

2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on Tuesday, April 5, 2011
beginning at 6:30 p.m. in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100 North
4 State Street, Lindon, Utah.

6 **WORK SESSION** – 6:00 P.M.

8 Conducting: James A. Dain, Mayor

10 **PRESENT**

ABSENT

12 James A. Dain, Mayor
Lindsey Bayless, Councilmember
14 Bruce Carpenter, Councilmember
Jerald I. Hatch, Councilmember
16 Mark L. Walker, Councilmember
Ott H. Dameron, City Administrator
18 Adam Cowie, Planning Director
Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police
20 Debra Cullimore, City Recorder

Bret Frampton, Councilmember

22 The meeting began at 6:35 p.m.

24 **DISCUSSION** - *The Mayor and City Council will meet in a work session with Cody
Cullimore, Chief of Police, to hear a report on current year data and discuss issues and
26 concerns for Fiscal year 2011/2012.*

28 Chief Cullimore reported on statistical information for various Police Department
functions. He noted that statistics are down in many areas, and down substantially in
30 some areas. He stated that he is hopeful that reduced criminal activity is the result of
proactive police work, which is having a positive effect on the community. He noted that
32 the number of arrests is lower due to the fact that there is no longer a large back log of
warrants. Councilmember Carpenter observed that the number of vehicle burglaries has
34 been reduced significantly, and this reduction might be a result of Night Out Against
Crime and other community safety awareness events.

36 Chief Cullimore reviewed national crime index statistics, which rank the safety of
community on a scale of 1 to 100, with 100 being the safest communities. Lindon ranked
38 87 out of 100, the highest ranking in Utah County, indicating that Lindon is a very safe
community. Chief Cullimore noted that he reviews Spillman information frequently in
40 an effort to identify areas where problems are occurring and concentrate enforcement and
prevention efforts in those areas.

42 Chief Cullimore went on to review budget requests for the 2011-2012 fiscal year.
He explained that the new budget includes some restructuring of specific line items, with
44 a total budget increase of 14%. He noted that the majority of the overtime budget is to
cover the vacant officer position. He reviewed overtime expenditures which will be
46 reimbursed to the City through the Joint Criminal Apprehension Team (JCAT), as well as
some reimbursement for DUI checkpoint events. The Council discussed the proposed

overtime budget in comparison to filling the vacant position, and noted that the cost of overtime is significantly less than filling the position. Chief Cullimore observed that there will come a time when it will be necessary to fill the position.

Chief Cullimore thanked the Council for their support. The Council thanked Chief Cullimore for the good work of the Police Department in the community.

REGULAR SESSION – 7:00 P.M.

Conducting: James A. Dain, Mayor

Pledge of Allegiance: By Invitation

Invocation: Jerald Hatch

PRESENT

ABSENT

James A. Dain, Mayor
Lindsey Bayless, Councilmember
Bruce Carpenter, Councilmember
Bret Frampton, Councilmember
Jerald I. Hatch, Councilmember
Mark L. Walker, Councilmember
Ott H. Dameron, City Administrator
Adam Cowie, Planning Director
Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police
Debra Cullimore, City Recorder

The meeting was called to order at 7:13 p.m.

MINUTES - The minutes of the Regular Meeting of March 15, 2011 and the Special Meeting of March 22, 2011 were reviewed.

COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF MARCH 15, 2011. COUNCILMEMBER WALKER SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HATCH	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER WALKER	AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF MARCH 22, 2011. COUNCILMEMBER HATCH SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON	AYE

COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE
2 COUNCILMEMBER WALKER AYE
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

4
6 **OPEN SESSION** - Citizens comments

8 Mayor Dain called for comments from any audience member who wished to address an issue not listed as an agenda item. There was no public comment.

10 **MAYOR'S COMMENTS/REPORT** -

12 Mayor Dain reported that remodeling at the Community Center is underway, with an expected ribbon cutting near the first of June. He invited residents to participate in the Memorial Day ceremony and dedication of the Monument to Freedom at the City cemetery, as well as the annual Easter Egg hunt on April 23rd at 9:00 a.m.

16 **CONSENT AGENDA** -

18 No Items

20 **CURRENT BUSINESS** -

- 22
- 24 1. **Review and Action** – *Conditional Use Permit – CSB Nutrition Corporation – Approximately 500 West 500 South.* This is a request by CBS Nutrition Corporation for review and approval of a Conditional Use Permit for a wholesale manufacturing business of nutritional supplements to be located in the RB (Research and Business) zone in one of the buildings of the former Modus Media site. Since this specific land use is not codified in the Lindon Standard Land Use Table, the applicant is applying through the use of the “Compatibility Standard.” The Planning Commission recommended approval with one dissenting vote and one condition.

32

34 Norberto Perez was present as the representative for this application. Mr. Cowie explained that this is a request for approval of a Conditional Use permit for a nutritional supplement business located in the Research and Business (R&B) zone. He noted that CSB Nutrition is an existing Lindon business currently located in the LI zone near Pheasant Brook Park. The company has outgrown its current facility and is requesting to relocate to warehouse structures formerly occupied by Modus Media. The proposed use requires Conditional Use approval to locate in the R&B zone. CSB is a manufacturer of dry pack nutritional products sold by other companies. This facility would be manufacturing only, with no direct retail sales from the site.

42 Mr. Cowie explained that this Conditional Use application is based on the Compatibility Standard, as it is not specifically listed in the Standard Land Use Table as a Conditional Use. Following Planning Commission review of this application, the Commission recommended approval with a condition that a sound wall be installed if noise from fans and filters becomes problematic to neighboring uses.

44

46

2 Councilmember Carpenter noted that the proposed facility is one of three
3 buildings located in this complex. He expressed concern that potential noise and dust
4 impacts may prevent other R&B uses from locating in the adjacent buildings. He asked
5 Mr. Perez how those potential impacts will be mitigated. Mr. Perez stated that the facility
6 will have dust collection units, and that a clean environment is a critical component of
7 their operation. He stated that the warehouse facilities do not share a ventilation system
8 with the adjacent buildings, and that he does not anticipate dust traveling to other units.
9 He stated that the filtration system emits approximately the same noise level as an air
10 conditioning unit, but that sound walls can be installed to mitigate sound impacts if
11 necessary.

12 Councilmember Carpenter expressed concern regarding potential environmental
13 impacts from byproducts entering the water system. Mr. Perez stated that all processes at
14 the facility are dry processes, and that the only wet process is periodic cleaning and
15 sanitizing of the equipment. Councilmember Carpenter noted that the products are
16 promoted as affecting physical functions of the body, and that some people may not want
17 to ingest them in any way. He stated that the facility would need to avoid release of
18 products into the environment in any way. Mr. Perez explained that the facility is subject
19 to inspection by the Department of Health as well as other organizations, and the
20 operations are closely regulated. Councilmember Carpenter noted that it is the function
21 of the Health Department to ensure that environmental factors do not contaminate the
22 products, and his concern is that the products may contaminate the environment. He
23 reiterated concerns regarding dust and noise impacts which could impact future use of the
24 adjacent structures. Mr. Cowie explained that there is an exterior wall separating the
25 structures, and that they do not share a ventilation system. Mayor Dain noted that
26 Conditional Uses are reviewable upon complaint, and that future concerns could be
27 addressed if necessary.

28 Councilmember Bayless noted that the Planning Commission did discuss this
29 application at length prior to recommending approval to the City Council. Mayor Dain
30 called for further comments or discussion. Hearing none, he called for a motion.

31 **COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON MOVED TO APPROVE THE CSB
32 NUTRITION CORPORATION CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT WITH THE
33 FOLLOWING CONDITION:**

- 34 1. THAT IF NOISE FROM ANY OUTDOOR COMPRESSORS OR DUST
35 COLLECTORS BECOMES A CONCERN TO ADJACENT PROPERTIES OR
36 USES THAT SOUND WALLS WILL BE INSTALLED TO DEFLECT THE
37 NOISE AWAY FROM ADJACENT PROPERTIES.

38 **COUNCILMEMBER HATCH SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
39 RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:**

40 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS AYE
41 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE
42 COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON AYE
43 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE
44 COUNCILMEMBER WALKER AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

2 **2. Concept Review** – *Castle Park Reception Center – 116 South Main Street.* This
3 is a request by Eric Barzeele for the City Council’s review and feedback
4 concerning the potential conversion of the Hatfield Development
5 (Hatfieldadelphia) into a permanent commercial reception center. A primary
6 issue will be a zone change which will be necessary to facilitate the conversion.

7 Eric and Amy Barzeele were present as representatives for this Concept Review.
8 Mr. Cowie explained that no motion is necessary for this item, and that the purpose of a
9 Concept Review is to give general feedback regarding the feasibility of the proposed
10 business without making a binding decision.

11 Mr. Cowie reviewed the existing site and current zoning of the subject property.
12 He reviewed parking requirements for full occupancy of the upper and lower level of the
13 structure, which would be 228 stalls. He reviewed several parking layout options to meet
14 parking requirements which may require additional property acquisition. Installation of
15 street frontage requirements would also be required.

16 Mr. Cowie explained that the subject property is currently under bank ownership.
17 The Council discussed future development and zoning in the area, and whether they want
18 to promote commercial development without State Street frontage by amending zoning in
19 the area to include more commercial areas to accommodate this use.

20 Mayor Dain noted that the new Community Center will be available to Lindon
21 residents for rental for events such as reunions and wedding receptions. The applicants
22 stated that they are aware that the Community Center will be available for such uses.
23 They stated that their research indicates that there is a market to support additional
24 reception type facilities in the area.

25 Councilmember Carpenter noted that commercial use of the property, which has
26 been a residential use, may impact neighboring properties. He suggested that soliciting
27 input from neighboring property owners would be beneficial. Councilmember Frampton
28 noted that he lives in the area of the existing Wadley Farms reception center, and that he
29 has not experienced any detrimental impacts.

30 Mayor Dain noted that several neighboring property owners were present in the
31 audience. He invited them to comment. Nila Wooton stated that she has no concerns
32 regarding the proposed use. She observed that she has not been negatively impacted by
33 previous use of the property, which included a number of large events.

34 Neighboring property owner Chris Dexter observed that while the property was
35 previously a residential use, charity and other events at the site were frequently large and
36 commercial in nature. He stated that he would welcome a business operating at the site
37 with appropriate restrictions to protect neighboring residential properties. He observed
38 that the structure is built as a reception center, and that it has functioned fairly well
39 without regulation, and should function even better with commercial regulations in place.

40 Following further discussion, the Council expressed general support of the
41 concept, noting that compliance with all City ordinances would be required. Issues such
42 as zoning, subdividing, property acquisition, parking layout and other requirements will
43 be addressed in detail if a land use application is submitted.

44 **3. Review and Action** – *Sewer Project Modification.* This is a request by the City
45 Attorney and City Engineer for the City Council’s review and approval of a

2 modification to the sewer project including the scope of work, rescinding the
previous bid award, and awarding the bid based on the new project specifications.
4 The change in the scope of work entails moving from “pipe bursting” to “open
trenching”.

6 City Engineer, David Thurgood and City Attorney, Brian Haws were present for
this discussion with the Council. Mr. Dameron noted that the City has been working to
8 finalize the details of this project for several months. He explained that the scope of the
project has been amended to include open trench construction rather than pipe bursting
10 due to the inability of subcontractors to complete the sections previously planned with the
pipe bursting method according to specification for the project. Due to the significant
12 change in the scope of the project, the three low bidders were asked to submit a new bid
based on the revised specifications.

14 Mr. Haws explained legal procedures related to the bid process, and assured that
Council that proper legal procedures have been followed in the re-bid process. He
16 explained that of the three low bidders for the previous specifications, two contractors
submitted bids. Van Con opted to not re-bid based on scheduling and workload issues.
18 The low bidder was Terry Larsen Excavating, the previous low bidder. Mr. Haws
explained that due to the change in the scope of the project, the motion for the bid award
20 should be to modify and reaffirm the bid award to Terry Larsen excavating based on the
new specifications.

22 Mr. Dameron explained that the costs associated with modifications to the project
exceeded the parameters of change order processes, and that a re-bid was the appropriate
24 method to establish specifications and anticipated costs. He noted that the re-bid has
been discussed with Orem officials, and that they have expressed support of the bid
26 award based on the new bid amount.

The Council discussed the \$3 million bond proceeds in relation to the increased bid
28 amount. Mr. Thurgood explained that contingency funds will be minimal. He clarified
that there is a probability of coordination with other regional UDOT project which could
30 significantly reduce costs to the City. He expressed confidence that the project could be
completed with available funding.

32 Mayor Dain noted that although the cost for the project has increased, the final
product will be superior to pipe bursting, and that the Council should feel confident that
34 they have honored the public trust in this project. He called for further comments or
discussion from the Council. Hearing none, he called for a motion.

36
38 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH MOVED TO MODIFY AND REAFFIRM THE
BID AWARD TO THE LOW BIDDER, TERRY LARSEN EXCAVATING, FOR THE
GENEVA ROAD GRAVITY SEWER UPGRADE PROJECT IN THE AMOUNT OF
40 \$757,501.17. COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER SECONDED THE MOTION. THE
VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

42 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE
44 COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE
46 COUNCILMEMBER WALKER AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
26
28
30
32
34
36
38
40
42
44

4. **Public Hearing** – *Ordinance Amendment – R2 Overlay Zone (Ordinance #2011-2-O)*. The City Council will hear public comment and possibly act to approve proposed changes to the Lindon City Code, Chapter 17.46 (R2-Overlay Zone) which regulates multi-family developments and accessory apartments within Lindon City. The Planning Commission has reviewed these changes.

COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING.
COUNCILMEMBER WALKER SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

Mr. Cowie explained that this is a City initiated review of the R2 Overlay Ordinance which regulates multi family dwellings. The intent of the R2 Overlay is to provide moderate income housing within the City in compliance with State Code. He explained that moderate income housing rates fluctuate, and are based on 80% of the median income for the Utah Valley metropolitan area.

Mr. Cowie reviewed the R2 Overlay district map. He noted that accessory apartments count toward the overall total in each district, and can restrict construction of non owner occupied R2 projects, but accessory apartments are not subject to the 750 foot separation distance or zone capacity requirements, and can theoretically be allowed in any owner occupied dwelling.

Mr. Cowie reviewed proposed ordinance amendments. Developers of duplex or triplex R2 projects will be required to sign a certification that the dwelling will meet moderate income housing requirements. The certification will be recorded to inform future owners of the requirement, and the certification will run with the property.

Mr. Cowie went on to review proposed landscaping requirements, which specify that front and street side yard setbacks must be landscaped with living landscape materials, with a minimum of 40% of the lot being landscaped. Setbacks must match the setback of neighboring residential properties, but matching of adjacent commercial setbacks would not be required. Mr. Cowie noted that the Planning Commission recommended leaving R2 projects as Conditional Uses to provide the necessary tools to address specific concerns related to individual application to protect neighboring properties.

Mr. Cowie went on to review proposed architectural standards as recommended by the Planning Commission. Current ordinance requirements are subjective, stating that architecture must match the surrounding neighborhood. The Planning Commission discussed the definition of what constitutes a neighborhood at length, and felt that more specific architectural requirements would better define permitted architecture, with the intent of protecting the property values of neighboring properties. Proposed requirements would refer to the color palette found in the Commercial Design Guidelines. Specific permitted on non-permitted architectural styles would be listed in the ordinance. Mayor Dain expressed concern that restricting architectural styles may be overly burdensome and excessively regulatory. Mr. Cowie explained that the Planning Commission felt that architectural standards for R2 projects should either be very specific, or that there should

2 be no architectural regulation to avoid being vague or arbitrary in implementation of
standards.

4 Councilmember Bayless was present during Planning Commission review of this
ordinance. She explained that the Commission discussed the impact of a duplex or
6 triplex on existing residential neighborhoods, and felt that R2 projects are essentially
commercial uses, and that stricter architectural standards similar to other commercial uses
8 would protect the property value and integrity of the residential neighborhood. She felt
that stricter regulation of R2 project which typically have a higher impact on residential
neighborhoods than single family homes would be reasonable.

10 Councilmember Walker noted that the current level of development in Lindon
does not leave a high number of R2 project locations. He felt that some general
12 regulation would protect neighborhoods, but that overall impact of future R2 projects to
the community would be minimal.

14 Mayor Dain requested a legal opinion from the City attorney regarding the
authority of the City to regulate architecture of residential structures. Mr. Cowie will
16 consult with Mr. Haws and provide a legal opinion at the next City Council meeting.

18 Mr. Cowie presented other architectural design requirements which would
prohibit more than one street facing front door or garage entrance for R2 projects. The
20 proposed design requirement would create a single family look from the street facing
façade. Professional opinions of several contractors indicated that costs associated with
the design requirements would be minimal. The Council expressed general approval of
22 the design requirements.

24 Mr. Cowie went on to review other minor wording changes to the ordinance.
New language will specify that an accessory apartment attached to the main dwelling
using a breezeway can not exceed 15 feet from the main dwelling unit measured from the
26 foundation of each unit. New language will also clarify affidavit requirements for single
family dwelling with a second kitchen which will not be rented as an accessory
28 apartment.

30 Mayor Dain called for public comment. There was no public comment. He
called for further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none, he called for
a motion to continue to the Public Hearing.

32 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS MOVED TO CONTINUE THE PUBLIC
34 HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER HATCH SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

- 36
38 5. **Public Hearing** – *Ordinance Amendment – Temporary Site Plans (Ordinance
#2011-3-0)*. The City Council will hear public comment and possibly act to
40 approve proposed changes to the Lindon City Code, Section 17.17.140
(Temporary Site Plans). The Planning Commission has reviewed these changes.

42 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING.
COUNCILMEMBER WALKER SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED
44 IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

2 Mr. Cowie explained following review of a temporary site plan application for
3 Provo River Constructors for a crushing operation related to the I-15 Core project, the
4 Planning Commission recommended an ordinance revision which would allow some
5 flexibility in approval of temporary site plan uses. Proposed ordinance revisions allow
6 for temporary uses related to public projects or projects deemed to be in the public
7 interest. Some functions of the use related to the I-15 project are currently not permitted,
8 but the project is in the public interest. Approval of ordinance revisions is necessary to
9 approve the temporary as a legal use.

10 Mayor Dain called for public comment. There was no public comment. He
11 called for further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none, he called for
12 a motion to close the Public Hearing.

13 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.
14 COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT
15 VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

16 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE 2011-
17 3-O – TEMPORARY SITE PLANS. COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER SECONDED
18 THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

19 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS AYE
20 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE
21 COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON AYE
22 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE
23 COUNCILMEMBER WALKER AYE
24 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- 25
26
27 6. **Review and Action** – *Agreement – Meals for Seniors at Lindon Community*
28 *Center.* This is a request by staff for the City Council’s review and approval of
29 the agreement between Lindon City and Mountainland Association of
30 Governments for the provision of nutrition services (meals) for individuals who
31 are 60 years of age and older including their spouses.

32
33 Councilmember Walker requested clarification regarding the City’s financial
34 obligation for this program based on the match requirements. The Council reviewed
35 information provided by Mountainland Association of Governments regarding the
36 financial obligation of the City, reimbursements and financial match requirements.
37 However, the requirements of the City were somewhat unclear. Mr. Dameron stated that
38 it is his understanding that the City will be reimbursed by M.A.G. for any expenses
39 incurred by the City. He will clarify the match requirement and report the City’s
40 financial obligation to the Council at the next Regular Meeting.

41 Mayor Dain called for further comments or discussion. Hearing none, he called
42 for a motion.

43 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH MOVED TO APPROVE THE AGREEMENT
44 BETWEEN LINDON CITY AND MOUNTAINLAND ASSOCIATION OF
45 GOVERNMENT FOR SENIOR CITIZEN MEALS AT THE COMMUNITY CENTER.
46

COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
2 RECORDED AS FOLLOWS

COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS AYE
4 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER NAY
COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON AYE
6 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE
COUNCILMEMBER WALKER AYE
8 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

10 Councilmember Carpenter noted that he voted against the motion due to
confusion regarding the City's financial match obligation.

12 **COUNCIL/CITY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORTS -**

14 Mr. Dameron reported on the following items:

- 16 1. The Council reviewed the Project Tracking List.
- 18 2. All eligible employees and officials are required to fill out health insurance
applications on or before Monday, April 11, 2011.
- 20 3. Information regarding requirements and restrictions associated with the Hatch Act
was distributed to the Council for review.
- 22 4. Mr. Dameron and Mayor Dain will attend an annual meeting with Alpine School
District officials on April 6, 2011 at noon.
- 24 5. The Geneva Road Sewer Project bond is expected to close on Monday, April 6,
2011.
- 26 6. Mr. Cowie presented information regarding realignment of the Lindon Heritage
Trail two feet further into the right-of-way to avoid relocation of several utility
28 poles and fences. The 66 foot right-of-way accommodates the relocation without
restricting traffic lanes.

30 **COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER** – Councilmember Carpenter reported that he will
be attending meeting in the next three days to establish a bond rating for the Utah
32 Infrastructure Agency.

34 Councilmember Carpenter invited Chief Cullimore to report on Police
Department activities. Chief Cullimore reported that he and Mr. Dameron recently met
36 with Orem City to discuss the agreement for fire, EMS and dispatch services. The
financial obligation of the City will be less than the 2010-2011 fiscal year. Orem has
38 expressed understanding of the delay in starting construction on new public safety
facilities due to economic conditions.

40 Chief Cullimore invited the Council to attend the April 21st Youth Education
Series class, which will be presented by Officer John Lloyd. The class will provide
42 information on the effect of media on youth.

44 **COUNCILMEMBER WALKER** – Councilmember Walker reported on Lindon Days
planning and events, including a dunk tank, a video game tournament and the Criterium
Bike Race.

2 Councilmember Walker noted that he will be out of town for the Easter Egg Hunt
on April 23rd. He asked other Councilmembers to attend and assist with the event.
Councilmember Frampton and Mayor Dain will attend.

4 Councilmember Walker went on to discuss potential funding for minor
improvements to the Tithing House. Mayor Dain noted that improvements are intended
6 to improve aesthetics at the site and minimize impacts to the neighborhoods. Mr.
Dameron noted that Department Heads have expressed concern regarding spending on
8 non-critical projects if employees are not given COLA or merit pay increases this year.
Mayor Dain noted that if funds for improvements come from park impact fees, those
10 funds could not be reallocated for employee raises.

12 **COUNCILMEMBER HATCH** – Councilmember Hatch had no items to report.

14 **COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS** – Councilmember Bayless reported that the Healthy
Lindon Community Education Series concluded last week, and was very successful. She
16 expressed appreciation to Dr. Alan College for his work in teaching the classes.

18 Councilmember Bayless expressed concern regarding the reception center
discussed earlier during the Concept Review. She stated that Somewhere Inn Time is
struggling to stay in operation due to low bookings for their facility. She felt that the
20 market may not support another reception center in the area.

22 Councilmember Bayless suggested that City consider a less strict sign ordinance
in commercial zones on weekends during the summer to allow seasonal uses, such as
farmers markets and sidewalk sales. The Council felt that it would be reasonable to
24 consider specific guidelines and allow summer outdoor uses in the commercial zone.
Details will be discussed at a future meeting.

26 **COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON** – Councilmember Frampton reported that he is
working to recruit additional Tree Advisory Board and Community Center Advisory
28 Board members, and will bring the names for Council approval as soon as possible.

30
32 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS MOVED TO APPROVE THE PAY
VOUCHERS. COUNCILMEMBER WALKER SECONDED THE MOTION. THE
VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

34 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE
36 COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE
38 COUNCILMEMBER WALKER AYE
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

40 **ADJOURN** –

42
44 COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING
AT 10:50 P.M. COUNCILMEMBER WALKER SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

Approved – April 19, 2011

2

4

6

8

10

Debra Cullimore, City Recorder

James A. Dain, Mayor