels the timing seems fast and she has concerns if we jump to fast we
won't have very long to deliberate if there is an offer and she would like to see what the
40 Alpine School District's intentions are first as that could change how we look at this
property. She went on to say the fact that the bonding mechanism has a non prepayment
42 penalty and the fact we could pay it down (when and if we do decide to sell) is something
to consider. She also pointed out that the city may have a great sales tax revenue year to
44 bring the debt down also. There is also the 40 ft. setback that is a concern because if we
are potentially thinking about enclosing the pool at some future time it may be a factor.
46 She noted it is not costing anything to keep the properties; it is about the land and

2 controlling that asset. She is not totally against ever selling but she feels the timing of
things are hanging in the balance.

4 Councilmember Bean stated he has concerns both ways, but he is leaning towards
moving ahead to see what offers may come in. He feels the only imminent thing he sees
6 affecting this would be something the school district might do, but he is not counting on
anything there for several years; even if it did happen it would be difficult to see how it
8 could impact those two properties but it possibly could. He is feeling like there is not likely
to be an imminent capital need in that area and anything the city may do may be years
10 away; he is leaning towards listing the properties and to allow some offers to come in.

12 Councilmember Powell clarified she sees the assets of listing the properties but
agrees with Councilmember Lundberg that it's the wrong timing as the school district may
or may not move quickly, but it won't affect those properties or the need for that land that
14 may be affected by the school district's decision and how we use it (parking lot or
trailhead, etc.). She is comfortable with banking that and keeping the assets. She also likes
16 the campus feel.

18 Mayor Acerson commented that he likes the assets. He noted he was on the
Council when the property was purchased and the intent was to secure the area for the city
not knowing what it would become. He would suggest to wait and see what will happen
20 and pointed out that we can see what it is worth and what the value is without stepping off
the ledge and making the final decision; he can see the advantages both ways but it is
22 making a positive cash flow. There was then some general discussion by the Council
regarding possible uses and options with the property. Mr. Cowie stated there is a meeting
24 scheduled with the Alpine School District (Rob Smith) in two weeks. There was also
discussion about continuing the item for further discussion. Councilmember Powell went
26 on the record stating she is uncomfortable in putting something out there for sale and then
retracting it; she feels it is a waste of people's time; she would like to continue the
28 discussion to obtain more information.

30 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.
Hearing none he called for a motion.

32 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO CONTINUE THE
DISCUSSION OF WHETHER OR NOT TO DISPOSE OF REAL PROPERTY
34 OWNED BY LINDON CITY AT 107 NORTH MAIN AND 119 NORTH MAIN.
COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
36 RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

38 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	NAY
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
40 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	NAY
COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

42 THE MOTION CARRIED THREE TO TWO.

- 44 7. **Discussion Item/Work Session – Anderson Farms; Ivory Homes**
Development. The City Council will discuss various aspects of the proposed
46 Master Development Agreement between Lindon City and Ivory Development
(Ivory Homes) and associated concept plans for the Anderson Farms Residential

2 Development. This is a discussion item and work session for Council members.
3 No public comment will be taken at this time. Future public meetings will be
4 held on this item in which public comment will be taken.

6 Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director, led this discussion by stating the City
7 Council has held several joint work sessions with the Planning Commission and Ivory
8 Homes to discuss the proposed west-side housing development, but they have not held a
9 work session or discussion item as an individual Council. At the last meeting the Council
10 expressed a desire to meet together in a work session to discuss the proposal in more
11 depth and share matters of interest regarding the proposal prior to receiving additional
12 public comment or feedback from the Planning Commission or the applicant. He noted
13 that no motion is necessary for this discussion item and public comment will not be
14 taken. This is just an opportunity for the City Council to discuss the Anderson Farms
15 Master Planned Community as proposed by Ivory Development.

16 He then referenced the latest exhibits for the draft development agreement and
17 also the table listing meetings held regarding the project. At this time he opened the
18 meeting for discussion by the Council.

19 Councilmember Broderick led out the conversation by stating the Council has not
20 had an opportunity to discuss each other's thoughts and concerns about the project with
21 no decisions being made or outside input. Councilmember Broderick mentioned that he
22 likes the idea of respecting people's property rights. He also likes the number of rooftops
23 coming that is good for economic development and growth along the 700 North Corridor.
24 He likes that Ivory Development is a good partner and has been pleased with their
25 responsiveness.

26 Councilmember Broderick then articulated his concerns as this moves forward as
27 follows: 1) to preserve and protect the businesses in that area with the proper buffer as to
28 not adversely affect their business 2) building setbacks; he is starting to feel comfortable
29 with a 7.5 side yard setback but he does not know what the magic number is as he is
30 trying to avoid the clutter of houses 3) overall density and potential of demographic
31 change and impact and 4) amount of traffic and how the amount of traffic is determined
32 (per the traffic study).

33 Mark Christensen, City Engineer, then described the levels of service and how a
34 traffic study works. He noted the traffic engineer evaluates the level of service (measure
35 of adequacy) would be after the development is complete (only at peak times). There was
36 then some general discussion regarding access management and how it improves the
37 capacity. He also referenced where traffic signals will be located. Mr. Christensen gave
38 his opinion that the traffic issues are definitely manageable it is just a question of what
39 has to be done to make it manageable.

40 Councilmember Lundberg stated she appreciates the comments and discussion
41 tonight and feels that Ivory is a quality partner to bring to Lindon. She mentioned there
42 are a lot of win-win's overall and they have been very flexible on a lot of points.
43 However, she would like to see some concrete tangible measures and clearly defined
44 proven measures for our important industrial users that their interests will be protected.
45 She mentioned Councilmember Broderick's concerns about the side setbacks stating she
46 drove around several Ivory neighborhoods where they have smaller side setbacks and
observed that not all of the property owners maximize their side yards; well over half

2 didn't. She can see the argument that a minimum setback needs to be defined but to also
4 give some flexibility to the people overall. She mentioned her biggest concern is the
6 number of doors on the apartment complexes and she would be willing to trade off
8 something for less doors as it affects the culture of Lindon.

6 Councilmember Powell expressed her biggest concern is preserving the 20 ft.
8 front setbacks (townhomes and single family) and ensuring that they are appropriate with
10 availability for cars, parking etc. Councilmember Powell also appreciates Ivory's efforts
12 and agreed she would like to see some clearly defined measures that ensure the buffering
14 in the industrial areas. There was then some general discussion regarding density and
16 setbacks.

12 Councilmember Hoyt agreed that Ivory Development is a high quality builder and
14 a great team to work with and pointed out they have taken a large piece of property and
16 created a great synergy and sense of community. He mentioned the key piece of
18 information is that we need the rooftops if we want the commercial growth on the 700
20 north corridor. He added that Lindon City has never taken on or even considered a big
22 project like this as our motto has always been "a little bit of country." He mentioned in
24 the approved budget there is a focus/goal included in the mission statement with a focus
26 on low density housing. He then read the mission statement. He stated the budget goal
28 also focuses on economic development which he feels are two conflicting goals. He noted
30 that Pleasant Grove has a moratorium on high density projects with several other cities as
32 well. He added that some large banks have also stopped financing high density projects.
34 Councilmember Hoyt then mentioned his three conclusions/concerns as follows: 1)
36 apartment density is too high and percentages 2) 5 foot setbacks 3) protecting our business
38 community in our industrial areas. Councilmember Hoyt expressed that he thinks this can
40 be a win-win situation and we are getting closer and it is good to see the different
42 perspectives here tonight.

28 Councilmember Bean commented that he appreciates all the work that has gone
30 into this project. He pointed out that this is a much different, more ambitious project than
32 Lindon residents haven't anticipated seeing even in the next 10 years. He also feels the
34 units per acre is high and he has concerns along with the other Councilmembers about the
36 higher density. He feels it is important to recognize that the west side is a different animal
38 than the rest of the city meaning there are several issues that would lead us to be more open
40 to different kinds of development in that area; which has been done. He too wants to
42 protect the businesses that are already there although we need to remember that most of the
44 time (when we talk about buffering commercial or industrial zones from residential) we are
usually protecting the residential but because the businesses were there first we have turned
that around. He wants to make sure we are careful to remember if we do change the zone
and land use we are also protecting future residents from the businesses there so it is a more
balanced approach. He also feels that nothing that has been done could be construed that
we are even implicitly pushing any businesses out of Lindon; he doesn't feel that anyone
can make that case. He would also like to have further discussion about building height on
the apartments and to make sure there are two car garages as he does have concerns about
on street parking in the high density areas.

46 Councilmember Lundberg brought up Councilmember Hoyt's comments regarding
other cities enacting a moratorium on high density apartments stating she feels that is
because they have reached a saturation point or even beyond the percentage they are

2 comfortable with. She stated we should determine what our saturation point is. She agrees
with Councilmember Bean’s comments about the west side and the ‘little bit of country’
4 feel, but we didn’t have a developer coming here and thinking that ½ acre estates would be
marketable; after a decade of sitting idle that is not the direction it is going to go. She
6 stated that she believes that working with a partner like Ivory gives the opportunity to not
have the area develop to be a piecemeal, random development but to have a beautifully
8 planned community which is a benefit. She would also like to find a balance with the
percentage of single family homes vs. apartment rentals and what it does to the community
10 and how it will look visually and yet to still feel like it is part of the community.

Councilmember Powell mentioned that she appreciates Ivory’s willingness to
12 consider the motif theme of “a little bit of country” in their development. She mentioned, to
Councilmember Bean’s point, of the west side being a “different animal” stating she
14 believes this Council would not consider anything similar on the east side of Geneva Road
as there is existing higher density housing there that has been tested and they are a
16 community that likes to have their voices heard. She appreciates that the existing
community is a part of the city and feels this new development will bring fine individuals
18 that will be great residents and citizens of Lindon. She feels that Ivory is a smart company
that will do what they need to do to make this profitable and will not over saturate any
20 more than they need to because they don’t want empty units. She is comfortable overall
with the way the project sits but she does have some concerns with traffic flow, on street
22 parking and the high density. She finds Ivory to be quality builder and the fact that this
coming in as a master planned community is great and she wants to continues to see this
24 project through.

Councilmember Broderick thanked the Council for their willingness to have this
26 discussion and he appreciated the conversation and good input as it was very helpful.
Mayor Acerson mentioned an email sent from UIS. Mr. Cowie stated the email has been
28 forwarded to the City Attorney to take into consideration. Mayor Acerson called for any
further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none he moved on to the next
30 agenda item.

- 32 8. **Review and Action** – *Mayor Pro Tem & Councilmember assignments*
(*Resolution #2016 -1-R*). This is a request by Mayor Acerson for the Council’s
34 consent to the appointments of 2016 Mayor pro tem and other Councilmember
duties and appointments as assigned by the Mayor, including appointment of all
36 Council members as Board members of the Lindon City Redevelopment
Agency (RDA). The Mayor pro tem appointment will rotate quarterly among
38 City Council members as listed in Resolution #2016-1-R.

40 Mr. Cowie opened the discussion by stating this item is a request by Mayor
Acerson for the Council’s consent to the appointments of 2016 Mayor pro tem and other
42 Councilmember duties and appointments as assigned by the Mayor, including
appointment of all Council members as Board members of the Lindon City
44 Redevelopment Agency (RDA). He noted the Mayor pro tem appointment will rotate
quarterly among City Council members as listed in Resolution #2016-1-R. He then
46 referenced the Resolution and Councilmember assignments list. Councilmember Powell
suggested putting together a list of assignment descriptions in a handbook. Mr. Cowie

2 stated that is a good suggestion and they will put something together and work towards that
4 end. There was then some general discussion regarding the Council assignment list and the
Mayor pro tem schedule.

6 Mayor Acerson called for any comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing
none he called for a motion.

8 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION 2016-1-R
AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION.

10 THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

12 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE
12 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
14 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
14 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
16 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

16 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

18 9. **Review & Action** – *BOR Grant & Allocation of Matching Funds (Resolution*
20 *#2016-2-R)*. This is a request by staff for the Council’s review and
22 consideration of Resolution #2016-2-R outlining the grant application submittal
24 to the Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) Water Conservation Field Services
Program and allocating \$15,000 in matching funds (from the Water enterprise
fund) to assist in a water conservation & cost analysis study for the North Union
Canal.

26 Mr. Cowie opened the discussion by stating this item is a request by staff for the
28 Council’s review and consideration of Resolution #2016-2-R outlining the grant
30 application submittal to the Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) Water Conservation Field
Services Program and allocating \$15,000 in matching funds (from the Water enterprise
32 fund) to assist in a water conservation & cost analysis study for the North Union Canal.
He then referenced the Resolution and Draft grant application. He explained the future of
34 secondary water delivery through the North Union Canal is a critical issue and a concern
for the City and will be a significant project. Mr. Cowie noted that Lindon City is not the
36 canal owner or canal operator but is the largest shareholder. He stated it is a critical piece
of our infrastructure but we do not own it outright. He mentioned they have had several
meetings with Pleasant Grove and Orem City over the last few months and it is a step in
the right direction if we get this grant approved.

38 Mr. Cowie explained this grant will enable the City to hire qualified engineers to
40 perform a feasibility and cost analysis on water conservation and piping within the canal
and provide options for water loss. He noted that Debbie Cullimore, Lindon City
42 Receptionist, assisted in the preparation of this grant application which was very helpful.
He added that the appropriation of matching funds (50%) will be earmarked and only
44 used of the grant is awarded. There was then some general discussion by the Council
regarding the grant.

46 Mayor Acerson called for any comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing
none he called for a motion.

2 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION
#2016-2-R AUTHORIZING THE SUBMITTAL OF THE GRANT APPLICATION TO
4 THE BOR, INCLUDING THE APPROPRIATION OF FUNDING NOT TO EXCEED
\$15,000 FROM THE WATER ENTERPRISE FUND FOR MATCHING FUNDS
6 TOWARDS THE GRANT. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE
MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

8 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

10 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

12 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

14

10. **Review and Action** – *Lindon Baseball program funding & administration.*

16 This is a request by Parks & Recreation Director, Heath Bateman, for the
Council’s review and consideration of the 2016 Lindon Baseball program and
18 whether or not to take the program in-house under full direction and funding of
Lindon City Parks & Recreation. The program has received past support and
20 limited funding from Lindon City, but was primarily a self-funded parent run
organization. The Utah Boys Baseball Association (UBBA) is no longer
22 accepting teams run by parent organizations to participate with season and
tournament.

24

Mr. Cowie opened the discussion by stating this item is a request by Parks &
26 Recreation Director, Heath Bateman, for the Council’s review and consideration of the
2016 Lindon Baseball program and whether or not to take the program in-house under
28 full direction and funding of Lindon City Parks & Recreation. He noted the program has
received past support and limited funding from Lindon City, but was primarily a self-
30 funded parent run organization. He noted if brought in-house the program is not
anticipated to be cost self-sufficient. The estimated expenses of about \$18,414 for the
32 2016 season will potentially be offset by estimated revenues of \$15,480 (this does not
include overhead costs). Mr. Cowie expressed his opinion that if this action is taken it
34 will be a significant burden on staff and more that they can handle and would require
hiring an additional part time employee.

36 Mr. Bateman then addressed the Council by giving some background stating the
Utah Boys Baseball Association (UBBA) is no longer accepting teams run by parent
38 organizations to participate with season and tournament play for Mustang, Pinto, and
Pony leagues. Mr. Bateman then referenced a letter sent from UBBA Board. He noted
40 this is simply a fact of disorganization and/or unfair play. He stated that UBBA is the
baseball tournament and we can either not participate or go through other cities and pay
42 their non-resident fees.

Mr. Bateman then referenced the Program Cost Analysis including total revenues
44 and expenses followed by discussion. He noted he would like the Council to consider
having the city have complete financial control if approved. The parents organization
46 would continue to help as they have in the past albeit the financial aspect. This does not
include the elementary baseball program which is a recreation league and a very good

2 program. He noted we do have an asset in our great ballfields. Mr. Bateman expressed his
4 opinion that this can be brought in-house and can be successful. He agreed that a part
time employee and an intern may be needed to help facilitate and run the program.

6 Mayor Acerson asked Mr. Bateman if this is brought in-house under the Parks &
Recreation Department umbrella how much the council would need to appropriate to run
8 the program. Mr. Bateman stated he would need approximately \$18,000 to \$20,000 to
run it efficiently and to cover expenses. Mr. Cowie stated if the Council is comfortable
subsidizing the program then he feels the program can be brought in-house.

10 Councilmember Broderick suggested trying it for a year and advertise for an intern.

12 Councilmember Hoyt agreed with that statement. Councilmember Lundberg commented
that she feels the amount of subsidization for the 91 kids this program would facilitate is
high and she feels those kids could be absorbed into other city programs easily.

14 Councilmember Powell agreed with Councilmember Broderick's suggestion to try it for a
year and pointed out it is a long standing Lindon tradition. Councilmember Bean stated
16 he would also agree to give it a try but feels it would require several years. Mr. Bateman
concluded by stating he is willing to run it and make it work with the understanding that
18 the city have full financial control.

20 Following some additional discussion the Council agreed to bring the Baseball
Program in-house with the conditions discussed listed in the motion.

22 Mayor Acerson called for any comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing
none he called for a motion.

24 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE BRINGING THE
LINDON BASEBALL PROGRAM IN HOUSE WITH THE FOLLOWING
26 CONDITIONS; 1. THAT LINDON CITY WILL HAVE COMPLETE FINANCIAL
CONTROL AND 2. IF NEEDED TO HIRE A PART TIME EMPLOYEE AND 3. TO
28 BRING IN AN INTERN IF NEEDED AND 4. TO REPORT BACK TO THE COUNCIL
AFTER THE FIRST YEAR (SEASON) AND 5. TO APPROPRIATE
30 APPROXIMATELY \$18,000 TO \$20,000 TO FACILITATE THE PROGRAM PER
CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE
32 MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE

34 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

36 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

38 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- 40 11. **Public Hearing** – **Fee Schedule Change (Resolution #20163-3-R)**. This is a
42 request by Parks & Recreation Director, Heath Bateman, for fee schedule
updates to rental rates and rental times at the Veterans Hall, including allowance
of Sunday rentals and rentals to non-residents as listed in Resolution #2016-3-R.
44

46 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC
HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

2 Mr. Bateman explained this is a request for fee schedule updates to rental rates
and rental times at the Veterans Hall, including allowance of Sunday rentals and rentals
4 to non-residents. He then referenced the fee schedule changes noting the highlighted
items followed by discussion. Mr. Bateman noted that there is an item that was
6 inadvertently left off the schedule regarding the use of tobacco and alcohol not be
allowed at the Veteran's Hall. Following the discussion the Council agreed to approve
8 the updates to the fee schedule and rental rates at the Veterans Hall with the condition
listed in the motion.

10 Mayor Acerson called for any public comments. Hearing none he called for a
motion to close the public hearing.

12 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.
14 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

16 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.
18 Hearing none he called for a motion.

20 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION
#2016-3-R OUTLINING CHANGES TO THE VETERANS HALL RENTAL FEES
22 AND STIPULATIONS WITH THE ADDITIONAL CONDITION ADDED TO THE
FEE SCHEDULE THAT NO ALCOHOL OR TOBACCO BE ALLOWED.
24 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

26 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
28 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
30 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- 32 12. **Review & Action** – **Planning Commission Appointment.** This is a request by
34 Staff and Councilmember Bean to appoint Charles Keller of 1512 West 540
North, Lindon to fill a vacancy on the Planning Commission. If appointed, Mr.
36 Keller will serve a three-year term which will expire the last day of January
2019, or until his respective successor has been appointed.

38 Mr. Cowie explained this is a request by Staff and Councilmember Bean to
40 appoint Charles Keller of 1512 West 540 North, Lindon to fill a vacancy on the Planning
Commission. If he is approved and appointed tonight, Mr. Keller will serve a three-year
42 term which will expire the last day of January 2019, or until his respective successor has
been appointed. Mr. Cowie then referenced the letter of appointment for Mr. Keller. He
44 mentioned that Lindon has had a couple vacancies on the Planning Commission and Mr.
Keller has been approached by Staff and has expressed his willingness and interest in the
46 appointment and in serving the city in this capacity.

2 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.
Hearing none he called for a motion.

4
6 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO APPROVE THE APPOINTMENT
OF CHARLES KELLER AS A LINDON CITY PLANNING COMMISSIONER FOR A
THREE-YEAR TERM. COUNCILMEMBER BEAN SECONDED THE MOTION.

8 THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE

10 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

12 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

14 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

16 13. **COUNCIL REPORTS:**

18 **Councilmember Powell** – Councilmember Powell reported the Little Miss Lindon
pageant is coming up in March and she would encourage the Councilmembers to attend.
20 She also mentioned her concerns about temporary signage.

22 **Councilmember Bean** – Councilmember Bean had nothing to report at this time.

24 **Chief Cullimore** – Chief Cullimore reported they had a police truck hit by an ambulance
during the recent snowstorm noting Orem City is taking care of the damages. He also
26 reported they received the \$2,500 grant from Walmart. He reported that the Nova
graduation is next Friday and Councilmember Powell will be attending.

28
30 **Councilmember Lundberg** – Councilmember Lundberg had nothing to report at this
time.

32 **Councilmember Hoyt** – Councilmember Hoyt had nothing to report at this time.

34 **Councilmember Broderick** – Councilmember Broderick reported he received some
citizen comments regarding some cul-de-sacs not getting plowed. Mr. Cowie stated it
36 may take several days for the plows to get to the cul-de-sacs. Councilmember Broderick
commented that he liked the correspondence and discussion tonight regarding the Ivory
38 Development.

40 **Mayor Acerson** – Mayor Acerson reported he will be attending the upcoming Utah Lake
Commission and MAG meetings. He noted he was pleased to hear that the Walmart grant
42 came through.

44 **Administrator's Report:**

Mr. Cowie reported on the following items followed by discussion.

46 **Misc. Updates:**

- 2 • December City newsletter
- Thanks for supporting Employee Christmas party
- 4 • Vehicle damage to Police truck caused by other driver. In process of being repaired.
- 6 • Weekly Legislative Policy Committee meetings start Monday the 11th at the Capital.
- 8 • Misc. Items

Upcoming Meetings & Events:

- 10 • January 1st – City offices closed for New Year’s Day
- January 5th – Swearing-in of elected City Council members
- 12 • January 18th – City offices closed for Martin Luther King day
- February 9th at noon – Engineering coordination meeting at Public Works. Councilmember Broderick and Mayor Acerson will attend.
- 14 • February 11th at 6:00pm. – Budget kick-off meeting. Dinner/work session
- 16 • February 15th – City offices closed for Presidents Day
- 18 • April 4th (Monday) – Public Works Director Job interviews. Councilmember Broderick and Mayor Acerson will attend.

20 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none he called for a motion to adjourn.

22

Adjourn –

24

26 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING
AT 10:31 PM. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

28

Approved – January 5, 2016

30

32

Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

34

36

Jeff Acerson, Mayor