

2 The Lindon City Council held a Special Meeting on **Tuesday, April 22, 2008**, beginning  
at 6:00 p.m., in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100 North State Street,  
Lindon, Utah.

4 Conducting: James A. Dain, Mayor

6 **PRESENT**

**ABSENT**

8 James A. Dain, Mayor  
10 Eric Anthony, Councilmember  
H. Toby Bath, Councilmember  
12 Bruce Carpenter, Councilmember  
Jerald I. Hatch, Councilmember  
14 Ott H. Dameron, City Administrator  
Debra Cullimore, City Recorder

Lindsey Bayless, Councilmember

16 The meeting was called to order at 6:05 p.m.

18 **PROCLAMATION** – *“Silver Star Day” Proclamation sets May 1, 2008 as Silver Star*  
20 *Day for Lindon City in honor of the sacrifice of the men and women of the Armed Forces.*

22 Mayor Dain read the proclamation designating May 1, 2008 as Silver Star Day for  
Lindon City. The proclamation addresses the sacrifice of men and women in the Armed  
24 Forces, as well as the sacrifice of their families. Mayor Dain called for a round of  
applause in honor of those who protect our freedoms.

26 **MINUTES** –

28 No minutes were reviewed at this meeting.

30 **OPEN SESSION** –

32 Mayor Dain called for comments from any resident who wished to address an  
34 issue not listed as an agenda item. There was no public comment.

36 **MAYOR’S COMMENTS/REPORT** –

38 Mayor Dain gave no report at this meeting.

40 **CONSENT AGENDA** –

42 No items.

44 **CURRENT BUSINESS** –

1. **Public Hearing** – *Pledge of Sales Tax Revenues – UTOPIA (Resolution #2008-8).*

2 The City Council will hear public comment and possibly act to approve a pledge  
4 of the City's sales tax revenues; authorize the execution by the City of an  
6 Amended and Restated Pledge and Loan Agreement and any other documents  
8 required in connection therewith; authorize and approve the taking of all other  
actions necessary to the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this  
resolution; provide a severability clause; repeal resolutions in conflict; and  
provide an effective date; and related matters.

10 COUNCILMEMBER BATH MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING TO  
12 CONSIDER RESOLUTION #2008-8. COUNCILMEMBER HATCH SECONDED  
THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

14 Keith Wilson and Roger Black of UTOPIA were present to address the Council  
16 regarding this proposed Resolution, and the Amended and Restated Agreement between  
the City and UTOPIA. Mr. Black explained that they would first give an overview of the  
status of the project. He stated that there are currently approximately 7,200 subscribers to  
18 services through the UTOPIA network. He noted that the current subscription rate is  
approximately 17% of the 42,000 addresses which could theoretically subscribe to  
20 services through UTOPIA. He explained that approximately 26,000 of those addresses  
are in a situation where a single decision maker determines whether to subscribe to  
22 services, such as a condominium complex.

24 Mr. Black explained that subscriber rates are not currently at the level predicted at  
this point in the project. He noted that at the inception of the project, there was a belief  
that the project would be self-sustaining, which has not yet occurred. He stated that there  
26 are currently four service providers on the network, and that discussions are under way  
with additional service providers. Mr. Black noted that the UTOPIA network has had a  
positive effect on the industry, and that the competition has created a higher level of  
28 service through other providers. Mr. Black also noted that construction costs for the  
network came in under budget.

30 Mr. Black observed that the biggest obstacle to the network at this time is how to  
32 deploy system rapidly enough to satisfy those who want services and are in areas that is  
not currently serviceable. He noted that limited deployment based on funding was not  
34 anticipated during the planning stages of the project. Mr. Black commented on additional  
challenges which have been experienced by UTOPIA, including legal battles which  
36 slowed down the ability of UTOPIA to get access to poles, and had an effect on a smooth  
roll out of the network. In addition, loan funds through the Department of Agriculture  
38 have not been readily accessible and reimbursements were not paid in a timely manner,  
which has affected deployment in small communities.

40 Mr. Black reviewed the core values of the UTOPIA organization to provide low  
cost high quality services, stimulate competition, and service the entire community. He  
42 noted that those principles and the value of the system have not been effectively  
marketed. Mr. Black also reviewed recommendations of the UTOPIA Executive Board  
44 intended to make the network successful. He explained that operating costs have been  
reduced by more than 50% to assure that costs are in line with revenues. The Board also  
46 made a recommendation that UTOPIA actively market the benefits of the system, and

2 focus on completion of the system in areas where the demand is highest. He explained  
3 that the refinancing mechanism outlined in the Amended and Restated Agreement allows  
4 the project to proceed in a timely manner without the need to call on pledges of member  
5 cities.

6 Mr. Black asserted that the benefits of the UTOPIA network to property owners  
7 are; lower fees than competitors offer, increased property values, superior services,  
8 choice of service providers, and capacity for future advanced services. Mr. Black  
9 explained that the proposed refinancing structure provides an opportunity for subscribers  
10 to own the infrastructure through payment of an installation fee which may be paid over  
11 time, and allows the network to grow without increasing debt.

12 Mr. Black went on to review the proposal set forth in the Amended and Restated  
13 Agreement. He explained that proposed funding sources will replace the existing RUS  
14 financing, and will clear all current outstanding financial obligations. Proposed funding  
15 will create construction revenue necessary to complete the system and realize the original  
16 expectations of the project. He noted that recommendations are based on careful analysis  
17 of experience, and are not just projections or estimates.

18 Councilmember Anthony inquired as to whether there is a “break-even”  
19 subscriber rate. Mr. Black stated that projected subscriber rates in five years are 20,000,  
20 which would put UTOPIA on sound financial footing. He noted that the two year runway  
21 period outlined in the agreement is projected to be adequate to achieve sufficient cash  
22 flow to meet operating expenses and debt service obligations. Mr. Wilson noted that  
23 while a specific subscriber number has not been established as a ‘break-even’ point,  
24 fewer subscribers will be needed to reach that point than in previous models.

25 Councilmember Anthony requested clarification on whether subscribers would be  
26 required to pay the installation fee, or if the current method of installation would be  
27 optional. Mr. Black stated that options for installation have not been precluded at this  
28 point. He noted that for planning purposes, new subscribers will pay an installation fee  
29 and will own the infrastructure. Councilmember Anthony noted that the installation fee  
30 is currently set at approximately \$1,500.00. He inquired as to whether there are any  
31 studies available which show that this strategy has been successful. Mr. Wilson stated  
32 that there have studies completed on private provider networks in Georgia and Tennessee  
33 which indicate that this model is successful.

34 Mayor Dain inquired as to what speeds are available for internet service through  
35 UTOPIA providers. Mr. Black stated that very high speeds, including gigabit  
36 connections for businesses, are available. Mr. Dameron asked if other providers offer  
37 that level of service. Mr. Black stated that the same level of service is available through  
38 other providers at substantially higher cost.

39 Councilmember Carpenter asked for clarification regarding the marketing plan.  
40 Mr. Black stated that the marketing plan would be based on the idea of customers  
41 “buying in” to the system by purchasing their own infrastructure. He explained that a  
42 marketing team is being assembled to establish a marketing strategy which will educate  
43 subscribers about the benefits of purchasing infrastructure over a period of time.  
44 Councilmember Anthony felt that a choice for subscribers as to whether they paid a  
larger installation fee to purchase the infrastructure or a smaller installation fee would be  
vital to the success of the network.

2 Councilmember Carpenter observed that the Board appears to be leaning toward a  
rather restrictive model for installation. He expressed concern that if the proposed model  
4 is put into effect, it may be determined a year from now that the program was too  
restrictive and limited the number of subscribers. He also expressed concern regarding  
6 what process will be followed by the Executive Committee as adjustments need to be  
made to the program. Councilmember Anthony agreed that any necessary course  
8 correction may not be taken in a timely manner. Mr. Black stated that if a determination  
is made in one area that the marketing strategy was unsuccessful, an alternative  
marketing method will be used in the next target area.

10 Mr. Dameron asked Mr. Black to comment on candidates who are under  
consideration to fill the Executive Director position UTOPIA. Mr. Black stated that two  
12 candidates are currently under consideration to fill the open position. Both candidates  
have extensive marketing experience, as well as experience in the communications  
14 industry.

Councilmember Carpenter observed that due to the unique nature of the UTOPIA  
16 organization, open discussion in public meetings is difficult. He commented that it seems  
that participating cities are left out of the decision making process to a certain degree, and  
18 that he is hopeful that the Executive Committee will seek out ways to receive input as  
problems or concerns arise.

20 Councilmember Bath expressed concern regarding the number of service  
providers currently available on the network. He felt that take rates would be much  
22 higher if additional service provider options were available. Councilmember Carpenter  
noted that there appears to be a dilemma in that if there were more subscribers there  
24 would likely be more providers and vice versa.

Councilmember Anthony inquired as to the potential financial burden on  
26 taxpayers if the refinancing proposal is not approved by member cities. Mr. Black  
explained that cities are at risk of being called upon to pay pledged amounts. For Lindon,  
28 this amount would be an annual payment of \$308,681.00 if the full amount were called  
upon. He stated that if the refinancing strategy does not go forward, there is a 100%  
30 likelihood that pledges will be called upon. He explained that the proposed financial  
strategy increases the pledge amount, but decreases the likelihood that it will be called  
32 upon.

Councilmember Carpenter observed that there was a significant increase in the  
34 level of service offered by other providers when the UTOPIA network was approved.

Mayor Dain opened the meeting to the public for comment. Roger Baird stated  
36 that he owns a small business in Lindon and subscribes to the UTOPIA network. He  
questioned the ability of the City to actively market the UTOPIA network. Mr. Black  
38 explained that as a government entity, the City is prohibited from marketing the retail  
services. He clarified that the City would participate in increasing awareness of the  
40 network infrastructure rather than specific service providers.

John Schmidt expressed similar concerns regarding marketing. He noted that the  
42 marketing effort to date has been minimal. He inquired as to the possibility of  
advertising through the City utility bill or other means. Mr. Dameron explained that part  
44 of the new marketing strategy would be to provide cities with information which could  
then be legally distributed to residents to raise awareness of the network.

2 John Herzog observed that the next generation will demand internet speeds high  
4 enough to accommodate exceptionally large amounts of information. He asserted that the  
6 UTOPIA technology is at the forefront of future information solutions. He noted that a  
growing number of businesses offer telecommuting options for employees, and that the  
ability of UTOPIA to provide for the needs of businesses may enhance economic  
development in Lindon. He noted that UTOPIA services are very cost effective for the  
level of service provided.

8 Doug McKay asserted that awareness of the UTOPIA network is limited. He  
noted that some subscribers have experienced failures with providers offering services on  
10 the network, but that those problems are not associated with the network but rather with  
specific providers. Mr. McKay felt that the proposed \$1500 installation fee would be  
12 excessive, and that residents would not be willing to pay that amount to buy in to the  
system. He noted that installation fees charged by other providers for high quality  
14 services are minimal, and that if installation fees for UTOPIA are set too high, residents  
will simply go elsewhere for services.

16 Rob Lundgren felt that proposed installation fees may exclude all but the wealthy,  
and that the proposed installation fee would not be acceptable to the majority of people.  
18 He inquired as to whether equipment and upgrades are included in the proposed business  
plan. He stated that he does not want his tax dollars at risk to serve mainly high capacity  
20 business users. He asserted that the vast majority of people will never need the capacities  
available through UTOPIA for typical use.

22 Jackie Lawler, a representative of Qwest, explained that Qwest broadband  
services are now available to 85% of homes in Utah, and that the company recently  
24 announced that they will spend up to \$3 million dollars companywide to upgrade the  
network to provide higher speeds. She noted that editorials in many Utah newspapers  
26 agree that UTOPIA is not a good investment. She asserted that the proposed business  
plan is not viable, and that the projected 40% take rate is extremely aggressive given the  
28 current take rate of approximately 17%. She encouraged the Council to protect citizens  
from a 30 year risk and vote against the proposed refinancing plan.

30 Councilmember Carpenter inquired as to Qwest's motivation in taking a position  
on the UTOPIA project. Ms. Lawler stated that UTOPIA and Qwest provide similar  
32 services, and UTOPIA is a competitor. Councilmember Carpenter observed that Qwest's  
motivation in taking a position is not to protect taxpayers but rather to eliminate  
34 competition. Councilmember Hatch inquired as to why Qwest did not offer broadband  
services prior to the approval of UTOPIA. Ms. Lawler stated that services were made  
36 available as the demand for those services increased. Councilmember Bath asked why  
Qwest does not choose to participate as a provider on the UTOPIA network. Ms. Lawler  
38 stated that Qwest owns their own facilities.

40 Lindon resident Todd Millett related his experience with phone providers when he  
moved to Lindon in 1993. He stated that he was promised phone service by US West,  
42 but when the time came to connect to those services, he was informed that services would  
not be available in his area for three months. He contacted Qwest to investigate services  
through that company, and was told that services could not be provided to his home  
44 because it was not wired correctly. Mr. Millett subsequently signed up for services with  
Comcast, and was pleased with the service for several years. However, when damage  
46 occurred to the system and he called Comcast for repairs, he was told that repairs would

2 be completed in four days, and that repairs are completed immediately only if four or  
4 more customers are affected. Mr. Millett stated that he signed up for UTOPIA services  
4 as soon as they became available. He asserted that Qwest and Comcast would not be  
6 offering similar services if not the competition created by the UTOPIA network. He  
6 stated that he would like to see UTOPIA succeed.

6 Matt Bean expressed appreciation for the opportunity to speak during a Public  
8 Hearing on this matter. Mr. Bean expressed concern that there has been a delay in open  
8 discussion with the public regarding the status of UTOPIA. Mr. Bean stated that he is a  
10 financial analyst, and that he has some concern that important questions, such as a break-  
10 even take rate percentage, can not be answered. He inquired as to whether population at  
12 the time initial take rates were established was used to determine necessary take rates at  
12 the present time, if population figures had been adjusted appropriately. Mr. Bean also  
14 felt that the City Council should be provided additional information regarding the details  
14 of the bond revenues, such as liquidation provisions which give the lender the right to  
16 liquidate assets if the borrower is not performing. Mr. Bean stated that he is hopeful that  
16 proposed financing figures are based on "worst case scenario" statistics. He felt that the  
18 proposed installation fee would not be acceptable to most potential subscribers.

18 Dave Stilton stated that he spoke with a number of his neighbors regarding  
20 UTOPIA prior to this meeting, and that responses were generally favorable. He also felt  
20 that the \$1500 installation fee would not be acceptable to most resident. Mr. Stilton  
22 expressed concern that answers were not provided to relevant questions. He felt that the  
22 Council should have additional information before making a decision.

24 Earl Porter observed that he did not hear any specific answers to questions asked  
24 by the Council. He agreed that most residents would not be willing to pay a high  
26 installation fee. Mr. Porter stated that as he spoke with neighbors about UTOPIA,  
26 awareness of the network was very limited. He felt that committing additional funds to  
28 UTOPIA would be a waste of taxpayer dollars.

28 Marlin Sharp stated that he did have the internet in his home until UTOPIA was  
30 established. He stated that he was disappointed with the marketing efforts. He noted that  
30 his son lives in Payson and is a "power user" for his business, and that high speed service  
32 is a critical component of the success of the business.

32 Dave Brummel stated that he is a UTOPIA internet subscriber, and that he has  
34 been pleased with the service. He requested additional information regarding the price of  
34 the installation fee, and what monthly fees would be based on the assumption that an  
36 installation fee would be charged. Mr. Black stated that installation fees will be set  
36 somewhere between \$1200 and \$3500, and that monthly fees would be reduced  
38 approximately 30% if the installation fee is paid over a period of time, resulting in a  
38 similar monthly payment. He stated that the marketing team will establish a price point  
40 and set an appropriate installation fee. Councilmember Carpenter observed that this plan  
40 will result in consumers bearing the upfront cost of installation rather than UTOPIA  
42 being a lender for upfront costs. He noted that if costs for services increase, subscription  
42 rates are likely to decline.

44 Mayor Dain observed that there does not seem to be any indication that residents  
44 are willing to pay a large installation fee. He asked Mr. Black if there is any flexibility in  
46 the marketing plan. Mr. Black stated that the challenge is to find a way to expand the  
46 network without increasing the debt. He stated that the intent is to hone the marketing

2 plan based on real life experience, and that appropriate adjustments will be made as  
3 installation progresses. One resident observed that installation fees for similar services  
4 through other providers are a fraction of the proposed UTOPIA installation fee. He felt  
5 that even though speeds are slower through those providers, the majority of residential  
6 users would choose the less expensive service over the faster service.

7 Larry Ellertson, former Lindon City Mayor, explained that residents clearly  
8 expressed four years ago that they wanted UTOPIA in Lindon. He felt that additional  
9 information regarding implementation of installation fees would be necessary to make a  
10 decision. He also noted that marketing should focus on the value of the network, what  
11 you get for what you pay. He expressed concern regarding the methodology for  
12 establishing an appropriate installation fee.

13 Troy Tribe stated that he recently added an equivalent of three T-1 lines to his  
14 business through another provider. According to Mr. Tribe, his installation fee was  
15 approximately \$300.00. He observed that establishing an appropriate installation fee is a  
16 matter of setting an appropriate price point which is acceptable to consumers.

17 Mr. Schmidt inquired as to how the debt will be paid if pledges are called upon.  
18 Mayor Dain explained that the debt will be paid using sales tax revenues received by the  
19 City. Mr. Wilson clarified that the amount paid by each city is established based on  
20 population. He noted that payment of pledges would result in a cost of approximately  
21 \$6.00 per address per month. He explained that taxes would not be increased, but  
22 existing tax revenues would be reallocated to cover this debt. Councilmember Carpenter  
23 noted that in reality, the City would likely be called upon to pay only a portion of the  
24 pledge if revenues do not meet expenses. He noted that it may be acceptable for the  
25 government to subsidize the system for a short period of time in order to achieve the long  
26 term benefits. Mr. Schmidt asserted that cost per household is fairly small, and that  
27 payment of the debt would not have a significant impact on the finances of the City.  
28 Councilmember Carpenter observed that while the cost per household is not significant,  
the Council would prefer to spend tax revenues in other areas.

29 Councilmember Anthony asked if this debt is calculated into the debt service for  
30 the City. Mr. Dameron stated that UTOPIA is included in debt service figures for the  
31 City. Councilmember Anthony inquired as to whether payment of this debt would  
32 overextend the City in any way. Mr. Dameron stated that the City's financial advisor has  
33 conducted a careful analysis of the City's debt service and has determined that the City  
34 has substantial room to accommodate this debt obligation. Mayor Dain asked if payment  
35 of this debt would affect future bonding options for the City. Mr. Dameron stated that  
36 this debt would not have a significant effect on bonding potential. He also noted that  
37 other debts will be retired over time, reducing overall debt obligations. Councilmember  
38 Anthony observed that future commercial growth, specifically along the 700 North  
39 corridor, will increase available tax revenues.

40 Rob Lundgren observed that while competition is good for consumers, he does  
41 not want his tax dollars being spent to provide a higher level of service for some.

42 Mayor Dain called for further public comment. There were no additional  
43 comments. He called for a motion to close the Public Hearing.  
44

2 COUNCILMEMBER BATH MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING TO  
3 CONSIDER RESOLUTION #2008-8. COUNCILMEMBER ANTHONY SECONDED  
4 THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

6 Mayor Dain invited further discussion from the Council. Councilmember  
7 Anthony felt that UTOPIA is an important service. He noted that additional service  
8 providers are needed on the network in order to make it a self-sustaining entity. He  
9 expressed concern that the City has been put in a situation of making a decision when the  
10 situation has reached a crisis point which demands an immediate solution. He felt that  
11 the City should have been involved in discussions prior to this point. Councilmember  
12 Anthony also expressed concern that there is no evidence to suggest that UTOPIA will  
13 perform any better over the next two years than in the past, and that increasing the debt  
14 owed by the City is bothersome. Councilmember Anthony also noted that there is no  
15 evidence that the proposed marketing strategy which includes an installation fee structure  
16 has been successful in similar situations. He stated that he is uncomfortable obligating  
Lindon residents based on the information presented at this meeting.

18 Councilmember Carpenter agreed that the Council is being asked to make a  
19 decision based on limited information. He stated that he appreciates the urgency of the  
20 situation, but does not appreciate being put in the position of making an immediate  
21 decision. He stated that while he is a proponent of the UTOPIA, he is skeptical of the  
22 success of the proposed business plan, and feels some reluctance regarding the magnitude  
of the refinancing plan.

24 Councilmember Bath observed that Lindon was a driving force behind the  
25 creation of UTOPIA. He noted that he received a large number of phone calls before the  
26 network was implemented in Lindon questioning when services would be available. He  
27 stated that he now receives calls inquiring as to why a higher level of service is not being  
28 offered through UTOPIA. Councilmember Bath agreed that he would like additional  
29 information before making a decision. Councilmember Carpenter observed that some  
30 details of the plan have not yet been determined. He noted that the situation is very  
complex, and that there is some urgency in making a decision.

32 Councilmember Anthony stated that his typical approach to decision making is to  
33 look at the “big picture” and envision possibilities. He observed that his natural tendency  
34 would be to vote in favor of this proposal, but that he is very skeptical that it will be  
successful.

36 Mr. Wilson explained that marketing research indicates that the installation fee  
37 model would be acceptable, provided that the monthly cost, including payments on the  
38 installation fee, are set in the same range as the current fee for monthly service.  
39 Councilmember Carpenter expressed that he is inclined to think that the City needs to  
40 make UTOPIA work, but that it would have been preferable to make a decision based on  
a higher level of information.

42 Councilmember Hatch agreed that it is important that UTOPIA be successful. He  
43 reviewed the status of the United States in relation to information technology. According  
44 to information presented by Councilmember Hatch, the United States lead the world in  
45 broadband technology in the 1990’s, and is now 15<sup>th</sup> in speed and 13<sup>th</sup> in price compared  
46 to the rest of the world. He stated that fiber is the best option currently available to  
provide information technology services. He asserted that UTOPIA is the “tip of the

iceberg,” and that new technology using graphite will allow greater speeds than are now available using current technology. He felt that the City should do whatever is possible to give UTOPIA the opportunity to succeed.

Councilmember Bath agreed that he would like to see the network be successful. He stated that it would be easier to make a decision if additional information regarding future plans had been made available to the City. Councilmember Carpenter observed that the value of a dollar will decline over time, and that the actual cost to repay the debt will be reduced over time. He observed that the City has indicated to UTOPIA that a higher level of involvement on the part of member cities is a critical component to the future success of the network. He observed that at the time UTOPIA was approved, it was a community decision. He commented on the efforts of competitors to eliminate UTOPIA, and the detrimental effect of those efforts. He observed that many editorials written about UTOPIA have been written from a single perspective and do not necessarily contain factual information. He suggested that Lindon take a leadership position in this matter, while strongly indicating to the Executive Board that there is need to keep member cities more informed about that the status of the network.

COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION #2008-8 AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION BY THE CITY OF AN AMENDED AND RESTATED PLEDGE AND LOAN AGREEMENT AND ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS REQUIRED IN CONNECTIN THEREWITH; AUTHORIZE AND APPROVE THE TAKING OF ALL OTHER ACTIONS NECESSARY TO THE CONSUMMATION OF THE TRANSACTION CONTEMPLATED BY THE RESOLUTION; PROVIDE A SEVERABILTIY CLAUSE; REPEAL RESOLUTIONS IN CONFLICT; AND PROVIDE AN EFFECTIVE DATE; AND RELATED MATTERS.

COUNCILMEMBER HATCH SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER ANTHONY	NAY
COUNCILMEMBER BATH	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CARPENTER	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HATCH	AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED (3-1) WITH ONE ABSENT.

The Council explained to residents present at the meeting that Mr. Dameron is the City’s representative of the UTOPIA Board. Mr. Dameron clarified that he does not hold a seat on the Executive Board. The Council also clarified that if other member cities vote against the refinancing plan, Lindon City is not obligated. Mr. Dameron explained that the bond can not be issued without the participation of the majority of member cities support.

#### **COUNCIL REPORTS** –

No Council reports were given at this meeting.

#### **ADJOURN** –

2 COUNCILMEMBER HATCH MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT  
9:07 P.M. COUNCILMEMBER BATH SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT  
VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

4  
6 Approved – May 8, 2008

8  
10 \_\_\_\_\_  
Debra Cullimore, City Recorder

12  
14  
16 \_\_\_\_\_  
James A. Dain, Mayor