2	The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on Tuesday, December 15, 2015, beginning at 7:00 p.m. in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100
4	North State Street, Lindon, Utah.
6	REGULAR SESSION – 7:00 P.M.
8	Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor Pledge of Allegiance: Jeff Southard
10	Invocation: Van Broderick, Councilmember
12	PRESENTABSENTJeff Acerson, Mayor
14	Randi Powell, Councilmember Matt Bean, Councilmember
16	Van Broderick, Councilmember Jacob Hoyt, Councilmember
18	Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember Adam Cowie, City Administrator
20	Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder
22	1. <u>Call to Order/Roll Call</u> – The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.
24 26	 <u>Presentations/Announcements</u> – a) Mayor/Council Comments – There were no announcements at this time.
28	 <u>Approval of Minutes</u> – The minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council
30	meeting of November 17, 2015 were reviewed. Also reviewed were the Joint Work Session Meetings from 12/9/14, 1/30/15, 4/14/15, and 4/21/15.
32	Councilmember Hoyt mentioned that he received a phone call today from some business owners who are interested in the Ivory Development. They indicated that it
34	appears suspicious that numerous minutes are being approved simultaneously and were accusatory that the city is trying to retain information from them regarding the project
36	and "sneaking" something through without their knowledge. Mayor Acerson pointed out that all of the work session meetings were open to the public and if they were interested
38	they could have attended. He added that all of the meetings are noticed properly. Mr. Cowie mentioned that there is no action taken at the work sessions as the purpose is for
40	discussion only. He added there are Board of Adjustment and Redevelopment Agency minutes that may not be approved for a year or more. He noted that all meetings were
42	advertised on an official agenda with multiple days' notice and every meeting was a public meeting. Councilmember Powell commented that the minutes contain more
44	verbiage and detail versus other cities and are also noticed beyond what the state statute requires. Councilmember Hoyt stated that perhaps there was some miscommunication on
46	their part as they thought they were closed meetings. Mr. Cowie confirmed these work sessions were noticed and open public meetings where anyone could attend.

- 2 Councilmember Hoyt suggested that the Council be careful as we are being watched pretty closely by the business owners when it comes to this specific project and
- 4 everything will be looked at from a legal standpoint and a policy standpoint. He also stated that he explained to them that the city is not trying to sneak anything through and
- 6 encouraged them to attend the meetings. Mayor Acerson re-iterated that he would invite them to attend the meetings. Councilmember Bean commented that he appreciates
- 8 knowing about this but he also would invite them to attend the meetings and voice their concerns on the public record, but he has found that many of them are unwilling to do
- 10 that because it can be conciliatory as the Council is very transparent. Following some general discussion Mayor Acerson called for a motion to approve the minutes.
- 12
- COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF 14 THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 17, 2015 AND THE JOINT WORK SESSIONS DATED 12/9/14, 1/30/15, 4/14/15, AND 4/21/15 AS CORRECTED
- 16 OR AMENDED. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

	THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS.	
18	COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
20	COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
22	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE
	THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMO	USLY.

24

26

- 4. <u>Consent Agenda</u> No items.
- 5. <u>Open Session for Public Comment</u> Mayor Acerson called for any public
 comment not listed as an agenda item.
- 30 CURRENT BUSINESS
- 32 6. <u>Public Hearing</u> Ordinance Amendment, LCC 17.75 Senior Housing Facility Overlay. Jeff Southard of Southhaven Homes requests a change to 34 the fencing requirement for senior housing facilities so that fencing will not be required along adjacent commercial properties. The Planning
 36 Commission recommends approval of the amendment allowing flexibility in the fencing requirement.
- 38

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING. 40 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

42

Mr. Van Wagenen opened the discussion by giving some background of this agenda item noting when the SHFO zone was created, there was discussion on fencing requirements. At that time, both the Commission and Council ultimately felt that such

46 projects should be fenced around their entire perimeter with a site obscuring fence, regardless of adjacent uses or zones. He noted that Jeff Southard (who is attendance) is

- 2 developing the Avalon Senior Living project at 179 North State Street and building one is nearly complete. He noted this project is finalizing the site improvements and Mr.
- 4 Southard would like the existing fencing requirement to be altered in reference to adjacent commercial properties. The existing code states that a site obscuring fence is
- 6 required of a SHFO project regardless of the adjacent use or zone and Mr. Southard would like to strike that requirement where SHFO projects abut adjacent commercial
- 8 development. Mr. Van Wagenen explained on the Avalon project, this would specifically apply to its northern border as indicated.
- 10 Mr. Van Wagenen further explained that Mr. Southard stated he has spoken to Brigham Ashton, the owner of the adjacent vacant commercial property to the north
- 12 about the ordinance change. He went on to say that in the Planning Commission meeting, Mr. Southard expressed a desire to wait and see what future development happens
- 14 adjacent to the senior apartments because it may be a situation where fencing would not be beneficial to either owner, at least not site obscuring fencing. He noted the SHFO zone
- 16 is an overlay only allowed in commercial zones and will, more than likely, always have commercial neighbors. He pointed out that this ordinance change would apply zone wide,
- 18 not just to this project.
- Mr. Van Wagenen stated the Planning Commission did not feel comfortable entirely waiving the fence requirement along commercial borders. However, they saw the merit in the "wait and see approach" when a project is adjacent to vacant commercial
- 22 property. He stated that after discussion, the Commission modified the original draft
- language to allow them the time to determine the timing of the installation of a fence in order to accommodate a situation like Mr. Southard's. Following their discussion the
- Commission recommended approval to the Council of the ordinance draft in a 5-0 vote. Mr. Van Wagenen pointed out that this is a relatively minor change as far as the code is
- 26 Mr. Van Wagenen pointed out that this is a relatively minor change as far as the code is concerned.

Mr. Van Wagenen then referenced the Avalon site plan showing potentially affected area, the Avalon aerial image of potentially affected area, the aerial image of the one other property zoned SHFO and the draft of Ordinance 2015-29-O followed by

discussion. Mr. Van Wagenen then turned the time over to Mr. Southard for comment. 32 Mr. Southard explained that he has met with Brigham Ashton on site and they

- have discussed this issue 4 or 5 times. He noted they have worked out how to do a final grade on the property and he let Mr. Ashton know that they want to be good neighbors.
- He noted that Mr. Ashton indicated that he does not know what or when something is
- 36 going to develop on his property at this time. Mr. Southard pointed out there may also be a big retaining wall there too which would change whatever fence they put in or he may
- want to leave it open (like Osmond's) dependent on what develops there and what the use is.

40

Mr. Southard stated they are requesting the zone change (on the one area) so they can hold off until they know what develops on Mr. Ashton's property. They will be

- 42 putting a fence in now in the other areas. He noted they also plan to work in conjunction with each other and to have some flexibility. There was then some general discussion
- 44 regarding the fencing issue. Following discussion Mr. Southard stated, for the record, that he would be happy to have the obligation for the fencing, whatever it may be (but not
- 46 retaining) fall upon Avalon Senior Housing. Mr. Van Wagenen stated staff could handle this administratively with documentation when it comes up down the road.

- 2 Councilmember Broderick agrees that flexibility is warranted in this situation. Councilmember Lundberg referenced some examples of senior housing facilities (Treeo
- 4 in Orem, the Atrium in Sandy, and Thorneberry in Pleasant Grove) and pointed out the fencing used at these facilities when adjacent to residential and not used in other instances when adjacent to other commercial uses. Mayor Acerson stated dependent on what goes in there at that time the Planning Commission will make the decision on the complimentary uses. Mr. Southard pointed out that they would like the flexibility on the uses. He added they are well aware that they will have to comply with the decision. He feels the way the ordinance is written it facilitates what they are trying to accomplish and also protects everyone at the same time. Mr. Southard also mentioned that they will be doing a grand opening and invited the Mayor and Council to attend.
- 12 Mayor Acerson called for any public comments. Hearing none he called for a motion to close the public hearing.
- 14

COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC 16 HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

18

Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council. 20 Hearing none he called for a motion.

22 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE
 AMENDMENT 2015-29-O AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER BEAN
 24 SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

21	bleended me mornore me vor	
	COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
26	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
	COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
28	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE
20	THE MOTION CADDIED LINANIMOU	CI V

- 30 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.
- 32 7. <u>Public Hearing</u> Ordinance Amendment LCC 17.18 Off-Street Parking, LCC 17.48 Commercial Zones. The City Council will review city initiated code
 34 amendments regarding setbacks for off street parking space setbacks in relation to landscaping requirements in Commercial zones. The Planning Commission
 36 recommends approval of the amendments.

38 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED 40 DUEANOD THE MOTION CADDED

- 40 IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.
- Mr. Van Wagenen opened this discussion by stating there is a potential conflict between LCC 17.18.090 (off-street parking may not be located in a front or side yard
 setback) and LCC 17.48.030(4) (20 feet of landscaping is required along public frontages in commercial zones). He noted this conflict arises when a property line, from where the
- 46 front and side yard setbacks are measured, is not immediately adjacent to the starting measurement point for landscape strips along public frontages. He explained the

2	landscape strips begin measurements from the back of a walkway in commercial zones
	and the back of the curb in industrial zones.
4	Mr. Van Wagenen further explained that if both codes are strictly adhered to,
(some property owners could have a 30 foot landscape requirement. He noted that it has
6	not been the practice of the Community Development Department to strictly interpret
8	LCC 17.18.090 as long as the required landscaping is met.
0	Mr. Van Wagenen went on to say the potential risk in allowing parking to be within the front yard setback even with the landscaping requirements are being met, is
10	that someday a road may be widened and the 20 foot landscaping strip would no longer
10	be 20 feet. This is a risk along state highways that have very wide right of ways beyond
12	where existing sidewalks exist. He mentioned that the State highways in Lindon are State
	Street, Geneva Road, and North County Boulevard (700 North). He noted that staff
14	recommends approval of the proposed ordinance amendments in order to eliminate the
	potential code conflict.
16	Mr. Van Wagenen mentioned that the Planning Commission voted 5-0 to
	recommend approval to the City Council of the ordinance amendments. He then
18	referenced for discussion the illustrative example of the potential code conflict, the
• •	approved site plans for Performance Motors, NuStar, and he also clarified Ordinance
20	#2015-28-O. There was then some general discussion by the Council regarding the
22	above referenced amendments and different types of application scenarios (including
22	waivers) and what staff is trying to accomplish with cleaning up the ordinance and to accommodate property owners as well.
24	Mayor Acerson called for any public comments. Hearing none he called for a
27	motion to close the public hearing.
26	
	COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.
28	COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
	VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.
30	
~~	Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.
32	Hearing none he called for a motion.
34	COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE
υ.	AMENDMENT 2015-28-O AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER HOYT
36	SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:
	COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE
38	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE
	COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE
40	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE
10	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE
42	THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.
44	8. Public Hearing – Series 2016 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds for Public Safety
	Building The City Council will hold a public hearing regarding issuance and

46

Building. The City Council will hold a public hearing regarding issuance and sale of \$3,500,000 aggregate principal amount of sales tax revenue bonds series

2016, and any potential economic impact that the project (Public Safety

- 2 Building) to be financed with the proceeds of the series 2016 bonds may have on the private sector.
- 4

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING. 6 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

8

Mr. Cowie opened this discussion by stating this item is for the City Council to
receive any public comments tonight regarding the issuance of bonds for the Public
Safety Building. He noted that no motion or action by the Council is required other than
opening the item for public comment, then closing the public comment period after
receiving any comments or questions. Mr. Cowie noted there has not been any public
comment received. Mr. Cowie stated that Section 9 of the Bond Parameters Resolution
#2015-10-R, passed on November 17, 2015, states the following: *"The Issuer shall hold a public hearing on December 15, 2015, to receive input from the*

- 18 public with respect to (a) the issuance of the Series 2016 Bonds, and (b) the potential economic impact that the improvements to be financed with the proceeds of the Series
- 20

2016 Bonds will have on the private sector..." Mr. Cowie then referenced the Resolution outlining the details of the bond

- Mr. Cowie then referenced the Resolution outlining the details of the bond issuance. He noted this information has been published, properly noticed, and available
 for inspection by the public since November 17, 2015. Councilmember Lundberg mentioned a letter received today from Dan Engeman (from Pleasant Grove City).
- Mayor Acerson stated he received the letter as well. Councilmember Lundberg forwarded the letter to the Council and Mr. Cowie. Mayor Acerson then referenced the security
- 28 concerns stated in the letter. Councilmember Hoyt commented that he also read the letter and feels these are concerns that should be left to the experts, i.e., Fire Chief Gurney and
- Police Chief Cullimore. Chief Cullimore stated these safety issues have been thoroughly discussed. Mr. Cowie commented they feel it will be a more secure facility with both
 Example 1 and 1 a
- 32 Firemen and Policemen located at the facility.
- Councilmember Lundberg suggested that it is a good list to review and look at 34 and suggested that it would be helpful to answer and respond to his list of points at the next meeting as the letter was addressed to the Council. Councilmember Powell agreed
- 36 with that statement. Mayor Acerson suggested if there are any concerns to bring it up to Chief Cullimore and he can share his insights to see if we are comfortable with the
- 38 direction we are going and at some point get a response back to the individual. Mr. Cowie pointed out we have had a really good collaboration with Orem City fire department staff
- 40 and noted the concerns will be reviewed and they will respond to Mr. Engeman to advise him that the Council discussed his concerns.
- 42 Mayor Acerson called for any public comments. Hearing none he called for a motion to close the public hearing.
- 44

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. 46 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

- Mayor Acerson called for any comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing 4 none he moved on to the next agenda item.
- 6 9. <u>Closed Session to Discuss the Sale of Real Property</u> The City Council will enter into a closed executive session to discuss the potential sale of real property per UCA-52-4-205. This session is closed to the general public.
- 10 At this time Mayor Acerson called for a motion to move into a closed executive session to discuss the potential sale of real property.
- 12

2

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MADE A MOTION TO MOVE INTO A 14 CLOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION TO DISCUSS THE SALE OF REAL PROPERTY AT 8:02 PM. COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. THE

16	VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS	S:
	COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
18	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
	COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
20	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE
22	THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUS	SLY.

- 24 ***Mayor Acerson left the meeting at 8:40 p.m. with Councilmember Powell acting as Mayor Pro Tem for the remainder of the meeting.*
- 26

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MADE A MOTION TO CLOSE THE

- 28 EXECUTIVE SESSION AND RECONVENE THE REGULAR SESSION OF THE CITY COUNCIL AT 8:57 PM. COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE
- 30 MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

	COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
32	COUNCILMEMBER BEAN	AYE
	COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
34	COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
	COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE

36 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

38 10. <u>COUNCIL REPORTS</u>:

40 <u>**Councilmember Broderick**</u> – Councilmember Broderick reported that he attended the bi-monthly Engineering Coordination meeting with JUB and city staff on December 8th at

- 42 Public Works. He noted the biggest item discussed was the water table level and the issue of basements or not at the proposed Ivory project. He then explained JUB's point of view
- 44 on this issue followed by some general discussion. Councilmember Broderick suggested having this as an agenda item at a regular City Council meeting as to have sufficient time
- 46 for Council discussion and collaboration. Mr. Van Wagenen suggested sending any concerns or ideas to him prior to the meeting for discussion. Mr. Cowie would advise that

- 2 it will be advertised as a public meeting and if it is not a public hearing to not take invitation to public comment. Mr. Cowie stated the first meeting in January would be a
- 4 good time to schedule the discussion with the Planning Commission meeting the following week and then hold a joint work session. The Council was in agreement with
- 6 the proposed schedule.
- 8 <u>**Councilmember Bean**</u> Councilmember Bean reported there is an individual they are considering for the Planning Commission. He then asked Mr. Van Wagenen to explain.
- 10 Mr. Van Wagenen stated the gentleman is Charlie Keller who lives in the Fieldstone Development and works for Clyde Companies. He stated Mr. Keller came to observe the
- 12 last Planning Commission meeting and noted that representation from that area of the city will be beneficial and he is willing to do the job. They are hoping to have him approved
- 14 at the next meeting. Councilmember Lundberg also mentioned another gentleman from Fieldstone, David Gardner, who has expressed a genuine interest in serving the city in
- 16 some capacity.
- 18 <u>**Councilmember Powell**</u> Councilmember Powell had nothing to report at this time. She did ask Chief Cullimore to report on the recent incident at Pleasant Grove High School.
- 20
- <u>Chief Cullimore</u> Chief Cullimore reported on the recent Pleasant Grove High School
 incident where there was a report of a gunman at the school (which turned out to be a
- false report). He noted they had a unified response with around 200 law enforcement
- 24 officers at the scene. He added it was an unfortunate incident but was a very good drill if nothing else. There was then some general discussion regarding this incident.
- 26
- <u>Councilmember Hovt</u> Councilmember Hoyt reported that the tree lighting ceremony
 was a success and was well attended. He commended Parks and Recreation staff and the
 Historical Preservation Commission for their hard work on the event. He also spoke with
- 30 the Vice Principal at Lindon Elementary about the Ivory Development. Mr. Van Wagenen suggested setting up a meeting for discussion.
- 32

<u>Councilmember Lundberg</u> – Councilmember Lundberg reported they had their kickoff
 meeting for the 700 North Steering Committee and it went well. They discussed the area
 and objectives approved by the Council and they would like to invite a representative

- 36 from Ivory to help them understand and answer their questions. She also mentioned that a Charter School may be another option at the Ivory Development as it is separate from the
- 38 Alpine School District who do not seem interested in facilitating a school in the area.
- 40 <u>Mayor Acerson</u> Mayor Acerson was absent from the meeting at this time.

42 Administrator's Report:

Mr. Cowie reported on the following items followed by discussion.

44

46

Misc. Updates:

- December City newsletter
- Councilmember Powell is Mayor pro tem from October through December 2015

- 2 • Cell Tower Lease Agreement, 60 North Misc. Items: Tree removal at the Community Center • 4 **Upcoming Meetings & Events:** • December 23rd – Noon at Community Center, Employee Christmas Party 6 • December 23rd – 25th – City offices close at noon on December 23rd. Closed December 24th and 25th 8 January 1st – City offices closed for New Year's Day January 5th – Swearing-in of elected City Council members • 10 February 11th at 6:00 pm. – Budget kick-off meeting. Dinner/work session • 12 Mayor Pro Tem Powell called for any further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none she called for a motion to adjourn. 16 Adjourn -18 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 10:06 PM. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL 20 PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED. 22 Approved – January 5, 2016 24 Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder 26 28
- 30 Jeff Acerson, Mayor