

2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on **Tuesday, July 6, 2010**,
beginning at 7:00 p.m. in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100 North
4 State Street, Lindon, Utah.

6 Conducting: Bruce Carpenter, Mayor Pro Tem
Pledge of Allegiance: Adam Cowie
8 Invocation: Bret Frampton

10 **PRESENT**

ABSENT

12 Lindsey Bayless, Councilmember
Bruce Carpenter, Councilmember/Mayor Pro Tem
Bret Frampton, Councilmember
14 Jerald I. Hatch, Councilmember
Mark L. Walker, Councilmember
16 Ott H. Dameron, City Administrator
Adam Cowie, Planning Director
18 Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police
Don Peterson, Public Works Director
20 Debra Cullimore, City Recorder

James A. Dain, Mayor

22 The meeting was called to order at 7:05 p.m.

24 **MINUTES** – The minutes of the meeting of June 15, 2010 were reviewed.

26 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF
THE MEETING OF JUNE 15, 2010. COUNCILMEMBER WALKER SECONDED
28 THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS AYE
30 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE
COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON AYE
32 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE
COUNCILMEMBER WALKER AYE

34 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

36 **OPEN SESSION** –

38 Councilmember Carpenter called for comments from any audience member who
wished to address an issue not listed as an agenda item. Resident Jeff Southard stated
40 that he lives in the Ivory Homes subdivision, and that speed bumps which have been
installed on 600 North are having the effect of redirecting a high volume of traffic down
42 725 North to avoid the speed bumps. Councilmember Carpenter noted that restricting
traffic on any specific street can impact traffic on other nearby streets. Mr. Cowie will
44 forward a Citizen Initiated Traffic Calming Study to Mr. Southard to initiate the process
of investigating traffic issues in the area.

2 **MAYOR’S COMMENTS/REPORT** –

4 Mayor Dain was not present at the meeting.

6 **CONSENT AGENDA** –

8 No items.

10 **CURRENT BUSINESS** –

- 12 1. **Review and Action** – *Orchard Park Plan*. This is a request by staff and the
14 Orchard Park Neighborhood Committee for the City Council’s review and
approval of the Orchard Park plan including location of facilities and amenities.

16 Mr. Cowie reviewed the Parks and Trails Master Plan Map, indicating which
18 parks are completed, which are under development, and which will be future parks. He
explained that the discussion tonight is to consider the master plan for improvements in
20 Orchard Park. He noted that park improvements are typically completed in phases as
cash funding becomes available. Improvements in this park have the potential to be
accelerated due to a generous donation from an anonymous donor.

22 Mr. Cowie explained that City staff recently met with a neighborhood committee
to discuss park improvements and create a concept plan for the park. The committee
discussed parking facilities for the park. The committee felt that given the small size of
24 the park and other proposed amenities, parking area should be kept to a minimum in
order to preserve usable park space. Improvements proposed by the committee include a
26 large pavilion, a small pavilion, restroom facilities, play equipment, a gazebo and a
platform for arts performances. An existing detention basin will remain in place in the
28 park. A walking path is proposed around the exterior of the park with lighted bollards.
Connections to two adjacent trail sections will be available from the park trail.

30 Mr. Cowie noted that during the committee meeting there was some discussion
regarding including a tennis court in the master plan. The general consensus from the
32 committee at that time was that it would be preferable to maintain green space in the park
rather than install a tennis court. Since the committee met, there has been additional
34 discussion in the neighborhood regarding a tennis court, and it appears that a number of
residents in the area are encouraging the City to include a tennis court in park planning.
36 Mr. Cowie presented drawings prepared by the City Engineer which show two potential
locations for a tennis court. He noted that the Parks Master Plan includes eight tennis
38 courts throughout the City. He explained that a tennis court could be incorporated into
the park plan, noting that the plan is general in nature at this point, and changes can be
40 made to the plan before facilities are constructed.

42 Mr. Cowie went on to discuss proposed parking. He observed that on street
parking is available in the immediate area around the park. Parking space provided at
other parks of similar size is approximately double the proposed parking at this park.
44 Large events would result in overflow on street parking. Councilmember Walker
inquired as to whether there would be any liability associated with on street parking if

adequate on site parking is not provided. Mr. Dameron explained that on street parking is legal, and there would be no liability issues associated with on street parking.

Councilmember Bayless inquired as to whether engineering changes would be necessary to include a tennis court in the plan. Mr. Cowie stated that a slight grade change may be necessary. Sprinkling system plans are currently under design, and could include a section which could be removed in the future to accommodate the tennis court without disrupting the entire system. Councilmember Bayless asked if the sewer main line in the area would be an issue in relation to the tennis court. Mr. Cowie stated that the sewer line is fairly deep and should not be affected by park improvements.

Councilmember Carpenter thanked a number of committee members and neighborhood residents who were present at the meeting for attending, and invited comments. An unidentified audience member noted that an LDS church facility located near the park could provide overflow parking. Mr. Cowie stated that the church is private property, and that the City can not use the parking available at the church in planning for the park facility. He stated that the City is required to provide on site ADA accessible parking.

Jim Byron stated that he deeded a portion of the property which will be used for the park to the City, and would like to have been more involved in the planning process. He stated that he is the owner of two vacant lots which are located directly across the street from the proposed parking area. He expressed concern that the proposed location of the parking may devalue his property. He suggested that the parking area be located across the street from the LDS church where it would not impact residential lots.

Matt Parsons suggested that on street parking in this neighborhood would be less intrusive than in many other neighborhoods, noting that other than the two vacant lots mentioned by Mr. Byron, no homes exit onto Main Street. Julie Byron pointed out that on street parking on Main Street would affect her home.

Jeff Southard noted that additional on site parking would impact the park area. He inquired as to what parking requirements are included in City Code for parks. He felt that unless City Code defines specific parking requirements, on street parking would be adequate. He suggested the possibility of installing angled parking on Main Street. He felt that if parking were removed from the plan, additional space for a tennis court would be made available. He noted that there was not opposition among the committee to installing a tennis court, but that it did not seem realistic that the tennis courts would be completed in the foreseeable future given the other tennis facilities currently on the Master Plan. He suggested that there may be a possibility of soliciting donations to complete this tennis court if it is included in the plan.

Matt Parsons stated that he did not participate on the committee, but became involved in soliciting input from the neighborhood regarding a tennis court. Mr. Parsons stated that he contacted a number of residents in the neighborhood in the previous 48 hours, and that input regarding including a tennis court in planning for future park improvements was generally positive, with only three residents expressing opposition to a tennis court. Mr. Parsons suggested that space be set aside for a future tennis court to allow installation in the future without disruption to other facilities, including the sprinkling system.

Jim Peters stated that he participated on the committee, and that he opposed the tennis court and parking area due to the impact on usable park space. He suggested that

2 parks without sports amenities are very inviting to families, and that a tennis court would not be beneficial to this particular park.

4 Steve Francom asked Mr. Cowie to outline plans for other tennis courts in the community. Mr. Cowie explained that a total of eight tennis courts are planned at Pioneer Park, Hollow Park, and Pheasant Brook Park in the next ten years. Sandy Francom stated that she currently plays tennis on courts in Pleasant Grove, which are not well maintained. She observed that one tennis court is not particularly beneficial, and that it would be helpful if additional courts on the plan could be completed as well.

8 Chris Meyers stated that her property backs to the park. She expressed opposition to the tennis courts and to lighting in the park. She felt that a tennis court would occupy too much available space dedicated to a specific activity.

12 Mary Ann Hatch stated that she is in favor of including tennis courts in park plans. She stated that her children are tennis players, and would appreciate the availability of facilities in Lindon. She also noted that revenue could be generated through lessons or other recreation programs involving tennis facilities.

16 Ms. Hatch also noted that she was present to voice concerns of her sister, who's home is located adjacent to a trail connection into Orchard Park. Her sister is concerned that park users may park in front of her home and access the park through the trail walkway. She and her sister feel that adequate parking should be provided at the park facility to avoid negative impacts to residents in the area.

20 Franklin West observed that opinions on specific amenities differ, and that it is not easy to figure out the appropriate solution. He stated that his home will be the closest residence to the proposed parking area, but that it appears to be the most reasonable location. He noted that a final decision on the tennis court is not necessary at this time, but including the potential tennis court would allow it to be installed at some time in the future.

28 Annette Coulter suggested that the parking area be relocated to the other side of the proposed tennis court location. Mr. Cowie explained that steep slopes in that area would make installation of parking difficult. Councilmember Bayless observed that stairs may be necessary if parking were installed in the location suggested by Ms. Coulter, which would make ADA access difficult and expensive.

32 Councilmember Carpenter noted that a number of relevant issues have been discussed. He observed that there is no compromise to some of the concerns residents have raised, but that eventually the Council will have to make decisions regarding specific amenities. He called for further comments from audience members. Hearing none, he thanked residents for their participation in the discussion.

38 Councilmember Bayless stated that she can see both sides of the questions raised by residents regarding parking and tennis facilities. She felt that it would be appropriate to set aside space for a future tennis court, noting that the area would be usable green space unless and until the tennis court is installed. Councilmember Walker noted that concerns which have been expressed can be worked out as the park develops over time. Councilmember Frampton noted that there will be a fair indication of how on street parking will affect the neighborhood as the park develops, and appropriate adjustments could be made to parking if necessary. Councilmember Hatch agreed that it would be appropriate to move ahead with a master plan and adjust as necessary over time. He felt that the first priority should be playground equipment. Mr. Cowie explained that the

2 typical sequence for completion of park amenities is pavilion and restrooms, followed by
3 playground equipment. Councilmember Carpenter assured residents that this discussion
4 and recommendations made by the neighborhood would be considered during future
5 development of the park. He noted that the exact placement of the parking area and
6 tennis courts is flexible, but that the plan as presented will allow initial development to
7 move forward.

8 Councilmember Carpenter called for further comments or discussion. Hearing
9 none, he called for a motion.

10 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS MOVED TO APPROVE THE ORCHARD
11 PARK DEVELOPMENT PLAN, AND DIRECTED STAFF TO IDENTIFY THE MOST
12 APPROPRIATE LOCATION FOR A FUTURE TENNIS COURT ON THE PLAN.
13 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
14 RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

15 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS	AYE
16 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER	AYE
17 COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON	AYE
18 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH	AYE
19 COUNCILMEMBER WALKER	AYE

20 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

21 Mr. West thanked City staff for their work and cooperation with the
22 neighborhood. He inquired as to a possible time frame for development to begin. Mr.
23 Dameron stated that the project is expected to begin this fall. Mr. Peters expressed
24 appreciation to the anonymous donor for their generosity. Councilmember Carpenter
25 explained that the identity of the donor will be revealed at an appropriate time in the
26 future.

- 27
- 28
- 29 **2. Review and Action – Lease Agreement – Lindon City and Karl G. Maeser**
30 ***Preparatory Academy.*** This is a request by staff and Maeser Preparatory
31 Academy officials for the Council’s review and approval of a lease agreement for
32 the temporary use of the LDS Church Building located at 25 North Main Street.
33 The building is currently under a purchase contract by the City from the LDS
34 Church. This lease would assist Maeser Academy in securing temporary facilities
35 while their permanent facilities are being constructed.

36

37

38 Erin Porter and Steve Whitehouse of Karl G. Maeser Preparatory Academy were
39 present for this discussion with the Council. Mr. Dameron explained that this lease
40 agreement was drafted by City Attorney, Brian Haws. A meeting was held with City
41 staff and officials and representatives of Maeser Academy to discuss appropriate changes
42 to the agreement. The document before the Council includes changes which were
43 discussed at that meeting. The square footage included in the lease agreement is 13,868
44 square feet at a lease rate of \$1.00 per month per square foot. Mr. Dameron invited Ms.
45 Porter to explain some insurance issues which need to be addressed.

46 Ms. Porter explained that typically, liability insurance is carried by a tenant while
property insurance is carried by the property owner. Upon investigation, it has become

2 apparent that it would be extraordinarily expensive for the school to purchase property
3 insurance as a tenant. She inquired as to whether the City would be willing to purchase
4 the property insurance with Maeser Academy paying a pro-rated amount the City for
5 insurance premiums during the lease period. Mr. Dameron stated that insurance
6 premiums are paid on an annual basis, and that he does not foresee any problems
7 associated with the proposed arrangement. Councilmember Carpenter observed that
8 language would have to be added to the agreement relative to insurance coverage and
9 payment of premiums. He suggested that if the agreement was otherwise acceptable, that
10 it could be approved tonight pending final approval of additional language by the Mayor
11 and the City Attorney.

12 Mr. Dameron inquired as to the possibility that the school would be required to
13 change out doorknobs at the facility to meet ADA requirements for this use. Ms. Porter
14 stated that the inspector has indicated that a doorknob change out will probably not be
15 required. Councilmember Carpenter noted that the City does not want to incur any
16 additional cost above costs which would be incurred during the remodel for City use.
17 Councilmember Walker asked if it would be appropriate to include language in the
18 agreement relative to doorknob change out expenses. Mr. Dameron explained that the
19 doorknobs are a minor issue which does not need to be addressed in the agreement.
20 Councilmember Carpenter felt that the two parties could come to an equitable agreement
21 if a doorknob change out is required.

22 Councilmember Carpenter expressed concern regarding duplication of keys and
23 having all keys returned to the City when the school vacates the facility. Mr. Whitehouse
24 explained that procedures will be put into place to allow the school to minimize
25 distribution of keys. He anticipates that teachers will have keys to classrooms, but that
26 only administration will have keys to outside access doors.

27 Councilmember Frampton asked if the school has idea of the time frame for
28 completion of the permanent school facility. Mr. Whitehouse stated that completion is
29 expected in mid November.

30 Councilmember Carpenter called for further comments or discussion. Hearing
31 none, he called for a motion.

32 COUNCILMEMBER WALKER MOVED TO APPROVE THE LEASE
33 AGREEMENT BETWEEN LINDON CIYT AND KARL G. MAESER
34 PREPARATORY ACADEMY PENDING APPROVAL OF LANGUAGE RELATIVE
35 TO INSURANCE COVERAGE BY MAYOR DAIN AND THE CITY ATTORNEY.
36 COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
37 RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

38 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS AYE
39 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE
40 COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON AYE
41 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE
42 COUNCILMEMBER WALKER AYE
43 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

44

- 2 3. **Review and Action** – *Water Meter Change-Out Project*. This is a request by
4 staff for the City Council’s review and approval of a water meter change-out
project which will update the City’s water meters to a radio-read electronic data
gathering system.

6 Public Works Director, Don Peterson, was present for this discussion with the
Council. Mr. Peterson was accompanied by Dave Welch of Badger Meters Inc./Hydro-
8 Specialties. Mr. Peterson explained that the City purchases water meters from Mr.
Welch, and has done business with him for a number of years. Mr. Peterson noted that
10 during the annual City Council retreat in February, a water meter change out program
was discussed. The City Engineer feels that the City is experiencing significant water
12 loss due to improper readings from older water meters. Mr. Peterson noted that the
proposed system will dramatically increase the efficiency of the meter reading process
and cut down on labor costs.

14 Mr. Welch explained that a system has been set up to allow municipalities to
16 implement an automated meter reading system within existing budgets using a lease
purchase system. This system allows improvements to be paid for over a period of years
18 while providing the advantage of immediate implementation of the system. He noted that
the City is currently approximately 20% deployed with this automated meter read system,
20 and that some existing meters could be retrofitted rather than replaced.

22 Mr. Welch went on to review estimated revenue which could result from the
change out program. He reiterated that in addition to savings from accurate meter
readings, necessary staff time to read meters and enter billing information would also be
24 significantly reduced, resulting in greater cost savings.

26 Councilmember Walker asked if readings could be obtained from a central
location, or if it is necessary for the reading equipment to be in the vicinity of the meter.
Mr. Welch explained that technology is available to allow readings at a central location
28 which requires installation of a series of data collectors in various locations around the
City. He reiterated that the City is currently approximately 20% deployed with the
30 proposed drive by automated system, and that the system has a variety of features that
will enhance meter reading capabilities. For example, if there is not a one hour period of
32 non-use on any particular meter during a 24 hour period, the account is flagged and the
property owner notified of a possible water leak. The system will also allow meter reads
34 12 months a year rather than five or six reads a year.

36 Councilmember Carpenter inquired as to how the technology tracks the address of
the meter read. Mr. Welch explained that the system uses a GPS tracking system which
identifies and highlights each meter as it is read.

38 Mr. Welch went on to review estimated revenue and cost savings based on the 30
meter sample test which the City has completed. Estimates indicate that if unaccounted
40 for water is reduced by 50%, the City could experience an increase in revenue of
approximately \$100,000 per year. In addition to actual revenue, the meter reading and
42 entering process which currently takes several days to complete could be completed in
less than a day, resulting in labor cost savings as well.

44 The Council reviewed a four year lease purchase agreement plan, as well as a five
year plan. Councilmember Carpenter noted that anticipated revenue and cost savings
46 greatly offsets the annual lease payment cost. Mr. Dameron noted that the annual

2 payment for the five year plan is \$88,000. Councilmember Frampton asked if funds were
included in the 2010-2011 budget for this project. Mr. Dameron stated that \$100,000 was
included in the budget, but the first payment would not be due until July 1, 2011.

4 Councilmember Bayless inquired as to other communities where this system is
being implemented. Mr. Welch stated that the majority of municipalities in Utah County
6 are at some stage of implementation of the system.

8 Councilmember Walker asked Mr. Welch if service would be available for this
system should Hydro-Specialties go out of business. Mr. Welch stated that Badger
Meters is a 106 year old company. He assured the Council that there would be long term
10 service available for the system. Councilmember Walker asked who would be
responsible to train City staff on the system. Mr. Welch stated that staff members are
12 proficient in operating the system, which is currently in place on approximately 20% of
meters in the City.

14 Mr. Dameron noted that implementation of an automated meter reading system
has been under discussion for a number of years. He observed that the five year lease
16 purchase plan provides a mechanism for the City to implement the system immediately
within the current budget. Councilmember Walker asked Mr. Dameron if he preferred
18 the five year plan over the four year plan. Mr. Dameron explained that the five year plan
would allow payments to remain under \$100,000, with an additional cost over the five
20 year period of only \$11,000.

22 Councilmember Carpenter commented that there may be questions from residents
as to why water bills have increased when meters begin to be read more accurately.
Councilmember Bayless noted that communication with residents regarding the change
24 out will be necessary prior to implementation.

26 Mr. Peterson noted that there will be some additional costs associated with the
change. He explained that many of the water meter lids will need to be replaced to
accommodate the automated equipment. Mr. Welch noted that some revenue may be
28 available through salvage of the old meters which are removed as well, which could
offset the cost of new lids.

30 Councilmember Carpenter called for further comments or discussion. Hearing
none, he called for a motion.

32
34 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS MOVED TO APPROVE THE WATER
METER CHANGE OUT PROGRAM PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY BADGER
METER INC./HYDRO-SPECIALTIES USING THE FIVE YEAR LEASE PURCHASE
36 AGREEMENT PLAN THROUGH MUNICIPAL SERVICES GROUP INC.
COUNCILMEMBER HATCH SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
38 RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

40 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE
COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON AYE
42 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE
COUNCILMEMBER WALKER AYE
44 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

2 4. **Review and Action** – *URMMA Recommended Amendment to the Joint Protection*
3 *Program*. This is a request by staff for the Council’s review and approval of the
4 selection of Utah Law minimum coverage for Uninsured/Underinsured motor
5 vehicle insurance through the URMMA Joint Protection Program.

6 Mr. Dameron explained the current Joint Protection Program specifies minimum
7 limits for uninsured and underinsured motorists. New laws allow member cities to elect
8 additional coverage for an additional premium, and cities must formally choose their
9 level of coverage. Lindon City is currently at the state minimum coverage level. Staff
10 and URMMA recommend remaining at the current coverage level. Councilmember
11 Carpenter called for comments or discussion. Hearing none, he called for a motion.

12
13 COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON MOVED TO APPROVE SELECTION OF
14 UTAH LAW MINIMUM COVERAGE FOR UNINSURED/UNDERINSURED
15 MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE THROUGH URMMA JOINT PROTECTION
16 PROGRAM. COUNCILMEMBER HATCH SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE
17 WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

18 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS AYE
19 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE
20 COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON AYE
21 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE
22 COUNCILMEMBER WALKER AYE

23 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

24
25 5. **Concept Review** – *Creekside Retirement Residential Subdivision*. This is a
26 request by Steve Maddox for the City Council’s review and feedback concerning
27 additional potential changes to the Creekside Retirement Residential Subdivision
28 and Development Agreement. Mr. Maddox appeared before the Council on
29 December 15, 2009 and May 18, 2010 for similar reviews. He again wishes to
30 discuss ways to address concerns raised in the previous meetings.

31 The applicant for this Concept Review requested that this item be continued to a
32 future meeting.

33
34 6. **Review and Action** – *Change in Certified Tax Rate*. This is a request by staff for
35 the Council’s review and adoption of the Certified Tax Rate set by Utah County
36 and the Utah State Tax Commission. The new rate was sent to the City after the
37 budget was adopted and is recommended at .001873. The current rate is .001686.
38 The difference is caused by a drop in total property valuations in the City. To
39 maintain expected budgeted revenues for the City’s essential services (Police and
40 Fire) the CTR fluctuates in a ratio with the property valuations in the City. The
41 change in the CTR will not affect the expense to the homeowner and the City will
42 remain revenue neutral.

43
44 Councilmember Carpenter explained that the Certified Tax Rate is calculated each
45 year by the State and Utah County, and that calculations are intended to keep
46

2 municipalities revenue neutral. Mr. Dameron explained that over the past 25 years as
3 property values have increased, the Certified Tax Rate declined. During the previous
4 year, property values have declined. The Certified Tax Rate was adjusted based on
5 property valuations to keep the City revenue neutral. Councilmember Bayless noted that
6 the change in the Certified Tax Rate will not generally impact property owners.
7 Councilmember Carpenter clarified that each property owner's tax assessments is based
8 on specific individual factors, and that minor fluctuations from year to year are typical for
9 individual tax payers.

10 Councilmember Carpenter called for further comments or discussion. Hearing
11 none, he called for a motion.

12 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH MOVED TO APPROVE THE CHANGE IN THE
13 CERTIFIED TAX RATE FROM .001686 TO .001873 AS SET BY UTAH COUNTY.
14 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS
15 RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

16 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS AYE
17 COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE
18 COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON AYE
19 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE
20 COUNCILMEMBER WALKER AYE
21 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

22 **COUNCIL REPORTS** –

23 **COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON** – Councilmember Frampton expressed
24 appreciation for the participation of residents in the park discussion earlier in the meeting.
25 He reported that the Community Center Committee is meeting to discuss plans for the
26 facility.
27

28 **COUNCILMEMBER WALKER** – Councilmember Walker reported that the Lindon
29 Days program is ready for the printer. He discussed information he obtained at a recent
30 Animal Shelter Board meeting regarding the cost of disposal of dead animals. Chief
31 Cullimore reported that officers dispose of dead animals at the transfer station rather than
32 taking them to shelter as a cost saving measure.
33

34 Councilmember Walker commented on a recent sales tax report which indicates
35 that sales tax revenue increased for the first time in a year. Mr. Dameron explained that
36 Murdock Hyundai paid two months of taxes last month, and that Home Depot paid three
37 months last month which increased tax revenue temporarily. Councilmember Carpenter
38 noted that sales tax revenue increased minimally statewide last month. However, if
39 revenue for the year is compared to the same period three years ago, sales tax revenue is
40 down statewide approximately 33%.

41 Councilmember Walker noted that the Historic Preservation Commission has
42 requested direction from the Council regarding the location of the annual Christmas Tree
43 Lighting ceremony since the tree at the City Center died. The event will likely be moved
44 to the new Community Center on Main Street.
45

2 **COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER** – Councilmember Carpenter reported that based
on recent national projects it appears that the economy may be facing a second national
recession. He invited Chief Cullimore to report on Police Department activities.

4 Chief Cullimore reported that initial steps are being taken to start construction of
the Veterans Memorial Monument at the cemetery. The existing flag poles will be
6 removed on Thursday, followed by soil testing and engineering for the site.

8 Chief Cullimore commented on reports from residents earlier in the evening
regarding traffic on 725 North. He reported that during six hours of traffic enforcement
on the street no citations were written. He state that he and other officers have conducted
10 traffic enforcement and observation on the street, and it does not appear that there is an
ongoing traffic speed or volume problem in the area.

12 **COUNCILMEMBER HATCH** – Councilmember Hatch reported that LDS Wards have
14 started meeting in the new LDS Chapel. He also reported that the basements of the
duplexes located on 200 South are being rented separately. Mr. Dameron will forward
16 the report to the Code Enforcement Officer for follow up.

18 **COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS** – Councilmember Bayless distributed a survey being
conducted by the General Plan Committee and requested that the Council take a few
20 minutes to respond to survey questions. The Council filled out the survey at this time.

22 **ADMINISTRATOR’S REPORT** –

24 Mr. Dameron reported on the following items:

- 26 1. Engineering Coordination Meeting will be held Tuesday, July 13th at noon at
the Public Works Complex.
- 28 2. The Community Center Committee will meet on July 20th at 5:30 p.m.
- 30 3. The Council reviewed the Project Tracking List.
- 32 4. An informational ‘zoning tour’ was scheduled for the Council on July 14th at
5:30 p.m.
- 34 5. The City’s new website is operational.
- 36 6. The Storm Water Technician has been filled. Matthew Neer has been a
seasonal employee for the past two years. The position was advertised in
house. Mr. Neer was selected from the candidates.
- 38 7. The City will close on the purchase of the Community Center approximately
July 15th.
- 40 8. The building on Geneva Road which has had significant code and safety
issues has signed an agreement to vacate the property within 90 days.
9. The Council will consider an appeal from Wolf Mountain during the August
3, 2010 meeting regarding violation of the business’s Conditional Use Permit.

42 COUNCILMEMBER WALKER MOVED TO APPROVE THE PAY
VOUCHERS. COUNCILMEMBER HATCH SECONDED THE MOTION. THE
44 VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

46 COUNCILMEMBER BAYLESS AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER AYE

2 COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HATCH AYE
4 COUNCILMEMBER WALKER AYE
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

6 **ADJOURN** –

8 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT
10 10:10 P.M. COUNCILMEMBER FRAMPTON SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL
PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

12 Approved – July 20, 2010

14

16

18

Debra Cullimore, City Recorder

20

22

24 James A. Dain, Mayor